

Citation: İ. Genç, Ş. Kültür (2020) Karyological analysis of twelve *Euphorbia* species from Turkey. *Caryologia* 73(3): 13-19. doi: 10.13128/10.13128/caryologia-197

Received: March 26, 2019

Accepted: April 13, 2020

Published: December 31, 2020

Copyright: ©2020 İ. Genç, Ş. Kültür. This is an open access, peer-reviewed article published by Firenze University Press (http://www.fupress.com/caryologia) and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Competing Interests: The Author(s) declare(s) no conflict of interest.

Karyological analysis of twelve *Euphorbia* species from Turkey

İlker Genç*, Şükran Kültür

Department of Pharmaceutical Botany, Faculty of Pharmacy, İstanbul University, İstanbul, Turkey

*Corresponding author. E-mail: gencilker1@gmail.com

Abstract. Karyotypes of 12 *Euphorbia* species were studied and described for the first time; *Euphorbia cheiradenia, E. pannonica, E. pestalozzae, E. petrophila, E. pisidica, E. thessala* and *E. yildirimli*. Karyological analyses indicate relationships among the species with respect to their asymmetry indices. Most of the investigated taxa are diploids with 2n = 2x = 18. *E. macroclada* and *E. smirnovii* showed tetraploid cytotypes 2n = 4x = 36. All karyotypes are symmetrical, consisting of metacentric and submetacentric chromosomes. The chromosomes range in size from 0.79 µm to 2.20 µm. The total haploid chromosome length (THL) ranges from 8.75 µm (*E. terracina*) to 16.78 µm (*E. petrophila*). Principal Coordinate Analysis with five uncorrelated parameters was performed to determine the karyological relationships among the taxa.

Keywords: chromosome number, karyotype asymmetry, *Pithyusa*, *Esula*, tetraploid, karyosystematics.

INTRODUCTION

Genus *Euphorbia* (Euphorbiaceae), with over 2000 species, is the secondlargest genus of flowering plants in the world (Bruyns *et al.* 2006; Horn *et al.* 2012). The use of *Euphorbia* species as medicine and poison are of greatest biocultural importance and the most-valued medicinal use of *Euphorbia* species is in the treatment of digestive and respiratory complaints, inflammation and injuries (Ernst *et al.* 2015). Additionally, *Euphorbia* taxa have been used in Turkish folk medicine to treat rheumatism, swelling and especially as a wart remover (Baytop 1984). There are also various biological effects as antioxidant, antimicrobial and wound healing activities (Barla *et al.* 2006, 2007; Özbilgin *et al.* 2018, 2019).

The genus is represented in Turkey by two subgenera, E. subg. *Chamaesyce* Raf. and E. subg. *Esula* Pers., with a total of 120 taxa (Öztekin 2012; Genç and Kültür 2016). *Euphorbia* subg. *Esula* comprises about 480 species, most of which are annual or perennial herbs (Riina *et al.* 2013). According to the recent classification of Riina *et al.* (2013), the subgenus is represented by 14 sections in the Turkey (Genç and Kültür 2018).

The chromosome numbers in these two subgenera are very variable. Various chromosome numbers have been reported for the subg. *Esula* (2n = 10, n)

12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 30, 36, 40, 42, 44, 56, 60, 64, 72) and sect. *Pithyusa* (2n = 16, 18, 28, 36, 40, 72) worldwide (Riina *et al.* 2013).

The chromosome numbers of 64 taxa, which are distributed in Turkey naturally have not been determined yet. Up to now, the chromosome numbers of 12 *Euphorbia* taxa were reported from Turkey (Radcliffe-Smith 1976; Strid 1987; Kesercioglu *et al.* 1990; Iyer 1991; Vicens *et al.* 1991; Rice *et al.* 2015; Genç and Kültür 2017). Considering that the number of chromosomes of the vast majority of these species is not yet clear, there is a remarkable gap in this area.

This study aimed to determine the chromosome numbers and karyomorphology of 11 perennial *Euphorbia* sect. *Pithyusa* species distributed in Turkey. In addition, *E. terracina* (sect. *Pachycladae*) was also included in the present study as an external group.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Twelve *Euphorbia* species were analysed in this study. Seeds of 12 species were collected from mature capsules in their natural habitats as given in Table 1. Voucher specimens are deposited in İstanbul University, Faculty of Pharmacy Herbarium (ISTE). For each population, 9-27 mature seeds were selected from at least 5 individuals (inflorescence). The seeds germinated on wet filter paper in petri dishes at room temperature.

The root tips meristems were excised at 9-9.30 am. Mitotic chromosomes were prepared from root tips and pre-treated with 8-Hydroxyquinoline at +4 °C for 24 h. Roots were fixed for a minimum of 2 h in absolute ethanol:glacial acetic acid, (3:1,v/v), hydrolysed at 60 °C in 1 N HCl for 15 min and stained with Feulgen reagent. Finally, root tips were squashed in a drop of 1% aceto-orcein. Permanent microscopic slides were prepared with Entellan (Rapid Mounting Medium / Merck Darmstadt Germany). Microphotographs of good quality metaphase plates were taken using an Olympus BX53 (Tokyo, Japan) microscope equipped with a high-resolution digital camera. Metaphase observations and chromosome measures were made using the image analysis systems Kameram (Argenit Microsystems, İstanbul, Turkey). The chromosome number and karyotype details were studied in five to fourteen well-spread metaphase plates from different individuals; mean values were used for the analysis.

Chromosome pairs were identified and arranged on the basis of chromosome/chromatid length and any other evident karyomorphological features. The nomenclature used for describing karyotype followed Levan *et*

Table 1. Localities and voucher data of Euphorbia taxa examined in the present study.

| Species | Voucher specimens | Previous counts (Rice et al. 2014) |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| E. cheiradenia Boiss. & Hohen. | Şanlıurfa, Tektek Mountain National Park, 460m, 18.6.2015, İ.Genç 2398, Ş.Kültür. | |
| E. macroclada Boiss. | Eskişehir-Antalya road, roadsides, 10.8.2015, İ.Genç 2472. | 18 |
| E. niciciana Borbás ex Novák | Karabük, Bolkuş village, 220 m, 03.vi.2015, İ.Genç 2290, G. Ecevit Genç. | 18, 18+1 |
| E. pannonica Host | İstanbul, Dağyenice-Kalfaköy, roadsides, 110m, 18.vii.2016, İ.Genç 2477, G. Ecevit Genç. | |
| * <i>E. pestalozzae</i> Boiss. | Antalya, Saklıkent, 1780m, 30.vii.2016, İ.Genç 2499. | |
| E. petrophila C.A.Meyer | Kastamonu, Kastamonu-Sinop roadsides, 720m, 14.vii.2015, İ.Genç 2419, G. Ecevit Genç. | |
| *E. pisidica HubMor. & M.S.Khan | Burdur, Altınyayla-Gölhisar road, Dirmil pass, 1585m, 23.vi.2014, İ.Genç 2114, G. Ecevit Genç. | |
| E. seguieriana Necker | Ağrı, between Doğubeyazıt-Çaldıran, roadsides, 2600m, 24.vii.2015, İ.Genç 2453. | 16, 18, 40 |
| E. smirnovii Geltman | Erzincan, Ergan mountain, 1510m, 25.vii.2015, İ.Genç 2468, A. Kandemir. | 18 (Genç & Kültür 2017) |
| E. thessala (Form.) Degen & Dörf. | Kırklareli, Kırklareli-Pınarhisar roadside, 190m, 5.vi.2015, İ.Genç 2304, G. Ecevit Genç. | |
| *E. yildirimlii Dinç | Eskişehir, Sivrihisar, Aşağıkepen village, gypsum slopes, 900m, 26.viii.2014, İ.Genç 2267, G. Ecevit Genç. | |
| <i>E. terracina</i> L. | Bursa, Mudanya, Söğütlüpınar village, s.l., 7 vıı 2014, İ.Genç <i>2192, S.</i> <i>Yüzbaşıoğlu</i> | 18, 20, 36 |

* The species endemic to Turkey.

Table 2. PCoA graphic symbols of some important taxonomic characteristics for *Euphorbia*.



al. (1964). To determine the karyological relationships among taxa, we performed Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) with five uncorrelated parameters as suggested by Peruzzi and Altınordu (2014). These parameters are basic chromosome number (x), total haploid

length (THL), mean centromeric asymmetry (M_{CA}) it is calculated as the mean (L-S)/(L+S)×100 where, for each chromosome, L is the length of long arm and S is the length of short arm; coefficient of variation of chromosome length (CV_{CL} =(L+S)×100) and coefficient of variation of centromeric index (CV_{CI} =S/(L+S) ×100) (Paszko 2006; Peruzzi *et al.* 2009; Zuo and Yuan 2011; Peruzzi and Eroğlu 2013). The software Past 3.03 (Hammer *et al.* 2001; Hammer 2018) was used to perform this analysis.

Seed and gland morphology in the classification of the genus *Euphorbia* is one of the most important taxonomic characters. Therefore, in order to evaluate the resulting PCoA graphic in terms of the seed and gland morphological characters, some symbols are given to the studied species (Table 2).



Figure 1. Somatic chromosomes of the studied taxa. (a) *E. cheiradenia*; (b) *E. macroclada*; (c) *E. niciciana*; (d) *E. pannonica*; (e) *E. pestalozzae*; (f) *E. petrophila*; (g) *E. pisidica*; (h) *E. seguieriana*; (i) *E. smirnovii*; (j) *E. thessala*; (k) *E. yildirimli*; (l) *E. terracina*. (Scale bars 2 μ m).

Mitotic metaphase chromosomes are given in Figure 1. Ideograms of these taxa are arranged in order of centromere position and then decreasing the length of homologue chromosome pairs (Figure 2).

RESULTS

Karyomorphological details (shortest chromosome length; longest chromosome length; mean long arm length; mean short arm length; karyotype formula) of 12 species of Euphorbia are listed in Table 3. The chromosome numbers, total haploid length, mean centromeric asymmetry, coefficient of variation of chromosome length and the coefficient of variation of centromeric index of the species are also summarized in Table 4. Metaphase plates and their related ideograms of the studied species are presented in Figures 1 and 2.

Karyotype analysis revealed the basic chromosome number x=9 for all species. Most of the species showed diploid cytotypes 2n = 18 but *E. macroclada* and *E. smirnovii* showed tetraploid cytotypes 2n = 4x = 36 (Figure 1).

The chromosomes were mainly metacentric with centromeres localized in the median position. The karvotypes of four species (E. cheiradenia, E. macroclada, E. smirnovii, E. yildirimli) included one submetacentric chromosome. Only karyotype of E. petrophila included two submetacentric chromosomes.

The ratio of the shortest and the longest chromosome lengths ranged from 0.79 µm (E. smirnovii) to 2.20 µm (E. petrophila). The ratio of the shortest and the

Table 3. Karyotype analysis of the investigated Euphorbia taxa.





pestalozzae; (f) E. petrophila; (g) E. pisidica; (h) E. seguieriana; (i) E. smirnovii; (j) E. thessala; (k) E. yildirimli; (l) E. terracina.

longest total haploid chromosome length (THL) ranged from 8.75 µm to 16.78 µm in E. terracina and E. petroph*ila*, respectively. The smallest mean short arm length (q) was observed in E. terracina (0.44 µm) and E. seguieriana (0.51 μ m), the largest mean long arm length (p) was observed in E. petrophila (1.07 µm) (Table 3).

| | SC-LC | q (μm) Mean (±SD) | p (μm) Mean (±SD) | p+q Mean (±SD) | Karyotype formula |
|----------------|-----------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| E. cheiradenia | 1.35-2.12 | $0.76(\pm 0.10)$ | 0.98(±0.14) | 1.75(±0.22) | 16 m + 2 sm |
| E. macroclada | 1.21-1.89 | $0.67(\pm 0.09)$ | $0.85(\pm 0.13)$ | $1.53(\pm 0.20)$ | 32 m + 4 sm |
| E. niciciana | 0.94-1.53 | $0.55(\pm 0.08)$ | $0.65(\pm 0.10)$ | $1.20(\pm 0.17)$ | 18 m |
| E. pannonica | 1.05-1.60 | $0.63(\pm 0.08)$ | $0.68(\pm 0.08)$ | $1.31(\pm 0.16)$ | 18 m |
| E. pestalozzae | 0.98-1.44 | $0.54(\pm 0.04)$ | $0.67(\pm 0.09)$ | $1.21(\pm 0.13)$ | 18 m |
| E. petrophila | 1.59-2.20 | $0.79(\pm 0.12)$ | $1.07(\pm 0.12)$ | $1.86(\pm 0.18)$ | 14 m + 4 sm |
| E. pisidica | 1.10-1.64 | $0.63(\pm 0.06)$ | $0.75(\pm 0.10)$ | $1.38(\pm 0.16)$ | 18 m |
| E. seguieriana | 0.88-1.42 | $0.51(\pm 0.06)$ | $0.61(\pm 0.11)$ | $1.11(\pm 0.16)$ | 18 m |
| E. smirnovii | 0.79-1.52 | $0.52(\pm 0.10)$ | $0.62(\pm 0.14)$ | $1.14(\pm 0.22)$ | 32m + 4 sm |
| E. thessala | 1.15-1.78 | $0.65(\pm 0.06)$ | $0.79(\pm 0.13)$ | $1.44(\pm 0.19)$ | 18 m |
| E. yildirimli | 1.13-1.74 | $0.66(\pm 0.08)$ | $0.80(\pm 0.13)$ | $1.47(\pm 0.18)$ | 16 m + 2 sm |
| E. terracina | 0.80-1.14 | $0.44(\pm 0.03)$ | $0.54(\pm 0.07)$ | $0.97(\pm 0.09)$ | 18 m |
| | | | | | |

Abbreviations:SC: the shortest chromosome length; LC: the longest chromosome length; p: mean long arm length; q: mean short arm length; SD: standard deviation; m: metacentric; sm: submetacentric.

2n THL CV_{CL} CV_{CI} x M_{CA} E. cheiradenia 9 15.71 12.51 18 12.56 6.82 E. macroclada 36 9 13.73 11.37 12.89 7.73 E. niciciana 18 9 10.78 8.43 3.34 14.61 E. pannonica 9 11.77 18 4.17 12.40 1.53 E. pestalozzae 18 9 10.86 10.52 10.87 5.16 E. petrophila 18 9 16.78 14.90 9.49 10.44 E. pisidica 18 9 12.41 8.06 11.25 2.99 E. seguieriana 18 9 10.01 8.49 14.33 4.73 7.71 E. smirnovii 36 9 10.30 19.65 7.96 E. thessala 18 9 12.93 9.56 13.16 4.64 E. yildirimli 18 9 13.21 9.37 12.35 7.54 E. terracina 18 9 8.75 9.85 9.76 3.93

 Table 4. Chromosome numbers and karyo-morphometric parameters, symmetry indices for investigated taxa.

Abbreviations: THL: total haploid length; M_{CA} : mean centromeric asymmetry; CV_{CL} : coefficient of variation of chromosome length; CV_{CI} : coefficient of variation of centromeric index.

Euphorbia petrophila, with relatively high intrachromosomal (M_{CA} = 14.90) and *E. pannonica* (4.17) with low intrachromosomal asymmetry, also *E. smirnovii* with high interchromosomal (CV_{CL} =19.65) and *E. petrophila* (9.49) low interchromosomal asymmetry were observed. Intrachromosomally, seed surface smooth and cyathial glands horned species are more asymmetrical than seed

surface smooth and cyathial glands hornless species (Table 4).

Twelve *Euphorbia* species were analysed by PCoA (cumulative variance explained by the first two axes: 88.21%). And, the species with the same seed and cyathial gland morphology tend to cluster together except *E. smirnovii* (Figure 3). The most important characters in recognizing these groups as distinct resulted THL, M_{CA} and CV_{CL} .

DISCUSSION

The number, size, and asymmetry of chromosomes are important characteristics that help explain the phylogenetic relationships of species (Eroğlu *et al.*, 2013).

Karyotype data for seven taxa (3 endemic) are reported for the first time in the present study.

Various chromosome numbers have been reported for the sect. *Pithyusa* (2n=16, 18, 28, 36, 40, 72) (Riina et al 2013). However, most of the investigated taxa in this study have the same chromosome number (2n=18), only *E. macroclada* and *E. smirnovii* showed tetraploid cytotypes 2n = 4x = 36. According to Riina *et al.* (2013) chromosome number of *E. terracina* is 18 and our results supported this report. However, even though *E. terracina* has 18 chromosomes, it differs from the other investigated taxa in smaller chromosomes (THL=8.75).



Figure 3. PCoA analysis based on five quantitative karyological parameters of investigated taxa.

The chromosome number of *E. macroclada* was reported 2n = 2x = 18 by Lessani and Chariat-panahi (1979) and Chariat-Panahi *et al.* (1982). Tetraploid cytotype is reported for the first time for this species. Different chromosome numbers were reported for *E. seguieriana* subsp. *seguieriana* (2n= 16, 18, 40) (Rice *et al.* 2015). In this study, the chromosome number of this species is reported to be 2n = 2x = 18.

Euphorbia terracina is a bit separated from other taxa according to the PCoA analysis. So, our results support the position of *E. terracina* in a distinct section (E. sect. *Pachycladae*), as suggested by Riina *et al.* (2013).

Three groups are examined in PCoA graph as **group** I (taxa with seed surface not smooth and cyathial glands horned), **group II** (taxa with seed surface smooth and cyathial glands horned) and **group III** (taxa with seed surface smooth and cyathial glands hornless). But *E. smirnovii* is also partially out of these groups (Figure 3).

As a conclusion, further studies on the chromosome morphology of *Euphorbia* taxa should be performed. Thus, it can be seen more clearly how species are grouped according to the chromosome morphology. In our opinion, studies on the chromosome morphology of *Euphorbia* will contribute to the taxonomy of the genus.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) Project number 114Z125.

REFERENCES

- Barla A, Birman H, Kültür Ş, Öksüz S. 2006. Secondary metabolites from *Euphorbia helioscopia* and their vasodeppressor activity. Turk. J. Chem. 30: 325–332.
- Barla A, Öztürk M, Kültür Ş, Öksüz S. 2007. Screening of antioxidant activity of three *Euphorbia* species from Turkey. Fitoterapia 78: 423–425.
- Baytop T. 1984. Türkiyede bitkilerle tedavi [Therapy with medicinal plants in Turkey]. İstanbul: İstanbul University Press. Turkish.
- Bruyns PV, Mapaya RJ, Hedderson T. 2006. A new subgeneric classification for *Euphorbia* (Euphorbiaceae) in southern Africa based on ITS and psbA-trnH sequence data. Taxon 55: 397–420.
- Chariat-Panahi MS, Lessani H, Cartier D. 1982. Etude caryologique de quelques espèces de la flore de l'Iran. Rev. Cytol. Biol .Veg. Bot. 5: 189–197.

- Ernst M, Grace OM, Saslis-Lagoudakis CH, Nilsson N, Simonsen HT, Rønsted N. 2015. Global medicinal uses of *Euphorbia* L.(Euphorbiaceae). J. Ethnopharmacol. 176: 90–101.
- Genç İ, Kültür Ş. 2016. *Euphorbia akmanii* (Euphorbiaceae), a new species from Turkey. Phytotaxa 265(2): 112–120.
- Genç İ, Kültür Ş. 2017. Morphological and Caryological Features of *Euphorbia smirnovii* Geltman. Trak Univ Journal of Nat Sci. 18(2): 133–136.
- Genç İ, Kültür Ş. 2018. Seed morphology of perennial taxa of *Euphorbia* section *Pithyusa* (Euphorbiaceae) in Turkey. Phytotaxa 336(3): 263–271.
- Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD. 2001. PAST: Paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontol Electron. 4(1): 9.
- Hammer Ø. 2018. PAST 3.19. http://folk.uio.no/ohammer/past [accessed March 2018]
- Horn JW, van EBW, Morawetz JJ, Riina R, Steinmann VW, Berry PE, Wurdack KJ. 2012. Phylogenetics and the evolution of major structural characters in the giant genus *Euphorbia* L. (Euphorbiaceae). Mol Phylogenet Evol. 63(2): 305–326.
- Iyer YK. 1991. Report 34. In: Kamari G, Felber F, Garbari F, editors. Mediterranean chromosome number reports 1. Flora Mediterranea I: 238–239.
- Kesercioglu T, Nakipoglu M, Kesercioglu H, Küçet AY. 1990. Batı Anadolu'nun bazı endemik bitkileri üzerinde sitotaksonomik araştırmalar [Cytotaxonomic studies on some endemic plants of Western Anatolia]. Proceedings of the X. Ulusal Biyoloji Kongresi [National Biology Congress]; 18-20 July; Erzurum. p. 63–73. Turkish.
- Lessani H, Chariat-panahi S. 1979. In IOPB chromosome number reports LXV. Taxon 28: 635–636.
- Levan A, Fredga K, Sandberg AA. 1964. Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes. Hereditas. 52(2): 201–220.
- Özbilgin S, Bahadır Acıkara Ö, Küpeli Akkol E, Süntar I, Keleş H, İşcan Saltan G. 2018. In vivo wound-healing activity of *Euphorbia characias* subsp. *wulfenii*: Isolation and quantification of quercetin glycosides as bioactive compounds. J Ethnopharmacol. 224: 400–408.
- Özbilgin S, Küpeli Akkol E, Süntar İ, Tekin M, Saltan İşcan G. 2019. Wound healing activity of some species of *Euphorbia* L. Rec Nat Prod. 13(2): 104–113.
- Öztekin M. 2012. Euphorbia L. In: Güner A, Aslan S, Ekim T, Vural M, Babaç MT, Editors. Türkiye Bitkileri Listesi (Damarlı Bitkiler) [Turkey Plant List (Vascular Plants)]. İstanbul: Nezahat Gökyiğit Botanik Bahçesi ve Flora Araştırmaları Derneği Yayını; p. 414–424. Turkish.

- Paszko B. 2006. A critical review and a new proposal of karyotype asymmetry indices. Plant Syst Evol. 258(1-2): 39–48.
- Peruzzi L, Altınordu F. 2014. A proposal for a multivariate quantitative approach to infer karyological relationships among taxa. Comp Cytogen. 8(4): 337-349.
- Peruzzi L, Eroğlu HE. 2013. Karyotype asymmetry: again, how to measure and what to measure? Comp Cytogen. 7(1): 1–9.
- Peruzzi L, Leitch IJ, Caparelli KF. 2009. Chromosome diversity and evolution in Liliaceae. Ann Bot. 103: 459–475.
- Radcliffe-Smith A. 1976. The mystery o f Euphorbia robbiae (Euphorbiaceae). Kew Bull. 30: 697–698.
- Rice A, Glick L, Abadi S, Einhorn M, Kopelman NM, Salman-Minkov A, Mayzel J, Chay O, Mayrose I. 2015. The Chromosome Counts Database (CCDB)–a community resource of plant chromosome numbers. New Phytol. 206(1): 19–26.
- Riina R, Peirson JA, Geltman DV, Molero J, Frajman B, Pahlevani A, Barres L, Morawetz JJ, Salmaki Y, Zarre S, *et al.* 2013. A worldwide molecular phylogeny and classification of the leafy spurges, *Euphorbia* subgenus *Esula* (Euphorbiaceae). Taxon 62(2): 316–342.
- Strid A. 1987. Chromosome numbers of Turkish mountain plants. An annotated list o f 34 taxa. Notes RBG Edinb. 44: 351–356.
- Vicens J, Molero J, Blanché C. 1991. Reports 38–44. In: Kamari G, Felber F, Garbari F, editors. Mediterranean chromosome number reports-1. Flora Mediterránea 1: 241–243.
- Zuo J, Yuan G. 2011. The difference between the heterogeneity of the centromeric index and intrachromosomal asymmetry. Plant Syst Evol. 297: 141–145.