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#### Abstract

We study an inverse problem of recovering arbitrary order ordinary differential operators on compact star-type graphs from a system of spectra. We establish properties of spectral characteristics, and provide a procedure for constructing the solution of the inverse problem of recovering coefficients of differential equations from the given spectra.


## RESUMEN

Estudiamos un problema inverso de recuperar el orden de operadores diferenciales ordinarios sobre graficos compactos de tipo estrellado a partir de un sistema de espectro. Propiedades de la caracteristica espectral son establecidas y es dado un procecimiento para construir la solución del problema inverso de recuperar coeficientes de ecuaciones diferenciales a partir del espectro.
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## 1 Introduction

We study the inverse spectral problem of recovering arbitrary order differential operators on compact star-type graphs from a system of spectra. We prove a corresponding uniqueness theorem and provide a constructive procedure for the solution of this inverse problem. For studying this inverse problem we develop the ideas of the method of spectral mappings [1]. The obtained results are natural generalizations of the well-known results on inverse problems for the differential operators on an interval ([1]-[4]). We note that boundary value problems on graphs (networks, trees) often appear in natural sciences and engineering (see [5] and the references therein).

Consider a compact star-type graph $T$ in $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{m}}$ with the set of vertices $V=\left\{v_{0}, \ldots, v_{p}\right\}$ and the set of edges $\mathcal{E}=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p}\right\}$, where $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{p-1}$ are the boundary vertices, $v_{p}$ is the internal vertex, and $e_{p}=\left[v_{0}, v_{p}\right], e_{j}=\left[v_{p}, v_{j}\right], j=\overline{1, p-1}, e_{1} \cap \ldots \cap e_{p}=\left\{v_{p}\right\}$. For simplicity we suppose that the length of each edge is equal to 1 (it follows from the proofs that the results remain true for arbitrary lengths of the edges). Each edge $e_{j} \in \mathcal{E}$ is parameterized by the parameter $x \in[0,1]$. It is convenient for us to choose the following orientation: $x=0$ corresponds to the boundary vertices $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{p-1}$, and $x=1$ corresponds to the internal vertex $v_{p}$. An integrable function $Y$ on $T$ may be represented as $Y(x)=\left\{y_{j}(x)\right\}_{j=\overline{1, p}}$, $x \in[0,1]$, where the function $y_{j}(x)$ is defined on the edge $e_{j}$.

Fix $n \geq 2$. Let $q_{\nu}(x)=\left\{q_{\nu j}(x)\right\}_{j=\overline{1, p}}, \nu=\overline{0, n-2}$ be integrable complex-valued functions on $T$. Consider the following $n$-th order differential equation on $T$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j}^{(n)}(x)+\sum_{\nu=0}^{n-2} q_{\nu j}(x) y_{j}^{(\nu)}(x)=\lambda y_{j}(x), \quad j=\overline{1, p} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is the spectral parameter, $q_{\nu j}(x)$ are complex-valued integrable functions, and $y_{j}^{(\nu)}(x) \in A C[0,1], j=\overline{1, p}, \nu=\overline{0, n-1}$. Denote by $q=\left\{q_{\nu}\right\}_{\nu=\overline{0, n-2}}$ the set of the coefficients of equation (1); $q$ is called the potential. Consider the linear forms

$$
U_{j \nu}\left(y_{j}\right)=\sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} \gamma_{j \nu \mu} y_{j}^{(\mu)}(1), \quad j=\overline{1, p-1}, \nu=\overline{0, n-1},
$$

where $\gamma_{j \nu \mu}$ are complex numbers, and $\gamma_{j \nu}:=\gamma_{j \nu \nu} \neq 0$. The linear forms $U_{j \nu}$ will be used in matching conditions in the internal vertex $v_{p}$ for for special solutions of equation (1).

Fix $s=\overline{1, p-1}, k=\overline{1, n-1}, \mu=\overline{k, n}$. Let $\Lambda_{s k \mu}:=\left\{\lambda_{l s k \mu}\right\}_{l \geq 1}$ be the set of the eigenvalues of the boundary value problem $L_{s k \mu}$ for equation (1) with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{s}^{(\nu-1)}(0)=0, \quad \nu=\overline{1, k-1}, \mu \\
y_{j}^{(\xi-1)}(0)=0, \quad \xi=\overline{1, n-k}, j=\overline{1, p} \backslash s
\end{gathered}
$$

and with the matching conditions

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
U_{j \nu}\left(y_{j}\right)+y_{p}^{(\nu)}(1)=0, \quad j=\overline{1, p-1}, \nu=\overline{0, k-1},  \tag{2}\\
\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} U_{j \nu}\left(y_{j}\right)+y_{p}^{(\nu)}(1)=0, \quad \nu=\overline{k, n-1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The inverse problem of recovering the potential from the system of spectra is formulated as follows.

Inverse Problem 1. Given the spectra $\Lambda:=\left\{\Lambda_{s k \mu}\right\}, s=\overline{1, p-1}, 1 \leq k \leq \mu \leq n$, construct the potential $q$.

This inverse problem is a generalization of the well-known inverse problems for differential operators on an interval from a system of spectra (see [1-4]). For example, if $n=p=2$, then Inverse Problem 1 is the classical Borg's inverse problem of recovering Sturm-Liouville operators from two spectra.

## 2 Auxiliary propositions

Let $\Psi_{s k}(x, \lambda)=\left\{\psi_{s k j}(x, \lambda)\right\}_{j=\overline{1, p}}, s=\overline{1, p-1}, k=\overline{1, n}$, be solutions of equation (1) satisfying the boundary conditions

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
y_{s}^{(\nu-1)}(0)=\delta_{k \nu}, \quad \nu=\overline{1, k}  \tag{3}\\
y_{j}^{(\xi-1)}(0)=0, \quad \xi=\overline{1, n-k}, j=\overline{1, p} \backslash s,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and the matching conditions (2). Here and in the sequel, $\delta_{k \nu}$ is the Kronecker symbol. The function $\Psi_{s k}$ is called the Weyl-type solution of order $k$ with respect to the boundary vertex $v_{s}$. We introduce the matrices $M_{s}(\lambda)=\left[M_{s k \nu}(\lambda)\right]_{k, \nu=\overline{1, n}}, \quad s=\overline{1, p-1}$, where $M_{s k \nu}(\lambda):=\psi_{s k s}^{(\nu-1)}(0, \lambda)$. It follows from the definition of $\psi_{s k j}$ that $M_{s k \nu}(\lambda)=\delta_{k \nu}$ for $k \geq \nu$, and $\operatorname{det} M_{s}(\lambda) \equiv 1$. The matrix $M_{s}(\lambda)$ is called the Weyl-type matrix with respect to the boundary vertex $v_{s}$. Denote by $M=\left\{M_{s}\right\}_{s=\overline{1, p-1}}$ the set of the Weyl-type matrices.

Let $\lambda=\rho^{n}$. The $\rho$ - plane can be partitioned into sectors $S$ of angle $\frac{\pi}{n}(\arg \rho \in$ $\left.\left(\frac{\nu \pi}{n}, \frac{(\nu+1) \pi}{n}\right), \nu=\overline{0,2 n-1}\right)$ in which the roots $R_{1}, R_{2}, \ldots, R_{n}$ of the equation $R^{n}-1=0$ can be numbered in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\rho R_{1}\right)<\operatorname{Re}\left(\rho R_{2}\right)<\ldots<\operatorname{Re}\left(\rho R_{n}\right), \quad \rho \in S \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the regularity condition for matching from [6] is fulfilled. The following assertion was proved in [6].

Lemma 1. Fix a sector $S$ with the property (4). For $x \in(0,1), \nu=\overline{0, n-1}, s=$ $\overline{1, p-1}, k=\overline{1, n}$, the following asymptotical formula holds

$$
\psi_{s k s}^{(\nu)}(x, \lambda)=\frac{\omega_{k}}{\rho^{k-1}}\left(\rho R_{k}\right)^{\nu} \exp \left(\rho R_{k} x\right)[1], \quad \rho \in S,|\rho| \rightarrow \infty
$$

where

$$
\omega_{k}:=\frac{\Omega_{k-1}}{\Omega_{k}}, \quad k=\overline{1, n}, \quad \Omega_{k}:=\operatorname{det}\left[R_{\xi}^{\nu-1}\right]_{\xi, \nu=\overline{1, k}}, \quad \Omega_{0}:=1
$$

For $s=\overline{1, p-1}, k=\overline{1, n-1}, \mu=\overline{k+1, n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s k \mu}(\lambda)=m_{k \mu} \rho^{\mu-k}[1], \quad \rho \in S,|\rho| \rightarrow \infty \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{k \mu}$ are constants which do not depend on the potential.
Let $\left\{C_{k j}(x, \lambda)\right\}_{k=\overline{1, n}}, j=\overline{1, p}$ be the fundamental system of solutions of equation (1) on the edge $e_{j}$ under the initial conditions $C_{k j}^{(\nu-1)}(0, \lambda)=\delta_{k \nu}, k, \nu=\overline{1, n}$. For each fixed $x \in[0,1]$, the functions $C_{k j}^{(\nu-1)}(x, \lambda), k, \nu=\overline{1, n}, j=\overline{1, p}$, are entire in $\lambda$ of order $1 / n$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left[C_{k j}^{(\nu-1)}(x, \lambda)\right]_{k, \nu=\overline{1, n}} \equiv 1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fundamental system of solutions $\left\{C_{k j}(x, \lambda)\right\}_{k=\overline{1, n}}$, one can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{s k j}(x, \lambda)=\sum_{\mu=1}^{n} M_{s k j \mu}(\lambda) C_{\mu j}(x, \lambda), \quad j=\overline{1, p}, s=\overline{1, p-1}, k=\overline{1, n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $M_{s k j \mu}(\lambda)$ do not depend on $x$. In particular, $M_{s k s \mu}(\lambda)=M_{s k \mu}(\lambda)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{s k s}(x, \lambda)=C_{k s}(x, \lambda)+\sum_{\mu=k+1}^{n} M_{s k \mu}(\lambda) C_{\mu s}(x, \lambda) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (6) and (8) that $\operatorname{det}\left[\psi_{s k s}^{(\nu-1)}(x, \lambda)\right]_{k, \nu=\overline{1, n}} \equiv 1$.

Fix $k=\overline{1, n}, s=\overline{1, p-1}$. According to (2) and (3),

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
U_{j \nu}\left(\psi_{s k j}(x, \lambda)\right)+\psi_{s k p}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda)=0, \quad j=\overline{1, p-1}, \nu=\overline{0, k-1}, \\
\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} U_{j \nu}\left(\psi_{s k j}(x, \lambda)\right)+\psi_{s k p}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda)=0, \quad \nu=\overline{k, n-1},  \tag{10}\\
\psi_{s k s}^{(\nu-1)}(0, \lambda)=\delta_{k \nu}, \quad \nu=\overline{1, k} \\
\psi_{s k j}^{(\xi-1)}(0, \lambda)=0, \quad \xi=\overline{1, n-k}, j=\overline{1, p} \backslash s
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Substituting the representation (7) into (9) and (10) we obtain a linear algebraic system with respect to $M_{\text {skj }}(\lambda)$. Solving this system by Cramer's rule one gets

$$
M_{s k j \mu}(\lambda)=\frac{\Delta_{s k j \mu}(\lambda)}{\Delta_{s k}(\lambda)}
$$

where the functions $\Delta_{s k j \mu}(\lambda)$ and $\Delta_{s k}(\lambda)$ are entire in $\lambda$ of order $1 / n$. Thus, the functions $M_{s k j \mu}(\lambda)$ are meromorphic in $\lambda$, and consequently, the Weyl-type solutions and the Weyltype matrices are meromorphic in $\lambda$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s k \mu}(\lambda)=\frac{\Delta_{s k \mu}(\lambda)}{\Delta_{s k}(\lambda)}, \quad s=\overline{1, p-1}, k=\overline{1, n-1}, \mu=\overline{k+1, n} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{s k \mu}(\lambda):=\Delta_{s k s \mu}(\lambda), \Delta_{s k}(\lambda):=\Delta_{s k k}(\lambda)$. The function $\Delta_{s k \mu}(\lambda)$ is the characteristic function of the boundary value problem $L_{s k \mu}$, and its zeros coincide with the eigenvalues $\Lambda_{s k \mu}:=\left\{\lambda_{l s k \mu}\right\}_{l \geq 1}$ of $L_{s k \mu}$.

The functions $\Delta_{s k \mu}(\lambda)$ are entire in $\lambda$ of order $1 / n$. By Hadamard's factorization theorem, the functions $\Delta_{s k \mu}(\lambda)$ are uniquely determined up to multiplicative constants $c_{s k \mu}$ by their zeros:

$$
\Delta_{s k \mu}(\lambda)=c_{s k \mu} \prod_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{l s k \mu}}\right)
$$

(the case when $\Delta_{s k \mu}(0)=0$ requires evident modifications). Then, by virtue of (11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s k \mu}(\lambda)=M_{s k \mu}^{0} \prod_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{l s k \mu}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{l s k k}}\right)^{-1}, \quad s=\overline{1, p-1}, k=\overline{1, n-1}, \mu=\overline{k+1, n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s k \mu}^{0}=\lim _{|\rho| \rightarrow \infty} m_{m k} \rho^{\mu-k} \prod_{l=1}^{\infty}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{l s k k}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{l s k \mu}}\right)^{-1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, using the given spectra $\Lambda$, one can construct uniquely the Weyl-type matrices $M$ by (12) and (13). In other words, the following assertion holds.

Theorem 1. The specification of the system of spectra $\Lambda:=\left\{\Lambda_{s k \mu}\right\}, s=\overline{1, p-1}$, $1 \leq k \leq \mu \leq n$, uniquely determines the Weyl-type matrices $M=\left\{M_{s}\right\}_{s=\overline{1, p-1}}$ by (12)-(13).

Fix $s=\overline{1, p-1}$, and consider the following inverse problem on the edge $e_{s}$.
Inverse Problem 2. Given the Weyl-type matrix $M_{s}$, construct the functions $q_{\nu s}$, $\nu=\overline{0, n-2}$ on the edge $e_{s}$.

It was proved in [6] that this inverse problem has a unique solution, i.e. the specification of the Weyl-type matrix $M_{s}$ uniquely determines the potential on the edge $e_{s}$. Moreover, using the method of spectral mappings one can get a constructive procedure for the solution of Inverse Problem 2. It can be obtained by the same arguments as for $n$-th order differential operators on a finite interval (see [1, Ch.2] for details).

Now we define an auxiliary Weyl-type matrix with respect to the internal vertex $v_{p}$. Let $\psi_{p k}(x, \lambda), k=\overline{1, n}$, be solutions of equation (1) on the edge $e_{p}$ under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{p k}^{(\nu-1)}(1, \lambda)=\delta_{k \nu}, \nu=\overline{1, k}, \quad \psi_{p k}^{(\xi-1)}(0, \lambda)=0, \xi=\overline{1, n-k} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the matrix $M_{p}(\lambda)=\left[M_{p k \nu}(\lambda)\right]_{k, \nu=\overline{1, n}}$, where $M_{p k \nu}(\lambda):=\psi_{p k}^{(\nu-1)}(1, \lambda)$. Clearly, $M_{p k \nu}(\lambda)=\delta_{k \nu}$ for $k \geq \nu$, and $\operatorname{det} M_{p}(\lambda) \equiv 1$. The matrix $M_{p}(\lambda)$ is called the Weyl-type matrix with respect to the internal vertex $v_{p}$. Consider the following inverse problem on the edge $e_{p}$.

Inverse Problem 3. Given the Weyl-type matrix $M_{p}$, construct the functions $q_{\nu p}$, $\nu=\overline{0, n-2}$ on the edge $e_{p}$.

This inverse problem is the classical one, since it is the inverse problem of recovering $n$-th order differential equation on a finite interval from its Weyl-type matrix. This inverse problem has been solved in [1]. In particular, it is proved that the specification of the Weyl-type matrix $M_{p}$ uniquely determines the potential on the edge $e_{p}$. Moreover, in [1] an algorithm for the solution of Inverse Problem 3 is given, and necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of this inverse problem are provided.

## 3 Solution of the inverse problem from spectra

In this section we obtain a constructive procedure for the solution of Inverse Problem 1. Our plan is the following.

Step 1. Using (12)-(13) construct the Weyl-type matrices $M=\left\{M_{s}\right\}_{s=\overline{1, p-1}}$.
Step 2. Solving Inverse Problem 2 for each fixed $s=\overline{1, p-1}$, we find the functions $q_{\nu s}, \nu=\overline{0, n-2}, s=\overline{1, p-1}$, i.e. we find the potential $q$ on the edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p-1}$.

Step 3. Using the knowledge of the potential on the edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p-1}$, we construct the Weyl-type matrix $M_{p}$.

Step 4. Solving Inverse Problem 3 we find the functions $q_{\nu p}, \nu=\overline{0, n-2}$, i.e. we find the potential on the edge $e_{p}$.

Steps 1, 2 and 4 have been already studied in Section 2. It remains to fulfil Step 3.
Suppose that Steps 1-2 are already made, and we found the functions $q_{\nu s}, \nu=\overline{0, n-2}, s=$ $\overline{1, p-1}$, i.e. we found the potential $q$ on the edges $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{p-1}$. Fix $s=\overline{1, p-1}$. All calculations below will be made for this fixed $s$. Using the knowledge of the potential on the edge $e_{s}$, we calculate the functions $C_{k s}(x, \lambda), k=\overline{1, n}$, and the functions $\psi_{s k s}(x, \lambda), k=\overline{1, n}$, by (8).

Now we are going to construct the Weyl-type matrix $M_{p}$ using $\psi_{s k s}(x, \lambda), k=\overline{1, n}$. Fix $s=\overline{1, p-1}$. Denote

$$
z_{p 1}(x, \lambda):=\frac{\psi_{s 1 p}(x, \lambda)}{\psi_{s 1 p}(1, \lambda)}
$$

The function $z_{p 1}(x, \lambda)$ is a solution of equation (1) on the edge $e_{p}$, and $z_{p 1}(1, \lambda)=1$. Moreover, by virtue of $(10)$, one has $z_{p 1}^{(\xi-1)}(0, \lambda)=0, \xi=\overline{1, n-k}$. Taking (14) into account we conclude that the solutions $z_{p 1}(x, \lambda)$ and $\psi_{p 1}(x, \lambda)$ satisfy the same boundary conditions, and consequently, $z_{p 1}(x, \lambda) \equiv \psi_{p 1}(x, \lambda)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{p 1}(x, \lambda)=\frac{\psi_{s 1 p}(x, \lambda)}{\psi_{s 1 p}(1, \lambda)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{p k}(x, \lambda)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\psi_{s \mu p}(1, \lambda), \ldots, \psi_{s \mu p}^{(k-2)}(1, \lambda), \psi_{s \mu p}(x, \lambda)\right]_{\mu=\overline{1, k}}}{\operatorname{det}\left[\psi_{s \mu p}^{(\xi-1)}(1, \lambda)\right]_{\xi, \mu=\overline{1, k}}}, k=\overline{2, n-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M_{p k \nu}(\lambda)=\psi_{p k}^{(\nu-1)}(1, \lambda)$, it follows from (15)-(16) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{p 1 \nu}(\lambda)=\frac{\psi_{s 1 p}^{(\nu-1)}(1, \lambda)}{\psi_{s 1 p}(1, \lambda)}, \quad \nu=\overline{2, n}  \tag{17}\\
M_{p k \nu}(\lambda)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\psi_{s \mu p}(1, \lambda), \ldots, \psi_{s \mu p}^{(k-2)}(1, \lambda), \psi_{s \mu p}^{(\nu-1)}(1, \lambda)\right]_{\mu=\overline{1, k}}}{\operatorname{det}\left[\psi_{s \mu p}^{(\xi-1)}(1, \lambda)\right]_{\xi, \mu=\overline{1, k}}}  \tag{18}\\
k=\overline{2, n-1}, \quad \nu=\overline{k+1, n}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using the matching conditions (9) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{j \nu}\left(\psi_{s k j}\right)=U_{s \nu}\left(\psi_{s k s}\right), \quad 0 \leq \nu<k \leq n-1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the functions $\psi_{\text {sks }}$ were already calculated, the right-hand sides in (19) are known. For each fixed $k=\overline{1, n-1}$, we successively use (19) for $\nu=0,1, \ldots, k-1$, and calculate recurrently the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{s k j}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda), \quad k=\overline{1, n-1}, \nu=\overline{0, k-1}, j=\overline{1, p-1} \backslash s \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, it follows from (7) and (10) that $M_{s k j \mu}(\lambda)=0$ for $\mu=\overline{1, n-k}, j=$ $\overline{1, p-1} \backslash s$, and consequently,

$$
\psi_{s k j}(x, \lambda)=\sum_{\mu=n-k+1}^{n} M_{s k j \mu}(\lambda) C_{\mu j}(x, \lambda), \quad k=\overline{1, n-1}, j=\overline{1, p-1} \backslash s
$$

This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{s k j}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda)=\sum_{\mu=n-k+1}^{n} M_{s k j \mu}(\lambda) C_{\mu j}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda), \quad \nu=\overline{0, n-1}, k=\overline{1, n-1}, j=\overline{1, p-1} \backslash s \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $k=\overline{1, n-1}, j=\overline{1, p-1} \backslash s$, and consider a part of the relations (21), namely, for $\nu=\overline{0, k-1}$. They form a linear algebraic system with respect to the functions $M_{s k j \mu}(\lambda)$, $\mu=\overline{n-k+1, n}$. Solving this system by Cramer's rule we find these functions. Substituting them into (21) for $\nu \geq k$, we calculate the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{s k j}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda), \quad k=\overline{1, n-1}, \nu=\overline{k, n-1}, j=\overline{1, p-1} \backslash s \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting now the functions (20) and (22) into (9) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{s k p}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda), \quad k=\overline{1, n-1}, \nu=\overline{0, n-1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the functions (23) are known, one can calculate the Weyl-type matrix $M_{p}$ via (17)-(18).
Thus, we have obtained the solution of Inverse Problem 1 and proved its uniqueness, i.e. the following assertion holds.

Theorem 2. The specification of the spectra $\Lambda$ uniquely determines the potential $q$ on T. The solution of Inverse Problem 1 can be obtained by the following algorithm.

Algorithm 1. Given the spectra $\Lambda$.

1) Construct the Weyl-type matrices $M=\left\{M_{s}\right\}_{s=\overline{1, p-1}}$ via (12)-(13).
2) Find the functions $q_{\nu s}, \nu=\overline{0, n-2}, s=\overline{1, p-1}$, by solving Inverse Problem 2 for each $s=\overline{1, p-1}$.
3) Fix $s=\overline{1, p-1}$, and calculate $C_{k s}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda)$ for $k=\overline{1, n}, \nu=\overline{0, n-1}$.
4) Construct the functions $\psi_{\text {sks }}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda), k=\overline{1, n-1}, \nu=\overline{0, n-1}$ by the formula

$$
\psi_{s k s}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda)=C_{k s}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda)+\sum_{\mu=k+1}^{n} M_{s k \mu}(\lambda) C_{\mu s}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda)
$$

5) Find the functions $\psi_{s k j}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda), k=\overline{1, n-1}, \nu=\overline{0, k-1}, j=\overline{1, p-1} \backslash s$, by using the recurrent formulae (19).
6) Calculate $M_{\text {skj } \mu}(\lambda), k=\overline{1, n-1}, \mu=\overline{n-k+1, n}, j=\overline{1, p-1} \backslash s$, by solving the linear algebraic systems

$$
\sum_{\mu=n-k+1}^{n} M_{s k j \mu}(\lambda) C_{\mu j}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda)=\psi_{s k j}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda), \quad \nu=\overline{0, k-1},
$$

for each fixed $k=\overline{1, n-1}, j=\overline{1, p-1} \backslash s$.
7) Construct the functions $\psi_{s k j}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda), k=\overline{1, n-1}, \nu=\overline{k, n-1}, j=\overline{1, p-1} \backslash s$, by the formula

$$
\psi_{s k j}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda)=\sum_{\mu=n-k+1}^{n} M_{s k j \mu}(\lambda) C_{\mu j}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda), \quad \nu \geq k
$$

8) Find the functions $\psi_{s k p}^{(\nu)}(1, \lambda), k=\overline{1, n-1}, \nu=\overline{0, n-1}$, by (9).
9) Calculate the Weyl-type matrix $M_{p}$ via (17)-(18).
10) Construct the functions $q_{\nu p}, \nu=\overline{0, n-2}$, by solving Inverse Problem 3.
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