L^p local uncertainty inequality for the Sturm-Liouville transform

Fethi Soltani¹

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Jazan University, P.O.Box 114, Jazan, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. fethisoltani10@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we give analogues of local uncertainty inequality for the Sturm-Liouville transform on $[0, \infty[$. A generalization of Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle is obtained for this transform.

RESUMEN

En este artículo entregamos resultados análogos de una desigualdad de incertidumbre local de la transformada Sturm-Liouville en $[0, \infty[$. Una generalización del principio de incertidumbre de Donoho-Stark se obtiene de esta transformación.

Keywords and Phrases: Sturm-Liouville transform; local uncertainty principle; Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle.

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B10; 44A20; 46G12.

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Author}$ partially supported by DGRST project 04/UR/15-02 and CMCU program 10G 1503



1 Introduction

We consider the second-order differential operator defined on $]0, \infty[$ by

$$\Delta \mathfrak{u} := \mathfrak{u}'' + \frac{A'}{A}\mathfrak{u}' + \rho^2\mathfrak{u},$$

where A is a nonnegative function satisfying certain conditions and ρ is a nonnegative real number. This operator plays an important role in analysis. For example, many special functions (orthogonal polynomials) are eigenfunctions of an operator of Δ type. The radial part of the Beltrami-Laplacian in a symmetric space is also of Δ type. Many aspects of such operators have been studied [2, 10, 17, 18, 19]. In particular, the two references [2, 17] investigate standard constructions of harmonic analysis, such as translation operators, convolution product, and Fourier transform, in connection with Δ .

Many uncertainty principles have already been proved for the Sturm-Liouville operator Δ , namely by Rösler and Voit [14] who established an uncertainty principle for Hankel transforms. Bouattour and Trimèche [1] proved a Beurling's theorem for the Sturm-Liouville transform. Daher et al. [3, 4, 5] give some related versions of the uncertainty principle for the Sturm-Liouville transform (Hardy's theorem and Miyachi's theorem). Ma [9] proved a Heisenberg uncertainty principle for the Sturm-Liouville transform.

Building on the ideas of Faris [7] and Price [12, 13], we show a local uncertainty principle for the Sturm-Liouville transform \mathcal{F} . More precisely, we will show the following result. If 1 , <math>q = p/(p-1) and $0 < a < (2\alpha + 2)/q$, there is a constant K(a) such that for every $f \in L^p(\mu)$ and every measurable subset $E \subset [0, \infty[$ such that $0 < \nu(E) < \infty$,

$$\left(\int_{E} |\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda)|^{q} \mathrm{d}\nu(\lambda)\right)^{1/q} \leq K(\mathfrak{a}) \left(\nu(E)\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha+2}} \|x^{\alpha}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)},$$
(1.1)

where μ is the measure given by $d\mu(x) := A(x)dx$, and ν is the Plancherel measure associated to \mathcal{F} . (For more details see the next section.)

This inequality generalizes the local uncertainty principle for the Hankel transform given by Ghobber et al. [8] and Omri [11].

We shall use the local uncertainty principle (1.1); and building on the techniques of Donoho and Stark [6], we show a continuous-time principles for the L^p theory, when 1 .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we list some basic properties of the Sturm-Liouville transform \mathcal{F} (Plancherel theorem, inversion formula,...). In Section 3 we show a local uncertainty principle for the Sturm-Liouville \mathcal{F} . The Section 4 is devoted to Donoho-Stark's uncertainty principle for the Sturm-Liouville transform \mathcal{F} in the L^p theory, when 1 .



2 The Sturm-Liouville transform ${\cal F}$

We consider the second-order differential operator Δ defined on $]0, \infty[$ by

$$\Delta u := u'' + \frac{A'}{A}u' + \rho^2 u,$$

where ρ is a nonnegative real number and

$$A(x) := x^{2\alpha+1}B(x), \quad \alpha > -1/2,$$

for B a positive, even, infinitely differentiable function on \mathbb{R} such that B(0) = 1. Moreover we assume that A and B satisfy the following conditions:

(i) A is increasing and $\lim_{x \to \infty} A(x) = \infty$.

(ii)
$$\frac{A}{A}$$
 is decreasing and $\lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{A(x)}{A(x)} = 2\rho$

(iii) There exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} = 2\rho + D(x) \exp(-\delta x) \quad \text{if } \rho > 0,$$
$$\frac{A'(x)}{A(x)} = \frac{2\alpha + 1}{x} + D(x) \exp(-\delta x) \quad \text{if } \rho = 0.$$

where D is an infinitely differentiable function on $]0, \infty[$, bounded and with bounded derivatives on all intervals $[x_0, \infty[$, for $x_0 > 0$. This operator was studied in [2, 10, 17], and the following results have been established:

(I) For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = -\lambda^2 u \\ u(0) = 1, \ u'(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

admits a unique solution, denoted by ϕ_{λ} , with the following properties:

- for $x \ge 0$, the function $\lambda \to \phi_{\lambda}(x)$ is analytic on \mathbb{C} ;
- for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the function $x \to \phi_{\lambda}(x)$ is even and infinitely differentiable on \mathbb{R} ;
- for all $\lambda, x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\varphi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})| \le 1. \tag{2.1}$$

(II) For nonzero $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the equation $\Delta u = -\lambda^2 u$ has a solution Φ_{λ} satisfying

$$\Phi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = rac{1}{\sqrt{A(\mathbf{x})}} \exp(\mathrm{i}\lambda\mathbf{x}) V(\mathbf{x},\lambda),$$

with $\lim_{x\to\infty} V(x,\lambda) = 1$. Consequently there exists a function (spectral function)

 $\lambda\mapsto c(\lambda),$



such that

$$\phi_{\lambda}=c(\lambda)\Phi_{\lambda}+c(-\lambda)\Phi_{-\lambda}\quad {\rm for \ nonzero}\ \ \lambda\in\mathbb{C}.$$

Moreover there exist positive constants k_1,k_2 and k such that

$$k_1|\lambda|^{2\alpha+1} \leq |c(\lambda)|^{-2} \leq k_2|\lambda|^{2\alpha+1}$$

for all λ such that $\text{Im}\lambda \leq 0$ and $|\lambda| \geq k$. Notation 2.1. We denote by

• μ the measure defined on $[0, \infty[$ by $d\mu(x) := A(x)dx$; and by $L^p(\mu)$, $1 \le p \le \infty$, the space of measurable functions f on $[0, \infty[$, such that

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{L^p(\mu)} &\coloneqq \left(\int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p \mathrm{d}\mu(x)\right)^{1/p} < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} &\coloneqq \ \mathrm{ess} \sup_{x \in [0,\infty[} |f(x)| < \infty; \end{split}$$

• ν the measure defined on $[0, \infty[$ by $d\nu(\lambda) := \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi |c(\lambda)|^2}$; and by $L^p(\nu), 1 \le p \le \infty$, the space of measurable functions f on $[0, \infty[$, such that $\|f\|_{L^p(\nu)} < \infty$.

The Fourier transform associated with the operator Δ is defined on $L^{1}(\mu)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) := \int_0^\infty \phi_\lambda(x) f(x) \mathrm{d} \mu(x) \quad \ \mathrm{for} \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Some of the properties of the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} are collected bellow (see [2, 10, 17, 18]). **Theorem 2.2.** (i) $L^1 - L^{\infty}$ -boundedness. For all $f \in L^1(\mu)$, $\mathcal{F}(f) \in L^{\infty}(\nu)$ and

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^{\infty}(\nu)} \le \|f\|_{L^{1}(\mu)}.$$
(2.2)

(ii) Inversion theorem. Let $f \in L^1(\mu)$, such that $\mathcal{F}(f) \in L^1(\nu)$. Then

$$f(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi_{\lambda}(x) \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) d\nu(\lambda), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in [0, \infty[.$$
(2.3)

(iii) Plancherel theorem. The Fourier transform \mathcal{F} extends uniquely to an isometric isomorphism of $L^2(\mu)$ onto $L^2(\nu)$. In particular,

$$\|f\|_{L^{2}(\mu)} = \|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^{2}(\nu)}.$$
(2.4)

Using relations (2.2) and (2.4) with Marcinkiewicz's interpolation theorem [15, 16], we deduce that for every $1 \le p \le 2$, and for every $f \in L^p(\mu)$, the function $\mathcal{F}(f)$ belongs to the space $L^q(\nu)$, q = p/(p-1), and

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^{q}(\nu)} \le \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)}.$$
(2.5)



3 L^p local uncertainty inequality

This section is devoted to establish a local uncertainty principle for the Sturm-Liouville transform \mathcal{F} , more precisely, we will show the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. If 1 , <math>q = p/(p-1) and $0 < a < (2\alpha + 2)/q$, then for all $f \in L^p(\mu)$ and all measurable subset $E \subset [0, \infty[$ such that $0 < \nu(E) < \infty$,

$$\left(\int_{E} |\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda)|^{q} \mathrm{d}\nu(\lambda)\right)^{1/q} \leq K(\mathfrak{a}) \left(\nu(E)\right)^{\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{2\alpha+2}} \|x^{\mathfrak{a}}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)},$$

$$K(\mathfrak{a}) = \left(q\mathfrak{a}\right)^{-\frac{q\mathfrak{a}}{2\alpha+2}} \left(2\alpha+2-q\mathfrak{a}\right)^{\frac{(q-1)\mathfrak{a}}{2\alpha+2}} \left[1+\frac{q\mathfrak{a}}{2\alpha+2-q\mathfrak{a}}\left(\sup_{x\in[0,r_{0}]}B(x)\right)^{1/q}\right],$$

where

$$\mathbf{r}_{0} = \left(qa\right)^{\frac{q}{2\alpha+2}} \left(2\alpha+2-qa\right)^{\frac{1-q}{2\alpha+2}} \left(\nu(E)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}.$$

Proof. For r > 0, denote by χ_E , $\chi_{[0,r[}$ and $\chi_{[r,\infty[}$ the characteristic functions. Let $f \in L^p(\mu)$, 1 and let <math>q = p/(p-1). By Minkowski's inequality, for all r > 0,

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{F}(f)\chi_{E}\|_{L^{q}(\nu)} &\leq & \|\mathcal{F}(f\chi_{[0,r[})\chi_{E}\|_{L^{q}(\nu)} + \|\mathcal{F}(f\chi_{[r,\infty[})\chi_{E}\|_{L^{q}(\nu)}) \\ &\leq & \left(\nu(E)\right)^{1/q} \|\mathcal{F}(f\chi_{[0,r[})\|_{L^{\infty}(\nu)} + \|\mathcal{F}(f\chi_{[r,\infty[})\|_{L^{q}(\nu)}) \right) \\ \end{split}$$

hence it follows from (2.2) and (2.5) that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\chi_{E}\|_{L^{q}(\nu)} \leq \left(\nu(E)\right)^{1/q} \|f\chi_{[0,r[}\|_{L^{1}(\mu)} + \|f\chi_{[r,\infty[}\|_{L^{p}(\mu)}.$$
(3.1)

On the other hand, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\|f\chi_{[0,r[}\|_{L^{1}(\mu)} \leq \|x^{-a}\chi_{[0,r[}\|_{L^{q}(\mu)}\|x^{a}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)}.$$

By hypothesis $a < (2\alpha + 2)/q$,

$$\|x^{-\alpha}\chi_{[0,r[}\|_{L^{q}(\mu)} \leq \frac{r^{-\alpha+(2\alpha+2)/q}}{(2\alpha+2-q\alpha)^{1/q}} \Big(\sup_{x\in[0,r]} B(x)\Big)^{1/q},$$

and therefore,

$$\|f\chi_{[0,r[}\|_{L^{1}(\mu)} \leq \frac{r^{-\alpha+(2\alpha+2)/q}}{(2\alpha+2-q\alpha)^{1/q}} \Big(\sup_{x\in[0,r]} B(x)\Big)^{1/q} \|x^{\alpha}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)}.$$
(3.2)

Moreover,

$$\|f\chi_{[r,\infty[}\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} \le \|x^{-a}\chi_{[r,\infty[}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mu)}\|x^{a}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} \le r^{-a}\|x^{a}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)}.$$
(3.3)

Combining the relations (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we deduce that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\chi_E\|_{L^q(\nu)} \leq \left[r^{-\alpha} + \left(\nu(E)\right)^{1/q} \frac{r^{-\alpha + (2\alpha + 2)/q}}{(2\alpha + 2 - q\alpha)^{1/q}} \left(\sup_{x \in [0,r]} B(x)\right)^{1/q}\right] \|x^{\alpha}f\|_{L^p(\mu)}.$$



We choose $r = r_0 = (q\alpha)^{\frac{q}{2\alpha+2}} (2\alpha+2-q\alpha)^{\frac{1-q}{2\alpha+2}} (\nu(E))^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha+2}}$, we obtain the desired inequality.

Remark 3.2. (i) The Local uncertainty principle for the Sturm-Liouville transform \mathcal{F} generalizes the local uncertainty principle for the Hankel transform (see [8, 11]).

(ii) If
$$1 and $0 < \alpha < (2\alpha + 2)/q$, where $q = p/(p-1),$ then for every $f \in L^p(\mu)$$$

$$\sup_{E \subset [0,\infty[,\,0<\nu(E)<\infty} \left[\left(\nu(E)\right)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha+2}} \|\mathcal{F}(f)\chi_E\|_{L^q(\nu)} \right] \le K(\mathfrak{a}) \|x^{\mathfrak{a}}f\|_{L^p(\mu)}$$

The left hand side is known to be an equivalent norm of $\mathcal{F}(f)$ in the Lorentz-space $L^{p_{\mathfrak{a}},\mathfrak{q}}(\nu)$, where

$$p_{\alpha}=\frac{q(2\alpha+2)}{2\alpha+2-q\alpha}.$$

4 L^p Donoho-Stark uncertainty principle

Let T and E be measurable subsets of $[0, \infty[$. We introduce the time-limiting operator P_T by

$$P_{T}f := f\chi_{T}, \tag{4.1}$$

and, we introduce the partial sum operator S_{E} by

$$\mathcal{F}(S_{E}f) = \mathcal{F}(f)\chi_{E}.$$
(4.2)

Lemma 4.1. If $\nu(E) < \infty$ and $f \in L^p(\mu)$, $1 \le p \le 2$,

$$S_E f(x) = \int_E \phi_\lambda(x) \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\nu(\lambda).$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^p(\mu)$, $1 \le p \le 2$ and let q = p/(p-1). Then by (2.1), Hölder's inequality and (2.5),

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{F}(f)\chi_E\|_{L^1(\nu)} &= \int_E |\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda)| \mathrm{d}\nu(\lambda) \\ &\leq \left(\nu(E)\right)^{1/p} \|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^q(\nu)} \\ &\leq \left(\nu(E)\right)^{1/p} \|f\|_{L^p(\mu)}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{F}(f)\chi_E\|_{L^2(\nu)} &= \left(\int_E |\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda)|^2 \mathrm{d}\nu(\lambda)\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\nu(E)\right)^{\frac{q-2}{2q}} \|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^q(\nu)} \\ &\leq \left(\nu(E)\right)^{\frac{q-2}{2q}} \|f\|_{L^p(\mu)}. \end{split}$$

Thus $\mathcal{F}(f)\chi_{E} \in L^{1}(\mu) \cap L^{2}(\mu)$ and by (4.2),

$$S_E f = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Big(\mathcal{F}(f) \chi_E \Big).$$

This combined with (2.3) gives the result.

Let T and E be measurable subsets of $[0, \infty[$. We say that a function $f \in L^p(\mu)$, $1 \le p \le 2$, is ε -concentrated to T in $L^p(\mu)$ -norm, if there is a measurable function g(t) vanishing outside T such that $\|f - g\|_{L^p(\mu)} \le \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^p(\mu)}$. Similarly, we say that $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is ε -concentrated to E in $L^q(\nu)$ -norm, q = p/(p-1), if there is a function $h(\lambda)$ vanishing outside E with $\|\mathcal{F}(f) - h\|_{L^q(\nu)} \le \varepsilon \|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^q(\nu)}$.

If f is ε_{T} -concentrated to T in $L^{p}(\mu)$ -norm (g being the vanishing function) then by (4.1),

$$\|f - P_{\mathsf{T}}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} = \left(\int_{[0,\infty[\setminus\mathsf{T}]} |f(t)|^{p} \mathrm{d}\mu(t)\right)^{1/p} \le \|f - g\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} \le \varepsilon_{\mathsf{T}}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)}$$
(4.3)

and therefore f is ε_T -concentrated to T in $L^p(\mu)$ -norm if and only if

$$\|\mathbf{f} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathsf{L}^{p}(\mu)} \leq \varepsilon_{\mathsf{T}}\|\mathbf{f}\|_{\mathsf{L}^{p}(\mu)}$$

From (4.2) it follows as for P_T that $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is ϵ_E -concentrated to E in $L^q(\nu)$ -norm, q=p/(p-1), if and only if

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f) - \mathcal{F}(S_{\mathsf{E}}f)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{\mathfrak{q}}(\nu)} \le \varepsilon_{\mathsf{E}} \|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{\mathsf{L}^{\mathfrak{q}}(\mu)}. \tag{4.4}$$

Let $B_p(E)$, $1 \le p \le 2$, be the set of functions $f \in L^p(\mu)$ that are bandlimited to E (i.e. $f \in B_p(E)$ implies $S_E f = f$).

The spaces $B_p(E)$ satisfy the following property.

$$\|P_{T}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} \leq K(\mathfrak{a}) \Big(\mu(T)\Big)^{1/p} \Big(\nu(E)\Big)^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{2\alpha + 2}} \|x^{\alpha}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)}$$

where K(a) is the constant given by Theorem 3.1.

Proof. If $\mu(T) = \infty$, the inequality is clear. Assume that $\mu(T) < \infty$. For $f \in B_p(E)$, 1 , from Lemma 4.1,

$$f(t) = \int_E \phi_\lambda(t) \mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda) \mathrm{d}\nu(\lambda),$$

and by (2.1), Hölder's inequality and Theorem 3.1,

$$|f(t)| \leq \left(\nu(E)\right)^{1/p} \left(\int_E |\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda)|^q \mathrm{d}\nu(\lambda)\right)^{1/q} \leq K(\mathfrak{a}) \left(\nu(E)\right)^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{2\alpha + 2}} \|x^{\mathfrak{a}} f\|_{L^p(\mu)},$$

CUBO 16, 1 (2014)

where q = p/(p-1). Hence,

$$|P_{T}f||_{L^{p}(\mu)} = \left(\int_{T} |f(t)|^{p} \mathrm{d}\mu(t)\right)^{1/p} \leq K(\mathfrak{a}) \left(\mu(T)\right)^{1/p} \left(\nu(E)\right)^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{2\alpha + 2}} \|x^{\mathfrak{a}}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)},$$

which yields the result.

It is useful to have uncertainty principle for the $L^{p}(\mu)$ -norm.

Theorem 4.3. Let T and E be measurable subsets of $[0, \infty[$ such that $0 < \nu(E) < \infty$; and let $f \in B_p(E)$, $1 and <math>0 < \alpha < (2\alpha + 2)(1 - \frac{1}{p})$. If f is ε_T -concentrated to T, then

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mu)} \leq \frac{K(\mathfrak{a})}{1 - \varepsilon_T} \Big(\mu(T)\Big)^{1/p} \Big(\nu(E)\Big)^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{2\alpha + 2}} \|x^{\mathfrak{a}}f\|_{L^p(\mu)}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in B_p(E)$, $1 . Since f is <math>\varepsilon_T$ -concentrated to T in $L^p(\mu)$ -norm, then by (4.3) and Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} &\leq \epsilon_{T} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} + \|P_{T}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} \\ &\leq \epsilon_{T} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} + K(\mathfrak{a}) \Big(\mu(T)\Big)^{1/p} \Big(\nu(E)\Big)^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{2\alpha + 2}} \|x^{\alpha}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)}. \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$(1 - \varepsilon_{\mathsf{T}}) \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)} \leq K(\mathfrak{a}) \left(\mu(\mathsf{T})\right)^{1/p} \left(\nu(\mathsf{E})\right)^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{\alpha}{2\alpha + 2}} \|x^{\mathfrak{a}}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)}$$

which gives the result.

Another uncertainty principle for the $L^p(\mu)$ theory is obtained. **Theorem 4.4.** Let E be measurable subset of $[0,\infty[$ such that $0<\nu(E)<\infty;$ and let $f\in L^p(\mu),$ $1 and <math display="inline">0 < a < (2\alpha + 2)(1 - \frac{1}{p})$. If $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is ϵ_E -concentrated to E in $L^q(\nu)$ -norm, q = p/(p-1), then

$$\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^q(\nu)} \leq \frac{K(\mathfrak{a})}{1-\epsilon_E} \Big(\nu(E)\Big)^{\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{2\alpha+2}} \|x^{\mathfrak{a}}f\|_{L^p(\mu)}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^p(\mu)$, $1 . Since <math>\mathcal{F}(f)$ is ε_E -concentrated to E in $L^q(\nu)$ -norm, q = p/(p-1), then by (4.4) and Theorem 3.1,

$$\begin{split} \|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^{q}(\nu)} &\leq \quad \epsilon_{E}\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^{q}(\nu)} + \left(\int_{E}|\mathcal{F}(f)(\lambda)|^{q}\mathrm{d}\nu(\lambda)\right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \quad \epsilon_{E}\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^{q}(\nu)} + K(\mathfrak{a})\Big(\nu(E)\Big)^{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha+2}}\|x^{\alpha}f\|_{L^{p}(\mu)}. \end{split}$$

Thus,

 $(1-\epsilon_E)\|\mathcal{F}(f)\|_{L^q(\nu)} \leq K(\mathfrak{a}) \Big(\nu(E)\Big)^{\frac{\alpha}{2\alpha+2}} \|x^{\alpha}f\|_{L^p(\mu)},$

which proves the result.

References

- L. Bouattour and K. Trimèche, Beurling-Hörmander's theorem for the Chébli-Trimèche transform, Glob. J. Pure Appl. Math. 1(3) (2005) 342–357
- [2] H. Chébli, Théorème de Paley-Wiener associé à un opérateur différentiel singulier sur (0,∞),
 J. Math. Pures Appl. 58(1) (1979) 1–19.
- [3] R. Daher and T. Kawazoe, Generalized of Hardy's theorem for Jacobi transform, Hiroshima J. Math. 36(3) (2006) 331–337.
- [4] R. Daher and T. Kawazoe, An uncertainty principle on Sturm-Liouville hypergroups, Proc. Japan Acad. 83 Ser. A (2007) 167–169.
- [5] R. Daher, T. Kawazoe and H. Mejjaoli, A generalization of Miyachi's theorem, J. Math. Soc. Japan 61(2) (2009) 551–558.
- [6] D.L. Donoho and P.B. Stark, Uncertainty principles and signal recovery, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 49(3) (1989) 906–931.
- [7] W.G. Faris, Inequalities and uncertainty inequalities, J. Math. Phys. 19 (1978) 461–466.
- [8] S. Ghobber and P. Jaming, Strong annihilating pairs for the Fourier-Bessel transform, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 377 (2011) 501–515.
- [9] R. Ma, Heisenberg uncertainty principle on Chébli-Trimèche hypergroups, Pacific J. Math. 235(2) (2008) 289–296.
- [10] M.M. Nessibi, L.T. Rachdi and K. Trimèche, The local central limit theorem on the product of the Chébli-Trimèche hypergroup and the Euclidean hypergroup ℝⁿ, J. Math. Sci. (Calcutta) 9(2) (1998) 109–123.
- [11] S. Omri, Local uncertainty principle for the Hankel transform, Int. Trans. Spec. Funct. 21(9) (2010) 703–712.
- [12] J.F. Price, Inequalities and local uncertainty principles, J. Math. Phys. 24 (1983) 1711–1714.
- [13] J.F. Price, Sharp local uncertainty principles, Studia Math. 85 (1987) 37–45.
- [14] M. Rösler and M. Voit, An uncertainty principle for Hankel transforms, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127(1) (1999) 183–194.
- [15] E.M. Stein, Interpolation of linear operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1956) 482–492.
- [16] E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces, Princeton Univ. Press., Princeton, N.J, (1971).

- [17] K. Trimèche, Transformation intégrale de Weyl et théorème de Paley-Wiener associés à un opérateur différentiel singulier sur $(0, \infty)$, J. Math. Pures Appl. **60**(1) (1981) 51–98.
- [18] Z. Xu, Harmonic analysis on Chébli-Trimèche hypergroups, Ph.D. thesis, Murdoch University, Perth, Western Australia, 1994.
- [19] H. Zeuner, The central limit theorem for Chébli-Trimèche hypergroups, J. Theoret. Probab. 2(1) (1989) 51–63.