

# Connectedness in Fuzzy bitopological Spaces

M.K.Gupta

Department of Mathematics. Ch. Charan Singh University  
Meerut-250005. (INDIA)

Rupen Pratap Singh <sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics. Ch. Charan Singh University  
Meerut-250005. (INDIA)  
r\_p\_rp@rediffmail.com

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we extend the four notions of connectedness introduced by Ajmal and Kohli [1] to pairwise connectedness for an arbitrary fuzzy set in fuzzy bitopological spaces  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and discuss the implications that exist between them. These conditions are called  $c_k$ - pairwise connectedness ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ). We establish that the union of an arbitrary family of  $c_k$ - pairwise connected ( $k = 1, 2$ ) fuzzy set which are pairwise intersecting is  $c_k$ - pairwise connected ( $k = 1, 2$ ). Also the union of arbitrary family of  $c_k$ - pairwise connected ( $k = 3, 4$ ) fuzzy set which are overlapping is  $c_k$ - pairwise connected ( $k = 3, 4$ ). It is also shown that  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - closure of a  $c_1$ - pairwise connected fuzzy set need not be a  $c_1$ - pairwise connected fuzzy set. We also discuss the preservation of  $c_k$ - pairwise connectedness ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) under fuzzy pairwise continuous mapping and fuzzy pairwise open mapping.

## RESUMEN

En este artículo extendemos las cuatro nociones de conexidad introducidas por Ajmal y Kohli [1] a conexidad por parejas para un conjunto difuso arbitrario en espacios bitopológicos difusos  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  y discutimos las implicaciones que

---

<sup>1</sup>The second author sincerely acknowledges to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (C.S.I.R.) New Delhi, for providing the financial support in the form of Senior Research Fellowship.

existen entre ellos. Estas condiciones se llaman conexidad por parejas  $c_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ). Establecemos que la unión de una familia arbitraria de conjuntos conexos por parejas  $c_k$ , ( $k = 1, 2$ ) que se intersectan por parejas es conexo por parejas  $c_k$ , ( $k = 1, 2$ ). Además, la unión de una familia arbitraria de conjuntos conexos por parejas  $c_k$ , ( $k = 3, 4$ ) que se sobreponen es conexo por parejas  $c_k$ , ( $k = 3, 4$ ). También se muestra que la cerradura  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$  de un conjunto difuso por parejas  $c_1$  no necesita ser un conjunto difuso por parejas  $c_1$ . Además discutimos la preservación de la conexidad por pares  $c_k$  ( $k=1, 2, 3, 4$ ) bajo la aplicación continua difusa por parejas y la aplicación abierta difusa por parejas.

**Key words and phrases:** *Fuzzy bitopological spaces, fuzzy pairwise connectedness, fuzzy pairwise continuity, overlapping.*  
**AMS Subject Class.:** *54A40*

## 1 Introduction

A fuzzy bitopological space [5] (in short, fbts) is a triple  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  where  $X$  is a set and  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  are two fuzzy topologies on  $X$ .

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping from  $X$  into  $Y$ . If  $\lambda$  is a fuzzy set in  $X$  and  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set in  $Y$  then  $f(\lambda)$  and  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  are defined as follows:

$$f(\lambda)(y) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\lambda(x)\} & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \text{ is non-empty} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $f^{-1}(\mu)(x) = \mu(f(x))$  for every  $x \in X$ .

A fuzzy mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be fuzzy continuous if the inverse image of every fuzzy open (closed) set in  $Y$  is fuzzy open (closed) in  $X$ .

A fuzzy mapping  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be fuzzy open (resp. fuzzy closed) if the image of every fuzzy open (resp. closed) set in  $X$  is fuzzy open (closed) in  $Y$ .

A mapping from fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  to  $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is called fuzzy pairwise continuous (rsep. Fuzzy pairwise open) if the induced maps  $f : (X, \tau_i) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_i)$   $i = 1, 2$  are fuzzy continuous (rsep. fuzzy open).

For a fuzzy set  $\lambda$  of  $X$ , the  $\tau_i$ -closure and  $\tau_i$ -interior are defined respectively, as

$$\tau_i - cl(\lambda) = \inf\{\nu : \nu \geq \lambda, 1 - \nu \in \tau_i\}$$

$$\tau_i - int(\lambda) = \sup\{\nu : \nu \leq \lambda, \nu \in \tau_i\}$$

## 2 Fuzzy Pairwise Connectedness

**Definition 2.1** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a fuzzy bitopological space. A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  of  $X$  is said to have a  $\tau_k$ -pairwise disconnection ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) if there exists  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy open

set  $\mu$  and  $\tau_j$ -fuzzy open set  $\nu$  in  $X$  for  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$  such that, respectively,

$$c_1 : \lambda \leq \mu \vee \nu \quad \mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - \lambda \quad \lambda \wedge \mu \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \wedge \nu \neq 0$$

$$c_2 : \lambda \leq \mu \vee \nu \quad \mu \wedge \nu \wedge \lambda = 0 \quad \lambda \wedge \mu \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda \wedge \nu \neq 0$$

$$c_3 : \lambda \leq \mu \vee \nu \quad \mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - \lambda \quad \mu \not\leq 1 - \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \nu \not\leq 1 - \lambda$$

$$c_4 : \lambda \leq \mu \vee \nu \quad \mu \wedge \nu \wedge \lambda = 0 \quad \mu \not\leq 1 - \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \nu \not\leq 1 - \lambda$$

**Definition 2.2** A fuzzy set  $\lambda$  in a fbts  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be  $c_k$ -pairwise connected ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) if there exists no  $c_k$ -pairwise disconnection ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) of  $\lambda$  in  $X$ .

In a fbts  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ ,  $c_k$ -pairwise connected ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) fuzzy sets can be described by the following diagram:

$$c_1 \Rightarrow c_2, \quad c_1 \Rightarrow c_3$$

$$c_2 \Rightarrow c_4, \quad c_3 \Rightarrow c_4$$

We demonstrate through examples that the inclusions are proper, moreover the intersection of the classes of  $c_2$ - pairwise connected and  $c_3$ - pairwise connected fuzzy sets may not be empty. In that, there exist fuzzy sets in fbts which are  $c_2$ - pairwise connected as well as  $c_3$ - pairwise connected but not  $c_1$ - pairwise connected. So,  $c_2$ - pairwise connectedness and  $c_3$ - pairwise connectedness even together do not imply  $c_1$ - pairwise connectedness.

Implications of the above diagram are immediate from the definitions. Here, we illustrate all the reverse implications by counter examples.

**Example 2.3** Fuzzy set which is  $c_4$  but not  $c_3$ .

Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and define fuzzy sets  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  as follows:

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \nu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $\tau_1 = \{0, \mu, 1\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{0, \nu, 1\}$  are fuzzy topologies on  $X$ . Define fuzzy set  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda(x) = \frac{2}{3}$  if  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . Then  $\lambda$  is  $c_4$ - pairwise connected but not  $c_3$ - pairwise connected.

**Example 2.4** Fuzzy set which is  $c_4$  but not  $c_2$ .

Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and define fuzzy sets  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  as follows:

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \nu(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $\tau_1 = \{0, \mu, 1\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{0, \nu, 1\}$  are fuzzy topologies on  $X$ . Define fuzzy set  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{3}$  if  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . Then  $\lambda$  is  $c_4$ - pairwise connected but not  $c_2$ - pairwise connected.

**Example 2.5** Fuzzy set which is  $c_3$  and  $c_2$  but not  $c_1$ .

Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and define fuzzy sets  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  as follows:

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \nu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $\tau_1 = \{0, \mu, 1\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{0, \nu, 1\}$  are fuzzy topologies on  $X$ . Define fuzzy set  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{3}$  for all  $x \in X$ . Here  $\lambda$  is  $c_3$ -pairwise connected and  $c_2$ -pairwise connected but not  $c_1$ -pairwise connected.

**Remark 2.6** Example 2.5 also establishes the fact that the intersection of the classes of  $c_2$ -pairwise connected and  $c_3$ -pairwise connected fuzzy sets in a fpts may not be empty.

**Example 2.7** Fuzzy set which is  $c_3$  but not  $c_2$ .

Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and define fuzzy sets  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  as follows:

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \nu(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $\tau_1 = \{0, \mu, 1\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{0, \nu, 1\}$  are fuzzy topologies on  $X$ . Define fuzzy set  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$ . Then  $\lambda$  is  $c_3$ -pairwise connected but not  $c_2$ -pairwise connected.

**Example 2.8** Fuzzy set which is  $c_2$  but not  $c_3$ .

Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and define fuzzy sets  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  as follows:

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \nu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \frac{1}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $\tau_1 = \{0, \mu, 1\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{0, \nu, 1\}$  are fuzzy topologies on  $X$ . Define fuzzy set  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda(x) = \frac{2}{3}$  for all  $x \in X$ . Here  $\lambda$  is  $c_2$ -pairwise connected but not  $c_3$ -pairwise connected.

**Remark 2.9** Example 2.7 and 2.8 establish the fact that the classes of  $c_2$ -pairwise connected and  $c_3$ -pairwise connected fuzzy sets in a fpts may not be comparable.

By choosing fuzzy set  $\lambda$  to be fuzzy whole space (constant function  $1_X$ ) in all four forms of pairwise disconnections, it is easily verified that we get the same pairwise disconnection of fuzzy space  $1_X$  which we refer as  $c$ -pairwise disconnection of fuzzy spaces  $1_X$  i.e. there exists non-zero  $\tau_i$ -fuzzy open set  $\mu$  and  $\tau_j$ -fuzzy open set  $\nu$  such that  $\mu \vee \nu = 1$  and  $\mu \wedge \nu = 0$ .

**Definition 2.10** A fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be *c*-pairwise connected if there exists no *c*-pairwise disconnection of  $1_X$ .

We observe that a fuzzy point  $x_\alpha$  is  $c_2$ - as well as  $c_3$  pairwise connected and hence  $c_4$  pairwise connected but not necessarily  $c_1$  pairwise connected.

**Example 2.11** Let  $X = \{x, y\}$  define fuzzy sets  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  as  $\mu(x) = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\mu(y) = \frac{2}{3}$  and  $\nu(x) = \frac{2}{3}$ ,  $\nu(y) = \frac{1}{3}$ . Then  $\tau_1 = \{0, \mu, 1\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{0, \nu, 1\}$  are fuzzy topologies on  $X$ . Here the fuzzy point  $x_{\frac{1}{3}}$  is not  $c_1$ -pairwise connected. Moreover, we observe that the fuzzy set  $0$  is *c*-pairwise connected and hence  $c_k$ -pairwise connected ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ).

**Definition 2.12** Two fuzzy sets  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  in a fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  are said to be intersecting if  $\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2 \neq 0$ .

**Definition 2.13** Two fuzzy sets  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  in a fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  are said to be non-overlapping if  $\lambda_1 \leq 1 - \lambda_2$ .  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are overlapping if there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that  $\lambda_1(x) > 1 - \lambda_2(x)$ .

In this case  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are said to overlap at  $x$ .

**Theorem 2.14** If  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are intersecting  $c_1$ -pairwise connected fuzzy sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2$  is a  $c_1$ -pairwise connected fuzzy set in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are  $c_1$ -pairwise connected fuzzy sets therefore they have no  $c_1$ -pairwise disconnection i.e. there exists  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be  $\tau_i, \tau_j$ - fuzzy open sets in  $X$  such that

$$\lambda_1 \leq \mu \vee \nu \quad \mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - \lambda_1 \quad \lambda_1 \wedge \mu = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda \wedge \nu = 0$$

$$\lambda_2 \leq \mu \vee \nu \quad \mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - \lambda_2 \quad \lambda_2 \wedge \mu = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda \wedge \nu = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \leq \mu \vee \nu \quad \mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - (\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2) \quad \text{---(1)}$$

$$(\lambda_1 \wedge \mu = 0 \text{ or } \lambda_1 \wedge \nu = 0) \quad \text{and} \quad (\lambda_2 \wedge \mu = 0 \text{ or } \lambda_2 \wedge \nu = 0) \quad \text{---(2)}$$

Suppose  $\lambda_1 \wedge \mu = 0$ . Since  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are intersecting, there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that  $\lambda_1(x_1) \neq 0 \neq \lambda_2(x_1)$ . we claim that  $\lambda_2 \wedge \nu \neq 0$ . Let if possible  $\lambda_2 \wedge \nu = 0$  then  $(\lambda_2 \wedge \nu)(x_1) = 0$  but  $\lambda_2(x_1) \neq 0$  implies that  $\mu(x_1) = 0$ . Hence  $(\mu \vee \nu)(x_1) = 0$  this contradict (1) as  $(\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2)(x_1) = 0$ . Therefore we have  $\lambda_2 \wedge \nu \neq 0$  this gives from (2)  $\lambda_2 \wedge \mu = 0$  and hence  $(\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2) \wedge \mu = 0$  ---(3)

Again suppose  $\lambda_1 \wedge \nu = 0$ . we can show as above that  $\lambda_2 \wedge \mu = 0$  is not possible, hence  $\lambda_2 \wedge \nu = 0$ . Therefore  $(\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2) \wedge \nu = 0$  ---(4)

So  $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2$  is  $c_1$ -pairwise connected. ■

The proof is similar for  $c_2$ -pairwise connectedness.

The following example shows that the above theorem is not valid for non-intersecting fuzzy sets.

**Example 2.15** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and define fuzzy sets  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  as follows:

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \nu(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $\tau_1 = \{0, \mu, 1\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{0, \nu, 1\}$  are fuzzy topologies on  $X$ . Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be defined as

$$\lambda_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \lambda_2(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Here  $\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2 = 0$ , also it can be easily verified that  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are  $c_2$ -pairwise connected fuzzy sets but  $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2$  is not  $c_2$ -pairwise connected.

**Theorem 2.16** Let  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of  $c_1$ - pairwise connected ( $c_2$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy sets in fpts  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that for  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$  the fuzzy sets  $\lambda_i$  and  $\lambda_j$  are intersecting then  $\bigvee_{i \in I} \lambda_i$  is a  $c_1$ - pairwise connected ( $c_2$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy set in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda = \bigvee_{i \in I} \lambda_i$ . Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be  $\tau_i, \tau_j$ - fuzzy open sets in  $X$  such that  $\lambda \leq \mu \vee \nu$  and  $\mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - \lambda$ . Now let  $\lambda_{i_0}$  be any fuzzy set of given family then  $\lambda_{i_0} \leq \mu \vee \nu$  and  $\mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - \lambda \Rightarrow \mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - \bigvee_{i \in I} \lambda_i \Rightarrow \mu \wedge \nu \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} (1 - \lambda_i) \Rightarrow \mu \wedge \nu \leq \lambda_{i_0}$ .

But  $\lambda_{i_0}$  is  $c_1$ - pairwise connected therefore  $\lambda_{i_0} \wedge \mu = 0$  or  $\lambda_{i_0} \wedge \nu = 0$ .

Now if  $\lambda_{i_0} \wedge \mu = 0$  then as in theorem 2.14, we can prove  $\lambda_i \wedge \nu = 0$  for each  $i \in I - \{i_0\}$ . Therefore  $\bigvee_{i \in I} (\lambda_i \wedge \mu) = 0$  this implies  $\lambda \wedge \mu = 0$ . Therefore  $\lambda$  is  $c_1$ - pairwise connected. ■

**Corollary 2.17** Let  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of  $c_1$ - pairwise connected ( $c_2$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy sets in fpts  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} \lambda_i \neq 0$  then  $\bigvee_{i \in I} \lambda_i$  is a  $c_1$ - pairwise connected ( $c_2$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy set in  $X$ .

**Proof.** - Follows by using theorem 2.16. ■

**Corollary 2.18** If  $\langle \lambda_n \rangle$  be a sequence of  $c_1$ - pairwise connected ( $c_2$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy sets in fpts  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that for each  $n$ ,  $\lambda_n$  and  $\lambda_{n+1}$  are intersecting. Then  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n$  is a  $c_1$ - pairwise connected ( $c_2$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy set in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Follows by induction and using theorem 2.14. ■

The following example shows that the theorem 2.14 fails for  $c_3$ - pairwise connectedness ( $c_4$ - pairwise connectedness).

**Example 2.19** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and define fuzzy sets  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  as follows:

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{5} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{4}{5} & \text{if } \frac{4}{5} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \nu(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{5} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{2}{5} & \text{if } \frac{4}{5} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $\tau_1 = \{0, \mu, 1\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{0, \nu, 1\}$  are fuzzy topologies on  $X$ . Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  be

defined as

$$\lambda_1(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{5} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } \frac{4}{5} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \lambda_2(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{5} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{2}{5} & \text{if } \frac{4}{5} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

Here  $\lambda_1 \wedge \lambda_2 \neq 0$ , and we can be easily verified that  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are  $c_3$ -pairwise connected fuzzy sets but  $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2$  is not  $c_3$ -pairwise connected fuzzy set in  $X$ .

For  $c_3$ - pairwise connectedness ( $c_4$  - pairwise connectedness) we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.20** *If  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are overlapping  $c_3$ -pairwise connected ( $c_4$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy sets in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , then  $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2$  is a  $c_3$ -pairwise connected ( $c_4$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy set in  $X$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are  $c_3$ -pairwise connected fuzzy sets therefore they have no  $c_3$ -pairwise disconnection i.e. there exists  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be  $\tau_1, \tau_2$ - fuzzy open sets in  $X$  such that

$$\lambda_1 \leq \mu \vee \nu \quad \mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - \lambda_1 \quad \mu \leq 1 - \lambda_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \nu \leq 1 - \lambda_1$$

$$\lambda_2 \leq \mu \vee \nu \quad \mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - \lambda_2 \quad \mu \leq 1 - \lambda_2 \quad \text{or} \quad \nu \leq 1 - \lambda_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \leq \mu \vee \nu \quad \mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - (\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2) \text{ --- (1)}$$

$$(\mu \leq 1 - \lambda_1 \text{ or } \nu \leq 1 - \lambda_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mu \leq 1 - \lambda_2 \text{ or } \nu \leq 1 - \lambda_2) \text{ --- (2)}$$

Moreover  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are overlapping fuzzy sets, there exists  $x_1 \in X$  such that

$$\lambda_1(x_1) > 1 - \lambda_2(x_1) \text{ --- (3)}$$

Suppose  $\mu \leq 1 - \lambda_1 \Rightarrow \mu(x_1) \leq 1 - \lambda_1(x_1)$  this implies in view of (3)

$$\mu(x_1) \leq \lambda_2(x_1) \text{ --- (4)}$$

We claim  $\nu \not\leq 1 - \lambda_2$ . For if  $\nu \leq 1 - \lambda_2$

$$\Rightarrow \nu(x_1) \leq 1 - \lambda_2(x_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \nu(x_1) \leq \lambda_1(x_1) \quad [Using(3)] \text{ --- (5)}$$

By (4) and (5)  $(\mu \vee \nu)(x_1) < (\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2)(x_1) \Rightarrow \lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2 \not\leq \mu \vee \nu$  this contradicts (1). Hence  $\nu \not\leq 1 - \lambda_2$  Therefore  $\mu \leq 1 - \lambda_2$ .

We have  $\mu \leq 1 - \lambda_1$  and  $\mu \leq 1 - \lambda_2$  implies  $\mu \leq (1 - \lambda_1) \wedge (1 - \lambda_2)$ .

Again suppose  $\nu \leq 1 - \lambda_1$ . We can show as above  $\mu \leq 1 - \lambda_2$  is not possible, hence  $\nu \leq 1 - \lambda_2$  and therefore  $\nu \leq 1 - (\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2)$ . Thus  $\lambda_1 \vee \lambda_2$  is  $c_3$ - pairwise connected. ■

**Theorem 2.21** Let  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of  $c_3$ - pairwise connected ( $c_4$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy sets in fpts  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that for  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2$  the fuzzy sets  $\lambda_i$  and  $\lambda_j$  are overlapping then  $\bigvee_{i \in I} \lambda_i$  is a  $c_3$ - pairwise connected ( $c_4$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy set in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda = \bigvee_{i \in I} \lambda_i$ . Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be  $\tau_i, \tau_j$ -fuzzy open sets in  $X$  such that  $\lambda \leq \mu \vee \nu$  and  $\mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - \lambda$ . Now let  $\lambda_{i_0}$  be any fuzzy set of given family then  $\lambda_{i_0} \leq \mu \vee \nu$  and  $\mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - \lambda \Rightarrow \mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - \bigvee_{i \in I} \lambda_i \Rightarrow \mu \wedge \nu \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} (1 - \lambda_i) \Rightarrow \mu \wedge \nu \leq \lambda_{i_0}$ .

But  $\lambda_{i_0}$  is  $c_3$ - pairwise connected therefore  $\mu \leq \lambda_{i_0}$  or  $\nu \leq \lambda_{i_0}$ .

Now if  $\mu \leq \lambda_{i_0}$  then as in theorem 2.20, we can prove  $\mu \leq \lambda_i$  for each  $i \in I - \{i_0\}$ . Since  $\lambda_{i_0}$  and  $\lambda_i$  are overlapping,  $c_3$ - pairwise connected fuzzy sets we have

$$\mu \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} (1 - \lambda_i) = 1 - \bigvee_{i \in I} \lambda_i = 1 - \lambda$$

Similarly if  $\nu \leq \lambda_{i_0}$  we can easily show that  $\nu \leq \lambda$ . Hence  $\lambda$  is  $c_3$ - pairwise connected. ■

**Corollary 2.22** If  $\langle \lambda_n \rangle$  be a sequence of  $c_3$ - pairwise connected ( $c_4$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy sets in fpts  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that for each  $n$ ,  $\lambda_n$  and  $\lambda_{n+1}$  are overlapping. Then  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n$  is a  $c_3$ - pairwise connected ( $c_4$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy set in  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.23** If  $\lambda$  is a  $c_3$ -pairwise connected ( $c_4$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy set in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and  $\lambda \leq \delta \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j)cl - \lambda$ . Then  $\delta$  is also a  $c_3$ - pairwise connected ( $c_4$ -pairwise connected) fuzzy set in  $X$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be  $\tau_i, \tau_j$ -fuzzy open sets in  $X$  such that  $\delta \leq \mu \vee \nu$  and  $\mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - \delta$ . Since  $\lambda$  is a  $c_3$ - pairwise connected then  $\lambda \leq 1 - \mu$  or  $\lambda \leq 1 - \nu$

If  $\lambda \leq 1 - \mu \Rightarrow (\tau_i, \tau_j) - cl \lambda \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - cl(1 - \mu)$

$$= 1 - (\tau_i, \tau_j) - int \mu = 1 - \mu.$$

Therefore  $\delta \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - cl \lambda \leq 1 - \mu$ .

If  $\lambda \leq 1 - \nu \Rightarrow (\tau_i, \tau_j) - cl \lambda \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - cl(1 - \nu)$

$$= 1 - (\tau_i, \tau_j) - int \nu = 1 - \nu.$$

Therefore  $\delta \leq (\tau_i, \tau_j) - cl \lambda \leq 1 - \nu$ .

Hence  $\delta$  is  $c_3$ - pairwise connected. ■ However the above theorem fails in the case of  $c_1$ - pairwise connectedness ( $c_2$ -pairwise connectedness). This example shows that the  $(\tau_i, \tau_j)$ - closure of a  $c_1$ - pairwise connected fuzzy set need not be a  $c_1$ - pairwise connected fuzzy set.

**Example 2.24** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and define fuzzy sets  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3$  and  $\mu_4$  as follows:

$$\mu_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \mu_2(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_3(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad \mu_4(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{3} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$$



Then  $\tau_1 = \{0, \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, 1\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{0, \mu_4, 1\}$  are fuzzy topologies on  $X$ .

Define fuzzy set  $\lambda$  by  $\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{2}{3} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{2}{3} \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases}$  Here  $\lambda$  is a  $c_1$ - pairwise connected fuzzy set but  $(\tau_i, \tau_j) - cl\lambda$  is not  $c_1$ - pairwise connected.

### 3 Fuzzy Pairwise Connectedness And Fuzzy Pairwise Mappings

In the following theorems, we discuss the preservation of  $c_k$ -pairwise connectedness ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) under fuzzy pairwise continuity, pairwise open mappings.

**Theorem 3.1** *If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a fuzzy pairwise continuous bijection and  $\lambda$  is a  $c_1$ - pairwise connected fuzzy set in  $X$ , then  $f(\lambda)$  is a  $c_1$ - pairwise connected fuzzy set in  $Y$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $f(\lambda)$  is not  $c_1$ - pairwise connected then  $f(\lambda)$  has a  $c_1$ - pairwise disconnection i.e. there exists  $\sigma_i$ - fuzzy open set  $\mu$  and  $\sigma_j$  - fuzzy open set  $\nu$  in  $Y$ , for  $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$  such that,

$$f(\lambda) \leq \mu \vee \nu \quad \mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - f(\lambda) \quad f(\lambda) \wedge \mu \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad f(\lambda) \wedge \nu \neq 0$$

$$\text{Therefore } \lambda \leq f^{-1}(\mu) \vee f^{-1}(\nu) \text{ and } f^{-1}(\mu) \wedge f^{-1}(\nu) \leq 1 - f^{-1}f(\lambda) \leq 1 - \lambda$$

where  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  and  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  are  $\tau_i, \tau_j$ -fuzzy open sets in  $X$ . since  $f$  is fuzzy pairwise continuous mapping . We claim that  $\lambda \wedge f^{-1}(\mu) \neq 0$  and  $\lambda \wedge f^{-1}(\nu) \neq 0$ . suppose  $\lambda \wedge f^{-1}(\mu) = 0 \Rightarrow f(\lambda) \wedge f^{-1}f^{-1}(\mu) = 0 \Rightarrow f(\lambda) \wedge \mu = 0$ . Which contradict  $f(\lambda) \wedge \mu \neq 0$ .

Thus  $\lambda$  is not a  $c_1$ - pairwise connected fuzzy set. ■ The proof is similar for  $c_2$ -pairwise connectedness.

**Theorem 3.2** *If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  is a fuzzy pairwise continuous surjection and  $\lambda$  is a  $c_3$ - pairwise connected fuzzy set in  $X$ , then  $f(\lambda)$  is a  $c_3$ - pairwise connected fuzzy set in  $Y$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $f(\lambda)$  is not  $c_3$ - pairwise connected then  $f(\lambda)$  has a  $c_3$ - pairwise disconnection i.e. there exists  $\sigma_i$ - fuzzy open set  $\mu$  and  $\sigma_j$  - fuzzy open set  $\nu$  in  $Y$ , for  $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$  such that,

$$f(\lambda) \leq \mu \vee \nu \quad \mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - f(\lambda) \quad \mu \not\leq 1 - f(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu \not\leq 1 - f(\lambda)$$

$$\text{Therefore } \lambda \leq f^{-1}(\mu) \vee f^{-1}(\nu) \text{ and } f^{-1}(\mu) \wedge f^{-1}(\nu) \leq 1 - f^{-1}f(\lambda) \leq 1 - \lambda$$

where  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  and  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  are  $\tau_i, \tau_j$ -fuzzy open sets in  $X$ . since  $f$  is fuzzy pairwise continuous mapping. Now since  $\mu \not\leq 1 - f(\lambda)$  and  $\nu \not\leq 1 - f(\lambda)$  therefore there exists  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$  such that

$$\mu(y_1) > 1 - f(\lambda)(y_1)$$

$$\nu(y_2) > 1 - f(\lambda)(y_2)$$

Also since  $f$  is onto,  $f^{-1}(\mu)$  and  $f^{-1}(\nu)$  are non-empty subsets of  $X$ .

By definition  $f^{-1}(\mu)(x_i) = \mu(y_1)$  for every  $x_i \in f^{-1}(y_1)$

and  $f(\lambda)(y_1) = \text{Sup}\{\lambda(x_i)\}$

We claim  $f^{-1}(\mu) \not\leq 1 - \lambda$  and  $f^{-1}(\nu) \not\leq 1 - \lambda$ . Suppose if possible  $f^{-1}(\mu) \leq 1 - \lambda$

$\Rightarrow f^{-1}(\mu)(x_i) \leq 1 - \lambda(x_i)$  for every  $x_i \in f^{-1}(y_1)$

$\Rightarrow \lambda(x_i) \leq 1 - f^{-1}(\mu)(x_i)$  for every  $x_i \in f^{-1}(y_1)$

$\Rightarrow \lambda(x_i) \leq 1 - (\mu)(y_1)$  for every  $x_i \in f^{-1}(y_1)$

$\Rightarrow \text{Sup}\{\lambda(x_i)\} \leq 1 - \mu(y_1) \Rightarrow f(\lambda)(y_1) \leq 1 - \mu(y_1)$  this contradicts(1).

Similarly  $f^{-1}(\nu) \leq 1 - \lambda$  contradicts (2). Hence  $\lambda$  is not a  $c_3$ - pairwise connected. ■

The proof is similar for  $c_4$ - pairwise connectedness.

**Theorem 3.3** *If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a fuzzy pairwise open bijection and  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy set in  $Y$  then  $\lambda$  is  $c_1$ - pairwise connected implies that  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  is  $c_1$ - pairwise connected.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  is not  $c_1$ - pairwise connected then  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  has a  $c_1$ -pairwise disconnection i.e. there exists  $\tau_i$ - fuzzy open set  $\mu$  and  $\tau_j$  - fuzzy open set  $\nu$  in  $X$ , for  $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$  such that,

$f^{-1}(\lambda) \leq \mu \vee \nu$   $\mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - f^{-1}(\lambda)$   $f^{-1}(\lambda) \wedge \mu \neq 0$  or  $f^{-1}(\lambda) \wedge \nu \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow ff^{-1}(\lambda) \leq f(\mu) \vee f(\nu)$ ,  $f(\mu) \wedge f(\nu) \leq 1 - ff^{-1}(\lambda)$

$\Rightarrow \lambda \leq f(\mu) \vee f(\nu)$ ,  $f(\mu) \wedge f(\nu) \leq 1 - \lambda$

Where  $f(\mu)$  is  $\sigma_i$ -fuzzy open set and  $f(\nu)$  is  $\sigma_j$ - fuzzy open set in  $Y$ , since  $f$  is fuzzy pairwise open mapping.

Now we claim that  $\lambda \wedge f(\mu) \neq 0$  and  $\lambda \wedge f(\nu) \neq 0$ .

Suppose  $\lambda \wedge f(\mu) = 0 \Rightarrow f^{-1}\lambda \wedge f^{-1}f(\mu) = 0 \Rightarrow f^{-1}(\lambda) \wedge \mu = 0$

Which contradicts the  $f^{-1}(\lambda) \wedge \mu \neq 0$ . ■ The proof is similar for  $c_2$ -pairwise connectedness.

**Theorem 3.4** *If  $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$  be a fuzzy pairwise open bijection and  $\lambda$  be a fuzzy set in  $Y$  then  $\lambda$  is  $c_1$ - pairwise connected implies that  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  is  $c_1$ - pairwise connected.*

**Proof.** Suppose  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  is not  $c_3$ - pairwise connected then  $f^{-1}(\lambda)$  has a  $c_3$ -pairwise disconnection i.e. there exists  $\tau_i$ - fuzzy open set  $\mu$  and  $\tau_j$  - fuzzy open set  $\nu$  in  $X$ , for  $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$  such that,

$f^{-1}(\lambda) \leq \mu \vee \nu$   $\mu \wedge \nu \leq 1 - f^{-1}(\lambda)$   $\mu \not\leq 1 - f^{-1}(\lambda)$  and  $\nu \not\leq 1 - f^{-1}(\lambda)$

$\Rightarrow ff^{-1}(\lambda) \leq f(\mu) \vee f(\nu)$ ,  $f(\mu) \wedge f(\nu) \leq 1 - ff^{-1}(\lambda)$

$\Rightarrow \lambda \leq f(\mu) \vee f(\nu)$ ,  $f(\mu) \wedge f(\nu) \leq 1 - \lambda$

Where  $f(\mu)$  is  $\sigma_i$ -fuzzy open set and  $f(\nu)$  is  $\sigma_j$ - fuzzy open set in  $Y$ , since  $f$  is fuzzy pairwise open mapping.

Now since  $\mu \not\leq 1 - f^{-1}(\lambda)$  and  $\nu \not\leq 1 - f^{-1}(\lambda)$  therefore there exists  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that

$$\mu(x_1) > 1 - f^{-1}(\lambda)(x_1)$$

$$\nu(x_2) > 1 - f^{-1}(\lambda)(x_2)$$

Also  $f(x_1) = y_1$  and  $f(x_2) = y_2$  are non-empty subsets of  $Y$ .

By definition  $f(\mu)(y_1) = \text{Sup}\{\mu(x_i)\}$

and  $f^{-1}(\lambda)(x_i) = \lambda(y_1)$  for every  $x_i \in f^{-1}(y_1)$ .

We claim that  $f(\mu) \not\leq 1 - \lambda$  and  $f(\nu) \not\leq 1 - \lambda$

Suppose  $f(\mu) \leq 1 - \lambda \Rightarrow f(\mu)(y_1) \leq 1 - \lambda(y_1)$  for  $x_i \in f^{-1}(y_1)$

$\Rightarrow f(\mu)(y_1) \leq 1 - \lambda(y_1)$  for  $x_i \in f^{-1}(y_1)$

$\Rightarrow \text{Sup}\{\mu(x_i)\} \leq 1 - f^{-1}(\lambda)(x_1)$

$\Rightarrow \mu(x_1) \leq 1 - f^{-1}(\lambda)(x_1)$  this contradicts (1).

Similarly we can show  $f(\nu) \not\leq 1 - \lambda$ . Therefore  $\lambda$  is not  $c_3$ - pairwise connected. ■

The proof is similar for  $c_4$ - pairwise connectedness.

Received: April 2005. Revised: June 2005.

## References

- [1] NASSEEM AJMAL AND J.K.KOHLI, *Connectedness in fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **31** (3) (1989), 369-388.
- [2] C.L.CHANG, *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Annl. Appl.**24** (1968), 182-190.
- [3] U.V.FETTEH AND D.S.BASSAN, *Fuzzy connectedness and its stronger forms*, J. Math. Annl. Appl. **3** (1985), 449-464.
- [4] A.KANDIL AND M.E.EL-SHAFEE, *Biproximities and fuzzy bitopological spaces*, Simon stevin **63** (1989), N<sup>o</sup>. 1, 45-66.
- [5] T.KUBIAK, *Fuzzy bitopological spaces and fuzzy quasi-proximities*, Proc. Polish Symph. Interval and fuzzy mathematics, Poznan 26-29, August 1983.
- [6] PU PAO-MING AND LIU YING-MING , *Fuzzy topology I. Neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and moore smith convergence*, J. Math. Annl. Appl. **76** (1980), 571-599.
- [7] L.A.ZADEH, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform and control ( Shenyang ) **8** (1965), 338-353.