Strength Properties of Hydraulic Cement Concrete Pavement Incorporating Coconut Shell as Coarse Aggregate

Ogbonna Alban Chidiebere*, Abubakar Mikailu

Department of Civil Engineering, Waziri Umaru Federal Polytechnic, Birnin Kebbi, P.M.B 1034 Birnin Kebbi G.P.O, Birnin Kebbi City, Kebbi State, Nigeria

Corresponding author: alban.ogbonna@yahoo.com

crossef http://dx.doi.org/10.5755/j01.sace.18.1.16664

Coconut shell being a hard and not easily degradable material if crushed to size of coarse aggregate can be a potential material to replace coarse aggregate in concrete. In this study the characteristics of the coarse aggregate and the coconut shell were evaluated. Properties of fresh and hardened concrete with partial replacement of coarse aggregate with coconut shell were also studied. Concrete specimens with 0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% by weight of coarse aggregate replacement with coconut shell were made at 1:2:4 mix ratio. The compressive strength for all the concrete specimens was evaluated at 7, 14, 21 and 28 days and constant water cement ratio of 0.5 was maintained for all the concrete specimens. The aggregate crushing value of the coarse aggregate and the coconut shell were 23.47% and 28.25% respectively and the aggregate impact value of the coarse aggregate and the coconut shell were 17.44% and 20.2% respectively. The slump values, densities and compressive strength of concrete decreased as the percentage replacement with coconut shell increased. Concrete produced with 0%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% replacement attained 28 days compressive strength of 38.17, 35.11, 32.14, 31.18, and 29.14 N/mm² respectively. The results obtained in this study showed that coconut shell satisfied the requirements for coarse aggregate and can be used as partial replacement of coarse aggregate (up to 20%) in concrete pavement production.

KEYWORDS: Coarse aggregate, Coconut shell, Concrete pavement, cement, Strength properties.

There are many research works conducted to improve the engineering properties of concrete by partial or full replacement of coarse aggregate, fine aggregate or cement paste (ACI 318/318R -08, 2009, Gunasekaran et al, 2011, and Olanipekun, 2006). Cement mortar and concrete are the most widely used construction material. It is difficult to point out another material of construction which is versatile as concrete. It is the material of choice where strength, permanence, durability, impermeability, fire resistance and abrasion resistance are required. It is also closely associated with every human activity that it touches every human being in his day to day living (Shetty, 2013).

Infrastructure development across the world created demand for construction materials. Concrete manufacturing involve consumption of ingredients like cement, aggregates, water and admixtures (Amarnath, and Ramachandrudu, 2012, Parag, and Sandhya, 2014, and Shetty, 2013). Among all

JSACE 1/18

Strength Properties of Hydraulic Cement Concrete Pavement Incorporating Coconut Shell as Coarse Aggregate

Received 2016/11/29

Accepted after revision 2017/01/18

Introduction



Journal of Sustainable Architecture and Civil Engineering Vol. 1 / No. 18 / 2017 pp. 73-80 DOI 10.5755/j01.sace.18.1.16664 © Kaunas University of Technology

the ingredients, aggregates form the major part (Amarnath, and Ramachandrudu, 2012). It is incredibly versatile and is used in almost all major construction projects (Amarnath, and Ramachandrudu, 2012, Parag, and Sandhya, 2014, and Shetty, 2013). Aggregates typically consist of 60% - 70% of the volume of a concrete mixture (Shetty, 2013). Use of natural aggregates in such a rate leads to question about the preservation of natural aggregates sources (Amarnath, and Ramachandrudu, 2012). In addition, operations associated with aggregate extraction and processing are the principal course of environmental concerns (Osei, 2013, and Shetty, 2013). The negative consequences of increasing demand of aggregates include depletion of aggregate deposits, environmental degradation and ecological imbalance (Osei 2013). The possibility of a complete depletion of aggregate resources has rendered continued use of aggregates in construction works unsustainable (Naik, 2008).

Research addressing environmental and sustainability issues in construction has generated lots of interest in the world (Akshay, et al 2014, Gunasekaran, et al 2011, and Olanipekun, et al 2006). The use of waste materials saves natural resources and dumping spaces, and helps to maintain a clean environment, (Parag, and Sandhya, 2014).

Coconut shell being a hard and not easily degradable material if crushed to size of coarse aggregate can be a potential material to substitute coarse aggregate in concrete (Akshay et al, 2014, Gunasekaran, et al 2011, and Olanipekun, et al 2006). According to Olanipekun, et al (2006) and Gunasekaran, et al (2011), limited research has been conducted on mechanical properties of concrete with coconut shell as aggregate replacement. Coconut shells are agricultural waste produced as by products of coconut oil production (Osei, 2013). The potential of using agricultural wastes in civil engineering works have been investigated by various researchers. In a study, Olanipekun et al, (2006) compared concrete made with coconut shells and palm kernel shells as replacement for natural coarse aggregates and concluded that coconut shells performed better than palm kernel shells as replacement for conventional aggregates in concrete pavement. In an experimental assessment on coconut shell as aggregate in concrete, Osei, (2013) concluded that 18.5% replacement by volume of crushed granite with coconut shell can be used to produce structural concrete in line with BS 8110.

The coconut shell aggregate has higher water absorption because of higher porosity in its shell structure (Gunasekaran et al, 2011, Kakade, and Dhawale, 2015, and Olanipekun et al, 2006). The aggregate impact value of coconut shell aggregate is much lower compared to crushed aggregate which indicates that this aggregate have good absorbance to shock (Kakade, and Dhawale, 2015). In a study Parag, and Sandhya, (2014) concluded that the coconut shell has potential as light weight aggregate in concrete and using the coconut shell as aggregate in concrete can reduce the material cost in construction because of the low cost of abundant agricultural waste. They suggested that Coconut shell concrete can be used in rural areas and places where coconut is abundant and may also be used where the conventional crushed aggregates are costly. In a study Akshay et al, (2014) concluded that coconut shell aggregate concrete satisfied the requirements of ASTM C330/C330M-14 (2014). They have it that to increase the speed of construction, enhance green construction environment, we can use lightweight concrete. The possibility exists for the partial replacement of coarse aggregate with coconut shell to produce light weight concrete.

This research work is aimed at assessing the strength properties of hydraulic cement concrete pavement incorporating coconut shell as coarse aggregate. The major objectives of this work are: to examine the properties of concrete pavement produced by partial replacement of coarse aggregate (crushed granites) with coconut shell at different percentages and to enlighten the relevant agencies, professional bodies, consultancy and construction firms on the potential use of coconut shell in concrete production.

Cement

The hydraulic cement used in this study conform with the specifications of ASTM C150/ C150-16 e1 (2016) ASTM C1157/C1157M-11 and AASHTO M85 (2016).

Aggregates

Aggregates were selected in accordance with AASHTO (2013, 2015), ASTM 127-15 (2015), ASTM D448 (2012), ASTM C33/C33M (2013), ASTM C29/C29M (2016), ASTM C330/C330M-14 (2014), WS-DOT M46-01.15 (2013), WSDOT M46-01.24 (2016), and WSDOT M41-10 (2013). Crushed granites passing through sieve 25mm but retained in sieves 19 mm, 12.5mm, 10mm and 4.75mm was used as coarse aggregate. Coconut shell was obtained locally and crushed into smaller sizes passing through sieve 25mm but retained on sieve 19 mm, 12.5mm, 10mm and 4.75mm. The coconut shell aggregate were air dried for 21 days. Fibre and husk on dried shells were carefully removed.

Mix Design

Table 1 shows the design mix used for this study. The concrete specimens were prepared at the mix ratio of 1:2:4 by weight and marked as shown in **Table 1**. The control mix (G1) with 0% coconut shell was prepared. Subsequently samples G2, G3, G4 and G5 were prepared with 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% replacement by weight of coarse aggregates with coconut shell.

Concrete	Percentage replacement with coconut shell (%)	Cement content (kg/m³)	fine aggregate content (kg/m³)	Coarse aggre	Water	
specimen mark				Crushed granite (kg/m³)	Coconut shell (kg/m³)	cement ratio (%)
G1	0	357	714	1428	0	0.5
G2	5	357	714	1356.6	71.4	0.5
G3	10	357	714	1285.2	142.8	0.5
G4	15	357	714	1213.8	214.2	0.5
G5	20	357	714	1142.4	285.6	0.5

Table 1

Design mix for the concrete specimens

Sieve Analysis

Combined sieve analysis was conducted for fine aggregate, coarse aggregate and coconut shell in accordance with AASHTO (2013, 2015), ASTM C136/C136M-14 (2014), ASTM D6913-04 (2009), ASTM D448 (2012), WSDOT M46-01.15 (2013), WSDOT M46-01.24 (2016), and WSDOT M41-10 (2013). The sieve analysis involves the passing of original samples through a stack of sieves arranged in descending order of the openings of the sieves, with larger sieves on the top. The materials retained on each sieve after shaking, represents the fraction of the aggregates coarser than the sieve in question and finer than the sieve above.

Aggregate Impact Value (AIV) Test

The aggregate impact value test gives relative measure of the resistance of an aggregate to sudden shock or impact (Udoeyo, and Dashibil, 2002). The aggregate impact value (AIV) was conducted for the coarse aggregate and the coconut shell in accordance with AASHTO (2013, 2015), ASTM D5874-02 (2007), ASTM C131/C131M-14 (2006), WSDOT M46-01.15 (2013), and WSDOT M46-01.24 (2016).

Aggregate Crushing Value (ACV) Test

The aggregate crushing value gives a relative measure of the resistance of an aggregate to crushing under a gradually applied compressive load (Shetty 2013). The aggregate crushing value test



methods

was conducted for both coarse aggregate and coconut shell in accordance with ASTM D5874 – 02 (2007), ASTM C131/C131M -14 (2006), ASTM C192/ 192M -15 (2015), and WSDOT M46-01.24 (2016).

Slump Test

According to AASHTO (2013), AASHTO (2015), BSEN 12350-02 (2009), BSEN 206-1(2006)], the slump test is a measure of the consistency of the concrete and a change in the slump test indicates that something in the manufacturing of the concrete has changed. Slump test was conducted on the fresh concrete in accordance with [AASHTO (2013, 2015), ASTM C143/C143M - 15 (2015), ASTM C1611 (2015), WSDOT M46-01.15 (2013), and WSDOT M46-01.24 (2016)].

Compressive Strength Test

Compressive strength test was performed on the hardened concrete in accordance with AASHTO (2013, 2015), ACI 318/318R, ASTM C495/C495M (2012), WSDOT M46-01.15 (2013), WSDOT M46-01.24 (2016), and WSDOT M41-10 (2013). The test specimens were of the size 150 mm x 150 mm x 150 mm. The filling of the moulds was in three layers of 50mm each. The concrete cubes were compacted manually using 16mm diameter rod at 40 evenly distributed strokes per layer. The samples were marked and cured in clean fresh tap water and were maintained at a room temperature. Three specimens from each of the five different mixes were crushed at 7, 14, 21 and 28 days age respectively and the average compressive strength were recorded.

Results and discussion

Table 2

Table 3

shell

Specific gravity

Combined aggregate gradation (fine aggregate, coarse aggregate and coconut shell)

Physical and mechanical

aggregate and coconut

properties of fine

aggregate, coarse

Sieve size (mm)	Percentage retained (%)	Cumulative percentage Retained (%)	Percentage pass- ing /finer (%)	
25	0.00	0.00	100.00	
19	4.18	4.18	95.82	
12.5	17.84	22.02	77.98	
9.5	15.11	37.13	62.87	
4.75	12.81	49.94	50.06	
2.36	8.88	58.82	41.18	
1.18	9.78	68.60	31.40	
0.6	1.16	80.76	19.24	
0.3	7.48	88.24	11.76	
0.15	5.20	93.44	6.56	
0.075	4.26	97.70	2.30	

Fine Coarse Properties Coconut shell aggregate aggregate 2.6 2.70 1.63 Water absorption (%) 1.11 2.1 4.48 Aggregate crushing value (%) 23.47 28.25 _ 17.44 20.20 Aggregate impact value (%) _

combined gradation of coarse aggregate, coconut shell, and fine aggregate are shown in Table 2. The results of the physical and mechanical properties of the coarse aggregate, fine aggregate and coconut shell are shown in Table 3. These properties conform to the requirements of coarse aggregate for concrete pavement in accordance with ASTM D5874 - 02 (2007), ASTM C131/ C131M -14 (2006), ASTM 127 -15 (2015), ASTM D448 (2012), ASTM C33/C33M (2013), ASTM C330/ C330M-14 (2014).

Aggregates

characteristics

The results of the

Properties of concrete incorporating coconut shell

Table 4, shows the slump, density and compressive strength values of concrete at different percentage replacement of coarse aggregate with coconut shell. The slump decreased with increase in coconut shell percentage. The control mix with 0% coconut shell showed higher compressive strength. Furthermore, the compressive strength decreased with coconut shell percentage increase. Fig. 1 shows the relationship between 28 day and 56 day compressive strength with percentage replacement of coarse aggregate with coconut shell. Fig. 2 shows the relationship

Specimen mark	Percentage replacement of coarse aggregate with coconut shell (%)	Slump (mm)	Density (kg/m³)	Average compressive strength (N/mm²)				
				7 day	14 day	21 day	28 day	56 day
M1	0	83	2490	26.84	31.87	35.11	38.17	42.22
M2	5	77	2467	23.67	28.01	33.08	35.11	41.54
M3	10	63	2348	21.01	25.04	29.78	32.14	38.65
M4	15	60	2380	19.18	23.66	25.11	31.18	35.23
M5	20	57	2336	17.11	21.17	23.18	29.14	33.06



45 Average compressive strength (N/mm²) 40 35 30 -0% 25 5% 20 -10% 15 **←**15% 10 **←**20% 5 0 7 day 14 day 21 day 28 day 56 day

Curing age (days)

Table 4

Properties of concrete incorporating coconut shell as partial replacement of coarse aggregate

Fig. 1

Relationship between 28 day and 56 day compressive strength and percentage replacement with coconut shell

Fig. 2

Relationship between the average compressive strength with curing age



2017/1/18

between compressive strength and age of the concrete specimens. There were good relationships between these variables. The results conformed to the specifications of AASHTO (2013, 2015), ACI 318/318R, ASTM C495/C495M (2012), WSDOT M46-01.15 (2013), WSDOT M46-01.24 (2016), and WSDOT M41-10 (2013).

Conclusion and recommendations

Based on the results and discussions presented in this research, the following conclusions were drawn;

The use of coconut shell in concrete pavement production will contribute to resource conservation of natural aggregate, environmental protection and reduction in the cost of construction since this agricultural waste can be obtained at little or no cost.

2 Coconut shell characteristics satisfied the requirement for concrete coarse aggregate and can be used as partial replacement of coarse aggregate in concrete production in accordance with ASTM D5874-02 (2007), ASTM C131/C131M -14 (2006), ASTM 127-15 (2015), ASTM D448 (2012), ASTM C33/C33M (2013), ASTM C330/C330M -14 (2014), WSDOT M46-01.15 (2013), WSDOT M46-01.24 (2016), and WSDOT M41-10 (2013).

The properties of concrete incorporating up to 20% coconut shell aggregate satisfied the minimum requirement of 28 N/mm² for 28 days compressive strength for standard concrete pavement as specified by ASTM D5874-02 (2007), ASTM C131/C131M -14 (2006), ASTM 127-15 (2015), ASTM D448 (2012), ASTM C33/C33M (2013), ASTM C330/C330M -14 (2014), WS-DOT M46-01.15 (2013), WSDOT M46-01.24 (2016), and WSDOT M41-10 (2013).



Percentage increase in coconut shell replacement reduces the compressive strength, density and slump values of concrete.

5 Developing counties should encourage the use concrete pavement and structural concrete incorporating coconut shell up to 15% by weight of coarse aggregate as a construction cost reduction measure and an environmental friendly Construction.



Performance of coconut shell concrete in heavy traffic pavements should be carried out by future researchers.

7 Standard concrete pavement produced at 1: 2: 4 mix ratios satisfied the minimum cementitious content of 215 kg/m³ which is a function of durability as specified in PCA IS415.

References

AASHTO. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing, 33rd edition. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, USA. 2013; http://www.transportation.org.

AASHTO. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing, 35th edition. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, USA. 2015; http://www.transportation.org.

AASHTO M85-16, Standard specification for Portland cement . American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, DC, USA. 2016; http://www.transportation.org.

ACI 318/318R -08, Building code requirements for structural concrete and commentary; ACI commit-

tee 318. American concrete institute, Farmington Hills, MI. USA. 2009; http://www.concrete.org/318. htm.

Akshay, S.S., Kalyami R.N., Pooja P.K, and Shraddha P.G. "Coconut shell as partial replacement for coarse aggregate review", International journal of civil engineering research, 2014; Vol. 5(3): Pp 211-214.

Amarnath, Y. and Ramachandrudu, C. "Properties of concrete with coconut shells as aggregate replacement", International journal of engineering inventions, 2012; Vol. 1 (6), Pp 21-31.

ASTM C136/C136M-14. Standard test method for sieve analysis of fine and coarse aggregates, ASTM International, West Conshohocken PA. 2014; http:// www.astm.org.

ASTM D6913-04. Standard test method for particle size distribution (Gradation) of soils using sieve analysis. ASTM International, West Conshohocken PA. 2009; http:// www.astm.org.

ASTM D5874-02. "Standard test method for determination of the impact value (IV) of a Soil". ASTM International, West Conshohocken PA. 2007; http:// www.astm.org.

ASTM C131/C131M-14. Standard test method for resistance to degradation of small size coarse aggregate by abrasion and impact in the Los Angeles Machine, ASTM International, West Conshohocken PA. 2006; http:// www.astm.org.

ASTM C1157/C1157M-11, Standard specification for hydraulic cement. ASTM International, West Conshohocken PA. 2011; http:// www. astm.org.

ASTM C150/150M-16e1, Standard specification for Portland cement. ASTM International, West Conshohocken PA. 2016; http:// www.astm.org.

ASTM C143/C143M -15. Standard test method for slump of hydraulic cement concrete. ASTM International West Conshohocken, PA. 2015; http://www.astm.org.

ASTM C1611. Standard test method for slump flow of self consolidating concrete. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA.2015; http:// www.astm.org.

ASTM C94/C94M -15a. Standard specification for ready mixed concrete. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA. 2015; http://www. astm.org.

ASTM 127-15. Standard test method for relative density (specific density) and absorption of coarse aggregates. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA. 2015; http://www.astm.org.

ASTM C192/C192M -15. Standard practice for making and curing concrete test specimens in the laboratory. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA. 2015; http:// www.astm.org.

ASTM D448. Standard classification for sizes of aggregates for road and bridge construction. ASTM International, West Conshohocken PA. 2012; http://www.astm.org.

ASTM C33/C33M -13. Standard specifications for concrete aggregate. ASTM International, West Conshohocken PA. 2013; http://www. astm.org.

ASTM C29/C29M. Standard test method for bulk density (Unit Weight) and voids in aggregates. ASTM International, West Conshohocken PA. 2016; http://www.astm.org. ASTM C495/C495M -12. Standard test method for compressive strength of lightweight insulating concrete. ASTM International, West Conhohocken, PA. 2012; http://www.astm.org.

ASTM C330/C330M – 14. Standard specification for lightweight aggregates for structural concrete. ASTM International, West Conshohocken PA. 2014; http://www.astm.org.

Gunasekaran, K., Kumar, P.S., and Lakshmipathy M. "Mechanical and bond properties of Coconut Shell Concrete", Construction and Building Materials, 2011; Vol. 25(1), Pp 92-98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.06.053

Kakade, S.A and Dhawale, A.W. "Light weight aggregate concrete shell", International Journal of Technical Research and Applications, 2015; Vol. 3 (3), Pp 127 -129.

Naik, T.R. "Sustainability of concrete construction", Practice Periodical on Structural Design and Construction", 2008; Vol. 13(2), Pp 98 -103. https://doi.org/10.1061/ (ASCE)1084-0680(2008)13:2(98)

Olanipekun, E.A, Olusola, K.O and Ata, O. "A Comparative Study of coconut shell and palm kernel shell as coarse aggregates", Journal of Building and Environment, 2006; Vol. 41 (3), Pp 297 -301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2005.01.029

Osei, D.Y. "Experimental assessment on coconut as aggregate in concrete", International Journal of Engineering Science Invention, 2013: Vol. 2 (5), Pp 07 -11.

Parag, S.K and Sandhya R.M. "Application of coconut shell as coarse aggregate in concrete: A technical review", International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications, 2014; Vol. 4 (3), Pp 498-501.

Shetty, M.S. "Concrete technology – theory and practice", 16th Edition, S. Chand and Company Limited, New Delhi, India; 2013.

Udoeyo F.F and Dashibil P.U. "Saw dust ash as concrete materials", Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, 2002; Vol.14 (2), Pp. 173 -176. https://doi.org/10.1061/ (ASCE)0899-1561(2002)14:2(173)

WSDOT M46 - 01. 15. "Materials manual". Washington State Department of TransportationMaterials Laboratory, Washington D.C, USA, 2013; http://www.wsdot. wa.gov/publications/manual/m46-01.htm.

WSDOT M46 - 01. 24. "Materials manual". Washington State Department of Transportation Materials Laboratory, Washington D.C, USA, 2016;http://www.wsdot. wa.gov/publications/manual/m46-01.htm.

WSDOT M41 - 10. "Standard specifications". Washington State Department of Transportation Materials Laboratory, Washington D.C, USA, 2013; http://www. wsdot.wa.gov/publications/manual/full text/ m41-10/ division9.



About the authors

80

ALBAN CHIDIEBERE OGBONNA

Lecturer/Researcher

Department of civil engineering, college of engineering, Waziri Umaru Federal Polytechnic, Birnin Kebbi, Kebbi State, Nigeria

Main research area

Pavement material engineering, Traffic engineering and Transportation engineering

Address

Department of civil engineering, college of engineering, Waziri Umaru Federal Polytechnic, Birnin Kebbi, P.M.B 1034, Birnin Kebbi GPO, Birnin Kebbi City, Kebbi State, Nigeria. Tel. +2348039256430 E-mail: alban.ogbonna@yahoo.com

ABUBAKAR MIKAILU

Lecturer/Researcher

Department of civil engineering, college of engineering, Waziri Umaru Federal Polytechnic, Birnin Kebbi, Kebbi State, Nigeria

Main research area

Structural engineering, Foundation engineering and Concrete technology

Address

Department of civil engineering, college of engineering, Waziri Umaru Federal Polytechnic, Birnin Kebbi, P.M.B 1034, Birnin Kebbi GPO, Birnin Kebbi City, Kebbi State, Nigeria. Tel. +2347031276736 E-mail: mikaabuba@gmail.com