



**Citation:** Inês da Fonseca Simão, Hermenegildo Ribeiro da Costa, Helena Cristina Correia de Oliveira, Maria Helena Abreu Silva, Paulo Cardoso da Silveira (2022).NuclearDNA2C-valuesfor 16 species from Timor-Leste increases taxonomical representation in tropical ferns and lycophytes. *Caryologia* 75(3): 109-122. doi: 10.36253/caryologia-1628

Received: April 14, 2022

Accepted: November 23, 2022

Published: April 5, 2023

**Copyright:** © 2022 Inês da Fonseca Simão, Hermenegildo Ribeiro da Costa, Helena Cristina Correia de Oliveira, Maria Helena Abreu Silva, Paulo Cardoso da Silveira. This is an open access, peer-reviewed article published by Firenze University Press (http://www.fupress.com/caryologia) and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

**Competing Interests:** The Author(s) declare(s) no conflict of interest.

#### ORCID

IS: 0000-0001-6548-8535 HC: 0000-0002-8059-2397 HO: 0000-0002-4673-0696 MS: 0000-0001-8060-2842 PS: 0000-0002-9253-5381

# Nuclear DNA 2C-values for 16 species from Timor-Leste increases taxonomical representation in tropical ferns and lycophytes

Inês da Fonseca Simão<sup>1</sup>, Hermenegildo Ribeiro da Costa<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Helena Cristina Correia de Oliveira<sup>1,2</sup>, Maria Helena Abreu Silva<sup>1,2</sup>, Paulo Cardoso da Silveira<sup>1,2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal <sup>2</sup> CESAM-Centre for Environmental and Marine Studies, Department of Biology, University of Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Education, Arts and Humanities, National University Timor Lorosa'e (UNTL), Avenida Cidade de Lisboa, Dili, East Timor

\* Ccorresponding author. E-mail: psilveira@ua.pt

Abstract. Knowledge regarding genome size allows us to infer relationships between taxa, address questions related to systematics and contribute to biodiversity studies. However, currently, less than 3% of the described Pteridophyta species have genome size estimates reported in databases, and only around one third of these are tropical species, although the tropics are home of 86% of fern diversity. The region of Timor-Leste, included in one of the 25 hotspots of biodiversity, is considered one of the richest areas of the world in terms of pteridophyte species. Nonetheless, biodiversitydriven research focused on this territory's biodiversity is scarce. This study presents novel 2C-values for 15 species of ferns collected in Timor-Leste, using flow cytometry. Furthermore, one species of the lycophyte Palhinhaea cernua (L.) Vasc. & Franco, was also studied and its estimated genome size compared to a previous report. Estimates ranged from 10.45 pg in Selliguea feei Bory to 29.7 pg in Microsorum punctatum (L.) Copel, and are considered medium-size genomes. The data was compared with previous reports for closely related species. These are the first 2C-values for two families and seven genera of ferns, increasing the number of pteridophytes with reported C-values from 292 to 307.

**Keywords:** genome size, chromosome, cytogenetics, DNA amount, nuclear DNA content, Malesia, geographical distribution.

# INTRODUCTION

Information regarding genome size plays a fundamental role in understanding a species' evolutionary history and is a tool that allows us to infer relationships between taxa, address questions related to cellular and developmental biology and systematics, among others, and contributes to biodiversity studies (Leitch 2005; Kumar et al. 2011). The considerable differences in nuclear DNA content across species can be related to adaptive features, which shows that genome size can be under selective pressure and its variations may be related to the evolutionary history of a given group (Ohri 1998). Currently, flow cytometry is the main technique used to obtain information related to species DNA C-value (Dolezel 2005). However, despite the importance of these studies, and the recent efforts concerning information about genome size in plants, there is still a substantial gap in knowledge, with only a very small portion of species studied, and more research is required.

The majority of values reported in the Plant DNA C-value database (Release 7.1, April 2019:https://cvalues. science.kew.org/) (Leitch et al. 2019) belong to angiosperms. The 2C-value for 10.770 species of angiosperms is known, corresponding to 3.3% of their global diversity (Antonelli et al. 2020). Pteridophytes are even more under-represented, with only 292 species reported in the database. These numbers account for 2.45% of the 11,916 species of pteridophytes described (PPG 2016). In 2001, Bennet & Leitch set the goal of obtaining the C-value for 200 pteridophytes species by 2005, with a special focus on those that maximize systematic and geographic representation (Bennet and Leitch 2001). Although this goal was met, further studies regarding this group are fundamental, since the pteridophytes represent an important evolutionary transition between bryophytes and spermatophytes and, as such, are critical to our understanding of how DNA content has evolved across land plants (Bainard et al. 2011). Furthermore, since the laboratories adequately equipped to make 2C-values estimation are mostly located in temperate climate areas, with more difficult access to tropical fern species, we suspected such species would be underrepresented in the Plant DNA C-value database. Yet, pteridophyte diversity in the tropics is significantly higher than in any other region of the globe. Estimates point to the existence of 4500 species of ferns and lycophytes in Southeast Asia, more than twice the number of species of the entire Holarctic Kingdom (Moran 2008). At the same time, the region of Timor-Leste, located in Southeast Asia, is included in the biogeographic region of Malesia, which is considered one of the richest areas of the world in terms of tropical pteridophyte species diversity (Ebihara and Kuo 2012). Additionally, Timor-Leste is included in Wallacea, an area classified as one of the 25 hotspots of biodiversity identified by Myers et al. (2000) as a priority of conservation at a global scale. Despite the rich biological patrimony of Timor-Leste, research focused on the country's biodiversity and genetic resources is lacking, mainly due to the military occupation of the territory that took place between 1975 and 1999 (Bouma and Kobryn 2004). In this sense, better coverage of pteridophytes nuclear DNA values data in this territory is crucial to understand the mechanisms behind genome size evolution and their relationship with geographic and ecological factors (Dagher-Kharrat et al. 2013).

Therefore, the aims of this paper are: 1. to check what percentage of genome size data from tropical pteridophytes has been estimated, comparing with other biogeographic regions; and 2. to expand knowledge about genome sizes of tropical fern species occurring in Timor-Leste.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Plant material

Prior to the field work, a search was conducted in the Plant DNA C-value database to establish which pteridophytes species, known to occur in Timor-Leste, had already 2C-values estimations published, and which had not. From the latter list, those species with populations that could more easily be sampled were selected as target species for this study (Table 1). Leaves of 15 ferns and one lycophyte were collected from several field locations in Timor-Leste (Table 1). These samples were kept fresh (at 0-5°C) for a period no longer than a week and used for flow cytometry analysis. Voucher specimens were prepared and kept in the herbaria of the University of Aveiro (AVE) and Naturalis Biodiversity Center (L). Duplicates were also kept at the National University of East Timor (UNTL, Díli, Timor-Leste).

#### Nuclear DNA content estimation

The nuclear DNA content of fresh leaf samples was assessed using flow cytometry, currently the most used technique to estimate C/2C-value in plants for its simplicity, accuracy, convenience, and speed (Galbraith et al. 1983, 2009). The methodology used followed Loureiro et al. (2007), which included the preparation of nuclear suspensions by chopping 50 mg of leaf sample tissue and 50 mg of internal standard leaves, Vicia faba "Inovec" (2C= 26.90 pg; Dolezel, Sgorbati and Lucretii 1992) or Pisum sativum "Ctirad" (2C= 9.09 pg; Dolezel et al. 1992), with a razor blade in a glass Petri dish containing 1 mL of WPB isolation buffer (200 mM Tris.HCl, 4mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O, 2 mM EDTA Na<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O, 86 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium metabisulfite, 1% PVP-10, 1% (v/v) Triton X-100, pH 7.5; Loureiro et al. 2007). The nuclear solution was then filtered through a nylon net of 50  $\mu$ m, and 50 µg.mL<sup>-1</sup> of propidium iodide (PI, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Lou**Table 1.** Scientific names and localities of samples collected for this study. Voucher specimens are kept in the Herbarium of the University of Aveiro (AVE) and of the Naturalis Biodiversity Center (L). Family circumscription according with PPG (2016).

Taxon	Family	Localities in Timor-Leste
<b>Lycopodiophyta</b> Palhinhaea cernua (L.) Vasc. & Franco	Lycopodiaceae	Ainaro, roadside between Maubisse and Turiscai, [8°49'33" S, 125°38'10" E], <i>Costa et al.</i> 254 (AVE)
<b>Pteridophyta</b> <i>Calochlaena javanica</i> (Blume) M.D.Turner & R.A.White	Dicksoniaceae	Ainaro, roadside from Maubisse to Turiscai, [8°49'22" S, 125°37'01" E], <i>Costa et al.</i> 245 (AVE, L.3959675)
Pityrogramma calomelanos (L.) Link	Pteridaceae	Ainaro, roadside between Maubisse and Turiscai, [8°49'33" S, 125°38'10" E], Costa <i>et al.</i> 253 (AVF)
Adiantum philippense L.	Pteridaceae	Liquiçá, roadside between Tibar and Faiten, [8°36'59" S, 125°29'09" E], Costa <i>et al.</i> 8 (AVE, L.3959700)
Pteris ensiformis Burm.	Pteridaceae	Manufahi, roadside of Laclo, [8°51'28" S, 125°41'36" E], Costa et al. 320 (AVE)
Blechnopsis orientalis (L.) C.Presl	Blechnaceae	Ainaro, roadside from Maubisse to Turiscai, [8°49'22" S, 125°37'01" E], Costa <i>et al.</i> 244 (AVE)
Diplazium esculentum (Retz.) Sw.	Athyriaceae	Aileu, from Díli to Aileu, after the crossroad to Remexio and Remexio, [8°37'05" S, 125°38'25" E], Costa <i>et al.</i> 195 (AVE, L.3959688)
Tectaria melanocaulos (Blume) Copel.	Tectariaceae	Aileu, Asumau, [8°37'19" S, 125°38'37"], Costa et al. 200 (AVE, L.3959765)
Oleandra musifolia (Blume) C.Presl	Oleandraceae	Ainaro, roadside between Maubisse and Turiscai, [8°48'57" S, 125°38'39" E], Costa <i>et al.</i> 258 (AVE)
Goniophlebium subauriculatum (Blume) C.Presl	Polypodiaceae	Viqueque, on the Waibua forest at foothills of Mundo Perdido mountain, [8°43'59" S, 126°22'10" E], <i>Costa et al.</i> 303 (AVE)
Microsorum punctatum (L.) Copel.	Polypodiaceae	Viqueque, on the Waibua forest at the foothills of Mundo Perdido mountain, [8°43'59" S. 126°22'10" F] <i>Costa et al.</i> 307 (AVF)
Microsorum scolopendria (Burm.f.) Copel.	Polypodiaceae	Viqueque, on the Waibua forest at foothills of Mundo Perdido mountain, $[8^{\circ}(3^{\circ}S)^{\circ}S + 126^{\circ}2^{\circ}(0^{\circ} F)] - Costa et al. 301 (AVE)$
Platycerium bifurcatum subsp. willinckii (T.Moore) Hennipman & M.C.Roos	Polypodiaceae	Díli, Dare, [8°35'38" S, 125°34'07" E], Costa <i>et al.</i> 84 (AVE, L.3959789)
Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw.	Polypodiaceae	Aileu, roadside between Aileu and Maubisse, [8°48'16" S, 125°35'31" E], Costa <i>et al.</i> 238 (AVE)
Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton	Polypodiaceae	Viqueque, roadside of Urulita, [8°46'21" S, 126°22'11" E], Costa <i>et al.</i> 290 (AVE)
Selliguea feei Bory	Polypodiaceae	Ainaro, Maubisse - Turiscai, at Rita-Uruho, [8°49'22" S, 125°37'01" E], Costa <i>et al.</i> 243 (AVE)

is, MO, USA) and 50 μg.mL<sup>-1</sup> of RNAse (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were added to the sample, to stain nuclear DNA and prevent staining of double stranded RNA, respectively. Samples were analyzed within a 10 min period on an Attune<sup>®</sup> Acoustic Focusing Cytometer (TermoFisher Scientific) equipped with a 488 nm laser.

For each sample, at least 5,000 nuclei were analyzed. As a quality control, nuclear DNA content estimates were only considered when the coefficient of variation of  $G_0/G_1$  peaks ( $CV_{peak}$ ) were below 5%. Samples with higher  $CV_{peak}$  values were discarded and a new sample was prepared.

For most of the taxa, three to five individuals were analyzed, but for *Selliguea feei* and *Tectaria melanocau*-

*los*, only one individual for each of the species survived the time between sampling in Timor and analysis in Aveiro. The number of individuals measured for each population is provided in Table 1.

#### Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated for each taxa studied namely, mean, standard deviation (SD), coefficient of variation (CV), and minimum and maximum values of the holoploid genome size (2C, pg).

## Chromosome number

The median of the chromosome numbers for 14 taxa was obtained from the online Chromosome Counts Database (CCDB) (Rice et al. 2015).

#### Floristic kingdoms versus 2C values analysis

The floristic kingdom's classification by Takhtajan (1986) was applied to the Pteridophyta taxa whose DNA C-values are available in the Plant DNA C-value database. For that, Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF, at https://www.gbif.org/, January 2022) was consulted to establish each species' main occurrence. Finally, the distribution of species listed in the Plant DNA C-value database by each floristic kingdom was compared with the equivalent distribution of the total World number of Pteridophyte species given by Moran (2008). For this comparison, the Paleotropical and the Cape floristic kingdoms had to be included in the same group, because Moran (2008) gives a single total number for Africa, without segregating the Cape floristic kingdom. The same was not adopted for the Holantarctic kingdom, because Moran (2008), provides separate figures for New Zealand, which allows some separation from other kingdoms. In South America no separation was possible between the Holantarctic and the Neotropical kingdoms, but since the number of Neotropical species should be much greater than the Holantarctic species present in the region, we assumed that the error would not be critical.

#### RESULTS

DNA content estimates were obtained for the 16 samples, 15 of them representing taxa with no previous 2C-value reported. These estimates, as well as the chromosome median 2n value that are described in literature, are presented in Table 2. The 2C DNA content ranged from 10.45 pg in *Selliguea feei* Bory, with the *Vicia faba* standard, to 29.7 pg in *Microsorum punctatum* (L.) Copel. with the *Pisum sativum* standard. The average 2C-value for Polypodiopsida was 20.62 pg, and for Lycopodiophyta, represented only by one taxon, the 2C-value was 25.65 pg.

The coefficients of variation (CVs) for the samples varied between 3.7% and 6.7%.

The list of Pteridophyte taxa for which nuclear DNA 2C-values have been published in the Plant DNA C-value database (Leitch 2019) is presented in the Supplementary Material 1, alongside with the Takhtajan's floristic kingdoms (Takhtajan 1986) embraced by their geographical distributions ranges. This information is summarized in Table 3, alongside with the total world estimated number, and percentage, of Pteridophyte species for each floristic kingdom, according with Moran (2008). We can see in this table, that the Paleotropical+Cape kingdoms, together with the Neotropical floristic kingdoms, with 45% and 42%, respectively, include the vast majority of the world's pteridophyte diversity (87%). Contrariwise, the most diverse group of pteridophytes whose nuclear DNA 2C-values are known is the Holarctic, with 44%, followed by the Paleotropical+Cape, with only 23% and the Neotropical with 18%. With this study, the percentages of Holarctic species is reduced to 42%, and the percentage of species from Paleotropical+Cape area increases to 25%.

#### DISCUSSION

In spite of the long journey between the field in Timor-Leste and the cytometry laboratory in Aveiro, where the analysis was done, we succeed to analyze, at least, three individuals for 14 of the 16 species, and five/ six, for nine of the 16 species.

The higher intraspecific variations detected are, most likely, related to difficulties associated to the flow cytometry technique, since the easiness of obtaining data differs between the taxa, as mentioned by Obermayer, et al. (2002).

Following Leitch, Chase & Bennet (1998) genome size classification, all taxa have "intermediate" genomes  $(7 < 2C \le 28 \text{ pg})$ . The median value established for genome size in ferns is 22.8 pg/2C and it has been related, partially, to variation in post-polyploidization processessuch as additional chromosomes and DNA arising from whole genome duplications-, since diploidization is not linked with genome downsizing in ferns in opposition to angiosperms, a group with smaller genomes (median= 3.4 pg/2C) (Liu et al. 2019). Regarding the lycophytes, the median 2C-value for the group is 0.26 pg (Liu et al. 2019), corresponding to a very small genome ( $\leq$ 2.8 pg) (Leitch et al. 1998). Despite the 2C-value previously reported in the literature of 2.75 pg for Palhinhaea cernua (L.) Vasc. & Franco (Kuo et al. 2016), the 2C-value estimated for this species is 25.65 pg, corresponding to the "intermediate" category and to the highest genome size in the Lycopodiaceae family reported until present, more than twice that of Huperzia lucidula (Michx.) Trevis., which has 11.28 pg (Bainard et al. 2011) and was the previous highest value reported. Considering that the coefficient of variation for this estimate is 5.5%, it doesn't seem likely that the 2C-value for P. cernua was

Taxon         Family Family Family Family Median 2n value         Mean $\pm$ SD         Min.         Max.         Average Instance         number of CV(%)         Number of CV(%)         Average Instance         Number of CV(%)         Numer of CV(%)         Numer of CV(%)         N				Geno	ome size (2C	, pg)		
Lycopodiophya       Lycopodiaceae       208, 230, 27, 312, 330, 2565 ± 0.43       25.32       5.53       5.54       4.03       5.51       5.51       5.51       5.51       5.51       5.51       5.51       5.51       5.51       5.51       5.51       5.51	Taxon	Family	Median 2n value	Mean ± SD	Min.	Max.	Average CV (%)	n. samples
Pteridoptya <i>Pteridoptya</i> $?$ $11.41 \pm 0.11$ $11.32$ $11.43$ $4.78$ $5$ <i>Ptyrogramma calonelanos</i> (L) Link $232, 240$ $56.41 \pm 0.36$ $26.12$ $26.85$ $6.72$ $4$ <i>Adiantum philippense</i> L. $232, 240$ $56.41 \pm 0.36$ $26.12$ $26.85$ $6.72$ $4$ <i>Adiantum philippense</i> L. $58, 87-88, 116, 168, 185$ $19.15^{+} \pm 0.55$ $18.71$ $921$ $591$	<b>Lycopodiophyta</b> Palhinhaea cernua (L.) Vasc. & Franco	Lycopodiaceae 2	208, 220, 272, 312, 330, 340, 416	25.65 ± 0.43	25.32	26.32	5.52	Ω
Pityrogramma calonelanos (L) Link $232, 240$ $56.41 \pm 0.36$ $26.85$ $6.72$ $68$ $72$ $64$ A diantum philippense L. $A$ diantum philippense L. $80, 90$ $21.92^* \pm 2.3$ $18.81$ $23.29$ $4.03$ $4$ $P$ teris ensignmits Burm. $88, 81.61, 68, 185$ $19.15^* \pm 0.55$ $18.71$ $19.81$ $4.71$ $5$ $P$ teris ensignmits Burm. $88, 81.61, 68, 185$ $19.15^* \pm 0.55$ $18.71$ $19.81$ $4.71$ $5$ $R$ chorpois optimatis (L) CPresi $R$ $A$ hybricace $8$ $13.57^* \pm 0.11$ $13.43$ $13.61$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5$ $R$ chorpois contentum (Renz.) Sw. $A$ hybricace $8$ $22.68 \pm 0.70$ $22.25.57$ $5.88$ $16.61$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $T$ charine excultertum (Renz.) Sw. $T$ charine excultertum (Renz.) Sw. $T$ charine excultertum (Renz.) Sw. $22.68 \pm 0.70$ $22.5.58$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$ $5.91$	<b>Pteridophyta</b> Calochlaena javanica (Blume) M.D.Turner & R.A.White	Dicksoniaceae	~.	$11.41 \pm 0.11$	11.32	11.43	4.78	5
Adiantum philippense L.Pteridaceae $60, 90$ $2192^{*} \pm 0.55$ $18.48$ $23.29$ $4.03$ $4$ <i>Pteris ensiformis Burm.</i> $58, 87-88, 116, 168, 185$ $19.15^{*} \pm 0.55$ $18.71$ $9.81$ $4.71$ $5$ <i>Pteris ensiformis Burm.</i> Blechnaceae $?$ $13.57^{*} \pm 0.11$ $13.43$ $13.61$ $5.91$ $5$ $5$ <i>Blechnopsis orientalis</i> (L.) C.PreslBlechnaceae $?$ $13.57^{*} \pm 0.11$ $13.43$ $13.61$ $5.91$ $5$ <i>Diplazium esculentum</i> (Retr.) SwAthyriaceae $82$ $2.268 \pm 0.70$ $22$ $23.57$ $5.88$ $4$ <i>Diplazium esculentum</i> (Retr.) SwTectariaceae $?$ $24.68$ $-7$ $23.66$ $4.71$ $5$ <i>Oleandra musifolia</i> (Blume) C.PreslOleandra musifolia (Blume) C.PreslOleandra cardia $80$ $13.65^{*} \pm 0.08$ $13.57$ $13.75$ $6.11$ $5$ <i>Oleandra musifolia</i> (Blume) C.PreslOleandra cardia $80$ $13.65^{*} \pm 0.08$ $13.57$ $13.75$ $6.11$ $5$ <i>Microsorum punctatum</i> (L.) Copel.Oleandra cardia $80$ $13.65^{*} \pm 0.08$ $13.57$ $13.75$ $6.12$ $25.76$ $4.98$ <i>Microsorum burctatum</i> (L.) Copel.Polypoliaceae $36^{**}$ $24.75 \pm 0.94$ $30.23$ $24.76$ $4.56$ $53.76$ $4.56$ <i>Microsorum burctatum</i> (L.) Copel.Polypoliaceae $36^{**}$ $24.75 \pm 0.94$ $30.23$ $23.74$ $30.23$ $23.74$ $23.74$ $23.74$ $23.74$ $29.76$ $29.76$ $29.$	Pityrogramma calomelanos (L.) Link		232, 240	$26.41 \pm 0.36$	26.12	26.85	6.72	4
Pteris ensiformis Burn.         58, 87-88, 116, 168, 185         19.17 $\pm 0.55$ 18.71         19.81         4.71         5           Blechnopsis orientalis (L). Crescl         Blechnopsis orientalis (L). Crescl         2         13.57 $\pm 0.11$ 13.43         13.61         5.91         5           Diplazium esculentum (Retz.) Sw.         Athyriaceae         82         22.68 $\pm 0.70$ 22         5.357         5.88         4           Tectaria melanocados (Blume) Copel.         Tectariaceae         8         24.68         -         -         3.69         1           Oleandra musiofia (Blume) C. Presl         Oleandraceae         80         13.65 $\pm 0.08$ 13.57         13.75         6.11         5           Ofendra musiofia (Blume) C. Presl         Oleandraceae         80         13.65 $\pm 0.08$ 1         -         -         3.69         1           Ofendra musiofia (Blume) C. Presl         Oleandraceae         80         13.65 $\pm 0.08$ 1         2	Adiantum philippense L.	Pteridaceae	60, 90	$21.92^* \pm 2.3$	18.48	23.29	4.03	4
Blechnopsis orientalis (L.) C.Presl       Blechnaceae       ? $13.57^{*} \pm 0.11$ $13.43$ $13.61$ $5.91$ 5         Diplazium esculentum (Rev.) Sw       Athyriaceae $82$ $22.68 \pm 0.70$ $22$ $23.57$ $5.88$ 4         Tectaria melanocaulos (Blume) Copel.       Tectariaceae $8$ $24.68 \pm 0.08$ $13.57$ $13.75$ $6.11$ $5$ Tectaria melanocaulos (Blume) C.Presl       Oleandra cuesto $80$ $13.65^{*} \pm 0.08$ $13.57$ $13.75$ $6.11$ $5$ Oriophlebium subauriculatum (Blume) C.Presl       Oleandra cuesto $80$ $13.65^{*} \pm 0.08$ $13.57$ $6.11$ $5$ $6.11$ $5$ Oniophlebium subauriculatum (Blume) C.Presl       Oleandraceae $80$ $13.65^{*} \pm 0.08$ $13.75$ $13.75$ $6.11$ $5$ Microsorum punctatum (L) Copel.       T $72$ $29.72 \pm 0.44$ $29.43$ $30.23$ $4.56$ $5$ Microsorum subpendria (Burn.f.) Copel.       Polypodiaceae $36^{**}$ $24.75 \pm 1.92$ $21.14$ $25.76$ $4.53$ $5$ Platyverium bifurcatum subsp. willinckii (T.Moore) Hennipman & M.C.Ros $74$	Pteris ensiformis Burm.	5	8, 87-88, 116, 168, 185	$19.15^* \pm 0.55$	18.71	19.81	4.71	5
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Blechnopsis orientalis (L.) C.Presl	Blechnaceae	ς.	$13.57^* \pm 0.11$	13.43	13.61	5.91	5
Tectaria melanocaulos (Blume) Copel.Tectariaceae? $24.68$ 3.691Oleandra musifolia (Blume) C.PreslOleandraceae80 $13.65^{*} \pm 0.08$ $13.57$ $13.75$ $6.11$ 5Onophlebium subauriculatum (Blume) C.PreslOleandraceae80 $13.65^{*} \pm 0.08$ $13.57$ $13.75$ $6.11$ 5Goniophlebium subauriculatum (Blume) C.Presl72 $21.06^{*} \pm 0.38$ $20.59$ $21.52$ $4.98$ 5Microsorum punctatum (L.) Copel.72 $29.47$ $29.43$ $30.23$ $4.56$ 3Microsorum scolopendria (Burm.f.) Copel.Polypodiaceae $36^{**}$ $24.55 \pm 1.92$ $21.14$ $25.76$ $4.53$ 5Platycerium bifurcatum subsp. willinckii (T.Moore) Hennipman & M.C.Roos74 $28.47 \pm 2.88$ $23.74$ $30.8$ $3.93$ 5Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw.74 $28.77 \pm 0.31$ $23.75 \pm 0.31$ $23.47$ $24.16$ $5.34$ 4.96Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw.74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ 6Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw.74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ 6Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw.74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ 6Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw.74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ 6Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw.74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ 6Pyrrosia lanceolata	Diplazium esculentum (Retz.) Sw	Athyriaceae	82	$22.68 \pm 0.70$	22	23.57	5.88	4
Oleandra musifolia (Blume) C.PreslOleandraceae80 $13.65^{*} \pm 0.08$ $13.57$ $13.75$ $6.11$ $5$ Goniophlebium subauriculatum (Blume) C.Presl72 $21.06^{*} \pm 0.38$ $20.59$ $21.52$ $4.98$ $5$ Microsorum punctatum (L.) Copel.72 $29.72 \pm 0.44$ $29.43$ $30.23$ $4.56$ $3$ Microsorum punctatum (L.) Copel.72 $29.72 \pm 0.44$ $29.43$ $30.23$ $4.56$ $3$ Microsorum scolopendria (Burm.f.) Copel.Polypodiaceae $36^{**}$ $24.55 \pm 1.92$ $21.14$ $25.76$ $4.53$ $5$ Platycerium bifurcatum subsp. willinckii (T.Moore) Hennipman & M.C.Roos $74$ $28.47 \pm 2.88$ $23.74$ $30.8$ $3.93$ $5$ Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw.74 $28.77 \pm 2.88$ $23.74$ $24.16$ $5.34$ $4.96$ $6$ Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ $6$ Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ $6$ Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ $6$ Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ $6$ Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ $6$ Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ $6$	Tectaria melanocaulos (Blume) Copel.	Tectariaceae	ς.	24.68	·	,	3.69	1
Goniophlebium subauriculatum (Blume) C.Presl72 $21.06^{*} \pm 0.38$ $20.59$ $21.52$ $4.98$ $5$ Microsorum nuctatum (L.) Copel.72 $29.72 \pm 0.44$ $29.43$ $30.23$ $4.56$ $3$ Microsorum nuctatum (L.) Copel.72 $29.72 \pm 0.44$ $29.43$ $30.23$ $4.56$ $3$ Microsorum scolopendria (Burm.f.) Copel.Polypodiaceae $36^{**}$ $24.55 \pm 1.92$ $21.14$ $25.76$ $4.53$ $5$ Platycerium bifurcatum subsp. willinckii (T.Moore) Hennipman & M.C.Roos74 $28.47 \pm 2.88$ $23.74$ $30.8$ $3.93$ $5$ Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw.74 $28.47 \pm 2.88$ $23.74$ $30.8$ $3.93$ $5$ Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw.74 $28.47 \pm 2.88$ $23.74$ $30.8$ $3.93$ $5$ Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ $6$ Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ $6$ Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton74 $10.45^*$ $  -$ <	Oleandra musifolia (Blume) C.Presl	Oleandraceae	80	$13.65^* \pm 0.08$	13.57	13.75	6.11	5
Microsorum punctatum (L.) Copel.72 $29.72 \pm 0.44$ $29.43$ $30.23$ $4.56$ $3$ Microsorum scolopendria (Burm.f.) Copel.Polypodiaceae $36^{**}$ $24.55 \pm 1.92$ $21.14$ $25.76$ $4.53$ $5$ Platycerium bifurcatum subsp. willinckii (T.Moore) Hennipman & M.C.Roos $74$ $28.47 \pm 2.88$ $23.74$ $30.8$ $3.93$ $5$ Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw. $74$ $23.73 \pm 0.31$ $23.47$ $24.16$ $5.34$ $4$ $6$ Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton $74$ $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ $6$ Selliguea feet Bory $74$ $10.45^{*}$ $  5.44$ $  -$ <	Goniophlebium subauriculatum (Blume) C.Presl		72	$21.06^* \pm 0.38$	20.59	21.52	4.98	5
Microsorum scolopendria (Burm.f.) Copel.Polypodiaceae $36^{**}$ $24.55 \pm 1.92$ $21.14$ $25.76$ $4.53$ $5$ Platycerium bifurcatum subsp. willinckii (T.Moore) Hennipman & M.C.Roos $74$ $28.47 \pm 2.88$ $23.74$ $30.8$ $3.93$ $5$ Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw. $74$ $23.73 \pm 0.31$ $23.74$ $24.16$ $5.34$ $4$ Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton $74$ $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ $6$ Selligue feet Bory $74$ $10.45^{*}$ $  5.44$ $10$	Microsorum punctatum (L.) Copel.		72	$29.72 \pm 0.44$	29.43	30.23	4.56	3
Platycerium bifurcatum subsp. willinckii (T.Moore) Hennipman & M.C.Roos74 $28.47 \pm 2.88$ $23.74$ $30.8$ $3.93$ $5$ Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw.74 $23.73 \pm 0.31$ $23.47$ $24.16$ $5.34$ $4$ Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) C.V.Morton74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ $6$ Selliguea feet Bory74 $10.45^*$ $5.44$ $1$	Microsorum scolopendria (Burm.f.) Copel.	Polypodiaceae	36**	$24.55 \pm 1.92$	21.14	25.76	4.53	5
Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw.74 $23.73 \pm 0.31$ $23.47$ $24.16$ $5.34$ 4Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ 6Selliguea feet Bory74 $10.45^*$ 5.441	Platycerium bifurcatum subsp. willinckii (T.Moore) Hennipman & M.C.Roos		74	$28.47 \pm 2.88$	23.74	30.8	3.93	5
Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton         74 $28.79 \pm 3.58$ $26.06$ $35.7$ $4.96$ $6$ Selliguea feei Bory         74 $10.45^*$ -         -         5.44         1	Pyrrosia lanceolata (Wall.) Farw.		74	$23.73 \pm 0.31$	23.47	24.16	5.34	4
Selliguea feei Bory         74         10.45*         -         5.44         1	Pyrrosia longifolia (Burm.f.) C.V.Morton		74	$28.79 \pm 3.58$	26.06	35.7	4.96	9
	Selliguea feei Bory		74	$10.45^{*}$	,	ı	5.44	1

Table 2. Mean 2C-value estimates (pg) for 15 fern species and 1 lycophyte collected in East-Timor, with standard deviation (SD), minimum and maximum values, average coefficient

negatively influenced by artefacts such as the presence of interfering secondary metabolites (Hanusová et al. 2014). This novel result shows that genome size within the Lycopodiaceae family may be more variable than what was thought until now In fact, the chromosome numbers reported for this species varies from n=34 to 2n=208-416 (Rice et al. 2015).

Comparing the 2C-value of Diplazium esculentum (Retz.) Sw. (22.68 pg) with Diplazium pycnocarpon (Sprengel) M. Broun (12.63 pg), the only other species of the same genus that has been screened for its genome size by Bainard et al. (2011), the 2C-value differs by approx. 10 pg. This variation shows that even within the same genus, genome size may vary greatly, regardless of the two species' chromosome number being very similar, with *D. esculentum* (2n=82) and *D. pycnocarpon* (2n=80). The same conclusion can be drawn when comparing our estimate for Adiantum philippense L., (2C= 21.9 pg) with previous work on the genus: 2C-value estimates reported for Adiantum pedantum L. are 10.16 pg (Bainard et al. 2011) and for Adiantum aleuticum (Rupr.) C. A. Paris are 11.42 pg (an approx. difference of 10.5 pg) (Clark et al. 2016). The 2C-value discrepancy between Adiantum species may be related, most probably, to differences in chromosome numbers between taxa, since both 2n=60 and 2n=90 have been reported for *A. philippense* in literature. Although 2n=60 is similar to chromosome number for A. pedantum and A. aleuticum (2n=58), a 2n=90 could be a reason to explain this variation.

The 2C-value discrepancy between *Adiantum* species may also be related, in part, to the different geographical origin of the material. Some evidence points towards the prevalence of smaller genomes in plant species that exist in harsher, drier, environments, with shorter growing seasons (Knight, Molinari and Petrov 2005). But checking this would require investigations out of the scope of this paper. What we could contribute was towards improving the representation of the most diverse phytogeographical kingdoms for this group (Table 3), following Moran's (2008) suggestion that this group of organisms shows a dominant pattern called "the latitudinal diversity gradient", which means that species diversity in ferns increases from the pole towards the equator (Moran 2008). Despite this pattern, almost half of the studied species found in the Plant DNA C-value database (Leitch et al. 2019) belong to the Holarctic kingdom. Therefore, an already understudied group of plants in terms of genome size lacks, to a great extent, estimates from species of the most representative phytogeographical kingdoms for this group, which we tried to counteract with the new data presented in this study (Table 3).

#### CONCLUSIONS

The present work includes novel data that contributes to the knowledge regarding genome size of 15 species of ferns and 1 species of lycophytes. Our data increases the taxonomic representation of DNA content in pteridophytes databases by two families- Blechnaceae and Oleandraceae-, as well as seven genera (*Blechnopsis*, *Goniophlebium, Microsorum, Palhinhaea, Pityrogramma, Pyrrosia* and *Selliguea*). Furthermore, the representation of Paleotropical fern species has increased by 2%. However, with almost 12.000 species of pteridophytes described to date, further work focused on the DNA content of more lycophyte and fern species, especially from tropical regions, is crucial to expand taxonomic representation and fill in the phylogenetic gaps within the group.

Although we could not perform chromosome counts alongside with the 2C value estimations, this should be a future target, allowing to draw more complete conclu-

Takhtajan's floristic kingdoms	No. (%) of species estimated*	No. (%) of species with known DNA C-values	No. of species added in this study**	Current No. (%) of species with known DNA C-values
Holartic	1470 (9.4)	190 (44)		188 (42)
Neotropical	6500 ((41.7)	76 (18)	4	80 (18)
Paleotropical + Cape	6980 (44.7)	94 (23)	16	110 (25)
Australian	456 (2.9)	37 (8)	5	42 (9)
Holantarctic	193 (1.2)	29 (7)		29 (6)
Total	15599 (100)	429 (100)	25	454 (100)

Table 3. Distribution of the number and percentage of species of Pteridophytes recognized by each of Takhtajan's floristic kingdoms comparing with the same distribution in terms of species with published DNA C-values including the contribution of this study.

\* Numbers of species estimated to occur taken from Moran (2008: 369); \*\* the numbers presented exceed the 16 species analyzed, because several of them are distributed among more than one floristic kingdom, as it was also adopted by Moran (2008).

sions about the genome of the studied species, namely, concerning ploidy levels.

Bearing this in mind, in spite of the relatively modest contribution in terms of species numbers (not so modest when we consider the number of new families and genera), this paper increases the representation of tropical Pteridophyte diversity whose nuclear 2C-values are known, and highlights that further studies on genome size in ferns are crucial, especially in species from areas that are considered hotspots of tropical fern biodiversity, such as Timor-Leste. The lack of studies on the country's biodiversity coupled with the human impact in the region, makes the execution of these studies even more important, since genome size data is basic information for an appropriate management and conservation of the plant genetic resources of the area.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to the Timor-Leste authorities, specially the Minister of Agriculture and Fisheries and the Quarentine, for allowing the collection and the export of samples by H.R.Costa, and to U.N.T.L. (Dili, East Timor) for a grant attributed to the same author. We further thank financial support to CESAM by FCT/MCTES (UIDP/50017/2020+UIDB/50017/2020+ LA/P/0094/2020), through national funds.

## REFERENCES

- Antonelli A, Fry C, Smith RJ, Simmonds MSJ, Kersey PJ, Pritchard HW, Abbo MS, Acedo C, Adams J, Ainsworth AM, Allkin B, et al. 2020. State of the world's plants and fungi 2020. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. DOI: https://doi.org/10.34885/172
- Bainard J, Henry, TA, Bainard LD, Newmaster SG. 2011. DNA content variation in monilophytes and lycophytes: large genomes that are not endopolyploid. Chromosome Res. 19(6): 763-775. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1007/s10577-011-9228-1
- Bennett M, Leitch IJ. 1995. Nuclear DNA Amounts in angiosperms. Ann Bot. 76(2), 113–176. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1006/anbo.1995.1085
- Bennett M, Leitch IJ. 2001. Nuclear DNA amounts in pteridophytes. Ann Bot. 87(3): 335-345. DOI : https://doi.org/10.1006/anbo.2000.1339
- Bouma GA, Kobryn HT. 2004. Change in vegetation cover in East Timor, 1989-1999. Nat Resour Forum. 28(1): 1-12. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0165-0203.2004.00067.x

- Clark J, Hidalgo O, Pellicer J, Liu H, Marquardt J, Robert Y, Christenhusz M, Zhang S, Gibby M, Leitch IJ, Schneider H. 2016. Genome evolution of ferns: evidence for relative stasis of genome size across the fern phylogeny. New Phytol. 210(3): 1072-1082. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13833Dagher-Kharrat MB, Abdel-Samad N, Douaihy B, Bourge M, Fridlender A, Siljak-Yakovlev S, Brown SC. 2013. Nuclear DNA C-values for biodiversity screening: Case of the Lebanese flora. Plant Biosyst. 147(4): 1228-1237. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2013.861530
- Dolezel J. 2005. Plant DNA flow cytometry and estimation of nuclear genome size. Ann Bot. 95(1): 99–110. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mci005
- Dolezel J, Sgorbati S, Lucretii S. 1992. Comparison of three DNA fluorochromes for flow cytometric estimation of nuclear DNA content in plants. Physiol Plant. 85(4): 625-631. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.1992.tb04764.x
- Ebihara A, Kuo LY. 2012. East and Southeast Asian pteridophyte flora and DNA barcoding. In: Nakano S., Yahara T., Nakashizuka T. (eds) The Biodiversity Observation Network in the Asia-Pacific Region. Ecological Research Monographs. Tokyo (TK): Springer. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-4-431-54032-8\_2
- Galbraith DW. 2009. Simultaneous flow cytometry quantification of plant nuclear DNA contents over the full range of described angiosperm 2C values. Cytometry A. 75A(8): 692-698. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ cyto.a.20760
- Galbraith DW, Harkins KR, Maddox JM, Ayres NM, Sharma DP, Firoozabady E. 1983. Rapid flow cytometric analysis of the cell cycle in intact plant tissues. Science. 220(4601): 1049–1051. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1126/science.220.4601.1049
- Hanusová K, Ekrt L, Vít P, Kolár F, Urfus T. 2014. Continuous morphological variation correlated with genome size indicates frequent introgressive hybridization among *Diphasiastrum* species (lycopodiaceae) in central Europe. Plos One. 9(6): e99552 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0099552
- Knight CA, Molinari NA, Petrov DA. 2005. The large genome constraint hypothesis: evolution, ecology and phenotype. Ann Bot. 95(1): 177-190. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1093/aob/mci011
- Kuo LY, Huang YJ, Chang JY, Chiou WL, Huang YM. 2017. Evaluating the spore genome sizes of ferns and lycophytes: a flow cytometry approach. New Phytologist. 213: 1974-1983. DOI: 10.1111/nph.14291
- Kumar PP, Turner IM, Nagaraja R, Arumuganathan K. 2011. Estimation of nuclear DNA content of various

bamboo and rattan species. Plant Biotechnol Rep. 5(3): 317–322. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11816-011-0185-0

- Leitch IJ. 2005. Evolution of DNA amounts across land plants (Embryophyta). Ann Bot. 95(1): 207–217. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mci014
- Leitch IJ, Chase MW, Bennet MD. 1998. Phylogenetic analysis of DNA C-values provides evidence for a small ancestral genome size in flowering plants. Ann Bot. 82(Supplement A): 85-94. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1006/anbo.1998.0783
- Leitch IJ, Johnston E, Pellicer J, Hidalgo O, Bennett MD. 2019. Pteridophyte DNA C-values database (release 7.1, Apr 2019) https://cvalues.science.kew.org/
- Liu H, Ekrt L, Koutecky P, Pellicer J, Hidalgo O, Marquardt J, Pustahija F, Ebihara A, Siljak-Yakovlev S, Gibby M, Leitch I, Schneider H. 2019. Polyploidy does not control all: Lineage-specific average chromosome length constraints genome size evolution in ferns. J Syst Evol. 57(4): 418-430. DOI: https://doi. org/doi.org/10.1111/jse.12525
- Loureiro J, Rodriguez E, Dolezel J, Santos C. 2007. Two new nuclear isolation buffers for plant DNA flow cytometry – a test with 37 species. Ann Bot. 100:875–888.
- Moran RC. 2008. Diversity, biogeography, and floristics. In T. A. Ranker & C. H. Haufler (Eds.) Biology and Evolution of Ferns and Lycophytes. New York (NY): Cambridge, 367-394.
- Myers N, Mittermeier R, Mittermeier C, da Fonseca GAB, Kent J. 2002. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature. 403(6772): 853–858. DOI: https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1038/35002501
- Obermayer R, Leitch IJ, Hanson L, Bennet MD. 2002. Nuclear DNA C-values in 30 species double the familial representation in pteridophytes. Ann Bot. 90(2): 209-217. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcf167
- Ohri D. 1998. Genome size variation and plant systematics. Ann Bot. 82(Supplement 1), 75–83. DOI:10.1006/ anbo.1998.0765
- Pellicer J, Leitch IJ. 2013. The application of flow cytometry for estimating genome size and ploidy level in plants. Molecular Plant Taxonomy. 1115: 279–307. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-62703-767-9\_14
- Plant DNA C-value database. 2001-. Release 7.1. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (UK): [updated 2019 April; accessed 2021 Oct ] https://cvalues.science.kew.org/)
- [PPG] Pteridophyte Phylogeny Group. 2016. A community-derived classification for extant lycophytes and ferns. J Syst Evol. 54(6): 563–603. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1111/jse.12229.
- Rice A, Glick L, Abad S, Einhorn M, Kopelman NM, Salman-Minkov A, Mayzel J, Chay O, Mayrose I. 2015.

The Chromosome Counts Database (CCDB) – a community resource of plant chromosome numbers. New Phytol. 206(1): 19-26.

- Takhtajan A. 1986. Floristic regions of the world. Berkley and Los Angeles: University of California Press (CA)
- Wagner FS. 1992. Cytological problems in *Lycopodium* Sens. Lat. Ann Mo Bot Gard. 79(3): 718-729. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2307/2399761

Genus	Species	Subspecies/Variety	Phytogeographical region(s)
Acrostichum	aureum		Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Australian
Adiantum	aleuticum		Holarctic
Adiantum	capillus-veneris		Holarctic,Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Australian, Holantarctic
Adiantum	pedatum		Holarctic
Adiantum	venustum		Holarctic
Alsophila	spinulosa		Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Amauropelta	bergiana	var. bergiana	Palaeotropical
Anemia	collina	0	Neotropical
Anemia	phyllitidis		Neotropical
Anemia	rotundifolia		Neotropical
Anemia	tomentosa		Neotropical
Angiopteris	latipinna		Holarctic
Angiopteris	lvgodiifolia		Holarctic
Angiopteris	pruinosa		Palaeotropical
Arthropteris	orientalis		Palaeotropical
Asplenium	achilleifolium		Neotropical
Asplenium	adiantum-niorum	var. adiantum-niorum	Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Astilenium	adulterinum		Holarctic
Astilenium	aethiopicum	subsp tripinnatum	Palaeotropical
Asplenium	Aethiopicum	subsp. dodecaploideum	Palaeotropical
Asplenium	hillotii	sabsp. noncenpromenm	Holarctic
Asplenium	horeale		Holarctic
Asplenium	caucasicum		Holarctic
Asplenium	ceterach		Holarctic
Asplenium	cristatum		Neotropical
Asplenium	cuneifolium		Holarctic
Asplenium	dalhousiae		Holarctic
Asplenium	daucifolium		Palaeotropical
Asplenium	flahellifolium		Australian Holantarctic
Asplenium	griffithianum		Holarctic Palaeotropical
Asplenium	hallhergij		Neotropical
Asplenium	hemionitis		Holarctic
Asplanium	iavorkaanum		Holarctic
Asplenium	lividum		Dalaeotropical
Asplenium	uviuum marinum		Holoratic
Asplenium	maritiansis		Delegatronical
Asplenium	mauriothallum		Neotropical
Asplenium	myriopnyium		Delecetropical
Asplenium	neolaserpiiijolium		Palaeotropical
Aspienium	niuus		Nostranial Delegatorical Australian Helenteratio
Asplenium	obiusaium		Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Australian, Holantarctic
Aspienium	onopteris		Holarctic
Aspienium	quaarivalens		Holarctic
Asplenium	rhizophyllum		Holarctic
Asplenium	richardii		Holantarctic
Asplenium	ruta-muraria		Holarctic
Asplenium	scolopendrium		Holarctic, Holantarctic
Asplenium	septentrionale		Holarctic
Asplenium	subglandulosum		Australian, Holantarctic
Asplenium	tenerum compl		Palaeotropical

**Supplementary Material 1.** Distribution of Pteridophyta taxa with studied DNA C-value (from https://cvalues.science.kew.org/) among Takhtajan's Floristic Kingdoms (1986).

Genus	Species	Subspecies/Variety	Phytogeographical region(s)
Asplenium	trichomanes		Holarctic, Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Australian, Holantarctic
Asplenium	trichomanes	subsp. quadrivalens	Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Asplenium	varians		Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Asplenium	Víride		Holarctic
Asplenium	viviparum		Palaeotropical
Asplenium	x- lolegnamense		Holarctic
Asplenium	x-lucrosum		Holantarctic
Asplenium	x-poscharskyanum		Holarctic
Athyrium	filix-femina	var. angustum	Holarctic
Azolla	microphylla		Holarctic, Neotropical
Blechnum	microphyllum		Neotropical
Blechnum	nudum		Australian, Holantarctic
Blechnum	spicant		Holarctic
Bolbitis	heudelotii		Palaeotropical
Bolbitis	singaporensis		Palaeotropical
Botrychium	neolunaria		Holarctic
Botrychium	alaskense		Holarctic
Botrychium	boreale		Holarctic
Botrychium	echo		Holarctic
Botrychium	hesperium		Holarctic
Botrychium	lanceolatum		Holarctic
Botrychium	lunaria		Holarctic, Australian
Botrychium	matricariifolium		Holarctic
Botrychium	michiganense		Holarctic
Botrychium	minganense		Holarctic
Botrychium	montanum		Holarctic
Botrychium	pallidum		Holarctic
Botrychium	pinnatum		Holarctic
Botrychium	simplex		Holarctic
Botrychium	spathulatum		Holarctic
Botrychium	virginianum		Holarctic
Botrypus	cf. virginianus		Holarctic, Neotropical
Brainea	insignis		Palaeotropical
Calochlaena	dubia		Australian
Ceratopteris	thalictroides		Holarctic, Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Australian
Ceterach	officinarum	subsp. officinarum	Holarctic
Cheilanthes	marantae	1 2	Holarctic
Cibotium	barometz		Palaeotropical
Cibotium	hawaiense		Palaeotropical
Cryptogramma	crispa		Holarctic
Ctenitis	sinii		Holarctic
Culcita	macrocarpa		Holarctic
Cvathea	crinita		Palaeotropical
Cyclosorus	arbusculus		Palaeotropical
Cyclosorus	asperum		Palaeotropical
Cyclosorus	dentatus		Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Cystopteris	bulbifera		Holarctic
Cystopteris	dickieana		Holarctic
Cystopteris	fragilis	agg.	Holarctic, Neotropical, Cape, Holantarctic
Cystopteris	tenuis	00	Holarctic
Danaea	antillensis		Neotropical

# 2C-values for pteridophytes from Timor

Genus	Species	Subspecies/Variety	Phytogeographical region(s)
Danaea	kalevala		Neotropical
Danaea	mazeana		Neotropical
Davallia	denticulata	var. denticulata	Palaeotropical, Australian
Davallia	tyermanii		Holarctic
Dendrolycopodium	dendroideum		Holarctic
Dendrolycopodium	obscurum		Holarctic
Dennstaedtia	globulifera		Neotropical
Dennstaedtia	wilfordii		Holarctic
Deparia	acrostichoides		Holarctic
Deparia	boryana		Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Deparia	japonica		Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Dicksonia	antarctica		Holarctic, Australian
Dicranopteris	linearis		Holarctic, Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Australian, Holantarctic
Diphasiastrum	alpinum		Holarctic
Diphasiastrum	digitatum		Holarctic
Diphasiastrum	complanatum		Holarctic, Neotropical, Palaeotropical
Diphasiastrum	tristachyum		Holarctic
Diplazium	arborescens		Palaeotropical
Diplazium	australe		Palaeotropical, Australian, Holantarctic
Diplazium	proliferum		Palaeotropical, Australian
Diplazium	pycnocarpon		Holarctic
Diplopterygium	bancroftii		Neotropical
Dipteris	chinensis		Holarctic
Dracoglossum	plantagineum		Neotropical
Drynaria	heraclea		Palaeotropical
Dryopteris	bernieri		Palaeotropical
Dryopteris	carthusiana		Holarctic
Dryopteris	clintoniana		Holarctic
Dryopteris	cristata		Holarctic
Dryopteris	cycadina		Holarctic, Holantarctic
Dryopteris	dilatata		Holarctic, Holantarctic
Dryopteris	filix-mas		Holarctic, Neotropical, Holantarctic
Dryopteris	goldiana		Holarctic
Dryopteris	intermedia		Holarctic
Dryopteris	marginalis		Holarctic
Elaphoglossum	aubertii		Palaeotropical
Elaphoglossum	crinitum		Neotropical
Elaphoglossum	hybridum		Neotropical, Palaeotropical
Elaphoglossum	lepervanchii		Palaeotropical
Equisetum	arvense		Holarctic, Holantarctic
Equisetum	bogotense		Neotropical
Equisetum	moorei		Holarctic
Equisetum	fluviatile		Holarctic
Equisetum	giganteum		Neotropical
Equisetum	hyemale		Holarctic, Neotropical, Australian, Holantarctic
Equisetum	laevigatum		Holarctic, Neotropical
Equisetum	myriochaetum		Neotropical
Equisetum	palustre		Holarctic
Equisetum	pratense		Holarctic
Equisetum	ramosissimum	subsp. ramosissimum	Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Equisetum	scirpoides		Holarctic

Genus	Species	Subspecies/Variety	Phytogeographical region(s)
Equisetum	sylvaticum		Holarctic
Equisetum	variegatum		Holarctic
Gymnocarpium	dryopteris		Holarctic
Gymnocarpium	fedtschenkoanum		Holarctic
Gymnocarpium	robertianum		Holarctic
Gymnosphaera	podophylla		Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Huperzia	lucidula		holarctic
Hymenophyllum	badium cf		Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Hymenophyllum	sibthorpioides		Palaeotropical
Isoetes	engelmannii		Holarctic
Isoetes	lacustris		Holarctic
Lepisorus	excavatus		Palaeotropical
Lindsaea	ensifolia		Palaeotropical, Australian
Llavea	cordifolia		Neotropical
Lonchitis	occidentalis		Palaeotropical
Loxsoma	cunninghami		Holantarctic
Lycopodium	annotinum		Holarctic
Lycopodium	clavatum		Holarctic, Neotropical, Palaeotropical
Lycopodium	dendroideum		Holarctic
Lycopodium	obscurum		Holarctic
Lygodium	japonicum		Holarctic, Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Australian
Lvgodium	microphyllum		Holarctic, Palaeotropical, Australian
Lvgodium	volubile		Neotropical
Marattia	purpurascens		Holarctic
Marsilea	quadrifolia		Holarctic, Neotropical, Palaeotropical
Matteuccia	struthiopteris	var. <i>pensylvanica</i>	Holarctic
Megalastrum	macrotheca	1 7	Neotropical
Mickelia	nicotianifolia		Neotropical, Palaeotropical
Microgramma	percussa		Neotropical, Palaeotropical
Microlepia	speluncae		Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Australian
Microlepia	strigosa		Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Nephrolepis	biserrata		Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Australian
Nephrolepis	cordifolia	'Duffi'	Holarctic, Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Australian, Holantarctic
Nephrolepis	exaltata		Holarctic, Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Australian
Oleandra	neriiformis		Palaeotropical, Australian
Onoclea	orientalis		Holarctic
Onoclea	sensibilis		Holarctic
Onychium	lucidum		Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Ophioglossum	gramineum		Palaetropical, Australian
Ophioglossum	pendulum		Palaeotropical, Australian
Ophioglossum	petiolatum		Holarctic, Palaetropical, Holantarctic
Osmunda	cinnamomea		Holarctic, Neotropical
Osmunda	clavtoniana		Holarctic
Osmunda	regalis	var. spectabilis	Holarctic, Neotropical
Paragymnopteris	marantae		Holarctic
Paragymnopteris	vestita		Holarctic
Pellaea	atropurburea		Holarctic, Neotropical
Pellaea	glabella	subsp. <i>glabella</i>	Holarctic
Phegopteris	connectilis	1 0	Holarctic
Phyllitis	scolopendrium	subsp. scolopendrium	Holarctic
Plagiogyria	matsumureana	. 1	Holarctic

Genus	Species	Subspecies/Variety	Phytogeographical region(s)
Platycerium	coronarium		Palaeotropical
Pleopeltis	macrocarpa		Neotropical, Palaeotropical
Polyphlebium	capillaceum		Neotropical
Polypodium	australe		Holarctic
Polypodium	cambricum		Holarctic
Polypodium	glycyrrhiza		Holarctic
Polypodium	interjectum		Holarctic
Polypodium	scouleri		Holarctic
Polypodium	virginianum		Holarctic
Polypodium	vulgare		Holarctic, Neotropical, Cape, Holantarctic
Polypodium	Vulgare x interjectum		Not defined
Polypodium	x-font-queri		Holarctic
Polypodium	x-mantoniae		Holarctic
Polypodium	x-shivasiae		Holarctic
Polystichum	acrostichoides		Holarctic
Psilotum	nudum		Holarctic, Palaeotropical, Neotropical, Australian, Holantarctic
Pteridium	aquilinum		Holarctic, Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Australian
Pteridium	revolutum		Palaeotropical
Pteridium	subsp. caudatum	var. arachnoideum	Neotropical
Pteridrys	cnemidaria		Palaeotropical
Pteris	croesus		Palaeotropical
Pteris	linearis		Palaeotropical, Neotropical
Pteris	pseudolonchitis		Palaeotropical
Pteris	vittata		Holarctic, Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Holantarctic, Australian
Ptisana	salicina		Holantarctic, Palaeotropical
Pyrrosia	lingua		Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Saccoloma	domingense		Neotropical
Sadleria	cyatheoides		Palaeotropical
Salvinia	molesta		Holarctic, Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Holantarctic, Australian
Selaginella	apoda		Holarctic, Neotropical
Selaginella	arenicola		Holarctic
Selaginella	arizonica		Holarctic
Selaginella	asprella		Holarctic
Selaginella	bigelovii		Holarctic
Selaginella	braunii		Holarctic
Selaginella	cinerascens		Holarctic
Selaginella	densa		Holarctic
Selaginella	eremophila		Holarctic
Selaginella	exaltata		Neotropical
Selaginella	extensa		Neotropical
Selaginella	flabellata		Neotropical
Selaginella	hansenii		Holarctic
Selaginella	helvetica		Holarctic
Selaginella	involvens		Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Selaginella	kraussiana	var. poulteri	Holarctic
Selaginella	kraussiana		Holarctic, Neotropical, Holantarctic, Palaeotropical, Australian
Selaginella	landii		Neotropical
Selaginella	lepidophylla		Holarctic, Neotropical
Selaginella	leucobryoides		Holarctic
Selaginella	martensii		Neotropical
Selaginella	moellendorffii		Holarctic, Holantarctic, Palaeotropical

Genus	Species	Subspecies/Variety	Phytogeographical region(s)
Selaginella	mutica		Holarctic
Selaginella	oregana		Holarctic
Selaginella	pallescens		Holarctic, Neotropical
Selaginella	peruviana		Neotropical
Selaginella	pilifera		Neotropical
Selaginella	pulcherrima		Neotropical
Selaginella	rupestris		Holarctic
Selaginella	rupincola		Holarctic
Selaginella	selaginoides		Holarctic
Selaginella	sellowii		Neotropical, Holarctic
Selaginella	tortipila		Holarctic
Selaginella	uncinata		Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Selaginella	underwoodii		Holarctic
Selaginella	vogelii		Palaeotropical
Selaginella	wallacei		Holarctic
Selaginella	watsonii		Holarctic
Selaginella	weatherbiana		Holarctic
Selaginella	willdenowii		Holarctic, Neotropical, Palaeotropical, Australian
Selaginella	wrightii		Holarctic, Neotropical
Serpocaulon	triseriale		Neotropical
Sphaeropteris	lepifera		Neotropical, Palaeotropical
Spinulum	annotinum		Holarctic
Stenochlaena	tenuifolia		Palaeotropical
Tectaria	zeilanica		Palaeotropical
Thelypteris	noveboracensis		Holarctic
Thelypteris	palustris	var. pubescens	Holarctic
Thyrsopteris	elegans	*	Neotropical
Tmesipteris	obliqua		Australian
Tmesipteris	tannensis		Holantarctic
Todea	barbara		Palaeotropical, Australian, Holantarctic
Trichomanes	speciosum		Holarctic
Vandenboschia	auriculata		Holarctic, Palaeotropical
Vandenboschia	davallioides		Palaeotropical
Vittaria	lineata		Neotropical, Palaeotropical
Woodsia	alpina		Holartic
Woodsia	ilvensis		Holartic
Woodsia	pulchella		Holartic
Woodwardia	fimbriata		Holartic
Woodwardia	unigemata		Holarctic, Palaeotropical