



Citation: Neda Atazadeh, Masoud Sheidai, Farideh Attar, Fahimeh Koohdar (2021) Molecular phylogeny and morphometric analyses in the genus *Cousinia* Cass. (Family Asteraceae), sections *Cynaroideae* Bunge and *Platyacanthae* Rech. f. *Caryologia*74(4):39-50. doi: 10.36253/caryologia-1114

Received: October 10, 2020

Accepted: November 27, 2021

Published: March 08, 2022

Copyright: © 2021 Neda Atazadeh, Masoud Sheidai, Farideh Attar, Fahimeh Koohdar. This is an open access, peer-reviewed article published by Firenze University Press (http://www.fupress.com/caryologia) and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Competing Interests: The Author(s) declare(s) no conflict of interest.

Molecular phylogeny and morphometric analyses in the genus *Cousinia* Cass. (Family Asteraceae), sections *Cynaroideae* Bunge and *Platyacanthae* Rech. f.

Neda Atazadeh^{1,*}, Masoud Sheidai¹, Farideh Attar², Fahimeh Koohdar¹

¹ Department of Plant Sciences and Biotechnology, Faculty of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran

² Central Herbarium of Tehran University, School of Biology, College of Science, University of Tehran, P. O. Box: 14155, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding author. E-mail: Atazadeh_neda@yahoo.com

Abstract. Taxonomy and molecular phylogeny of the genus Cousinia are complicated and unresolved mainly because of disagreement between morphological and molecular phylogenetic studies. The genus Cousinia has approximately 700 species, which makes it one of the most varied genera found in central and southwest Asia. Section Cynaroideae, containing 89 species, is considered the largest section of the genus. Identification and delineation as well as classifying the section and the species' relationships within the genus Cousinia generally remain debatable. Therefore, the present study aims to: 1) identify and delineate the species within the two sections Cynaroideae and Platyacanthae; 2) study the species relationships based on both morphological and molecular features (Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) marker); 3) study the sectional delimitation and its monophyly; and 4) estimate the divergence time of the studied sections. To this end, 50 Cousinia species occurring in Iran were investigated for the first time. A maximum parsimony tree of the morphological features separated the species of the two sections from each other. However, the ITS-based phylogenetic tree did not delimit the two studied sections. The relationships among the studied Cousinia species in the genetic trees were generally not congruent with the obtained morphological tree. The divergence time of the studied species within the Cynaroideae and Platyacanthae sections determined using Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis Sampling Trees (BEAST) was estimated to be around 3.5 million years ago (Mya).

Keywords: Cousinia, Cynaroideae, ITS, Phylogeny, Platyacanthae.

INTRODUCTION

The genus *Cousinia* Cass. of the tribe Cardueae (family Asteraceae) has approximately 700 species, which makes it one of the most diverse genera following *Senecio* L. (c. 1500 species) and *Vernonia* Schreb. (c. 1000 species) in central and southwest (SW) Asia (Tscherneva 1962; Rechinger 1972, 1979; Frodin 2004; Attar and Ghahreman 2006; Susanna and Garcia-Jacas 2007; Attar

and Djavadi 2010; Mehregan and Assadi 2016; Minaeifar *et al.* 2016; Rastegar *et al.* 2017, 2018). This genus has the greatest prevalence in the Flora Iranica area, with more than 400 different species distributed in SW Asia, of which, 379 are considered endemic. These species are distributed in mountainous areas of Iran, Afghanistan, and Turkmenistan (Rechinger 1986; Knapp 1987).

The genus *Cousinia* is not considered to be monophyletic, and comprises the *Arctium-Cousinia* complex as well as the genus *Arctium* L. (Susanna *et al.* 2003; Lopez-Vinyallonga *et al.* 2009).

Although the exact number of species in this genus in Iran is in dispute (Attar 2000; Mehregan 2008; Mehregan and Kadereit 2008; Assadi 2009; Attar and Mirtadzadini 2009; Mehregan and Assadi 2009; Attar and Djavadi 2010), approximately 270 *Cousinia* species have been reported of which, almost 200 species are considered endemic (Djavadi *et al.* 2007; Zare *et al.* 2013).

Cousinia species could be taxonomically categorized into 70 sections (Rechinger 1986), with section *Cynaroideae* being the largest section of the genus containing 89 species (Tscherneva 1962; Rechinger 1972, 1979; Huber- Morath 1975; Attar and Djavadi 2010; Rastegar *et al.* 2017, 2018). This section includes species consisting of decurrent leaves and appendiculate bracts (Tscherneva 1962; Rechinger 1972, 1979; Huber- Morath 1975), which are recognized as the Irano-Turkestanian elements (Djamali *et al.* 2012; Dehghani *et al.* 2017). Iran has 77 taxa, 66 of which are endemic, thus appearing to be the variety center of the section (Attar and Ghahreman 2006; Attar and Djavadi 2010).

The extensive morphological variability in the genus renders the taxonomy of *Cousinia* complicated and controversial (De Candolle 1837; Boissier 1875; Winkler 1892, 1897; Tscherneva 1962; Rechinger 1972, 1979; Huber-Morath 1975; Haffner 2000; Susanna *et al.* 2003; Mehregan 2011; Mehregan and Assadi 2016; Mabberley 2018; Atazadeh *et al.* 2020).

There is a controversy over the number of species within a single section, too; for instance, Mehregan and Kadereit (2008), in a taxonomic revision of the section *Cynaroideae*, reduced the number of species occurring in Iran to 31, while Attar and Djavadi (2010) reported 77 *Cousinia* species in this section as present within the country.

The sect. *Platyacanthae* Rech. f. has six species in Flora Iranica and is considered the sister group of the sect. *Cynaroideae* (Lopez-Vinyallonga *et al.* 2009), five of the six species of which are endemic in Iran (Rechinger 1972).

Species identification and delineation as well as classification of the sections and the species relationships within the genus *Cousinia* generally remain debatable, even after molecular investigations (Susanna *et al.* 2003; Ghaffari *et al.* 2006; Lopez-Vinyallonga *et al.* 2009; Mehregan and Assadi 2016; Galtier 2019). Therefore, the present study aims to: 1) identify and delineate the species based on differentiating taxonomical features within the two sections *Cynaroideae* and *Platyacanthae*; 2) study the species relationships based on morphological and molecular features (Internal Transcribed Spacer (ITS) marker); 3) study sectional delimitation and its monophyly, and 4) estimate the divergence time of the studied species within the *Cynaroideae* and *Platyacanthae*;

Molecular information has been commonly utilized to create a system for phylogenetic classification. Specifically, the ITS regions are considered as nuclear DNA regions described by parental inheritance patterns and can be changed faster compared with the coding regions, which results in higher levels of disparity among those narrowly-related individuals. Therefore, the ITS regions were used herein to study the interspecific and intergeneric relationships along with developmental styles and patterns in genetic variation (Baldwin 1992; Alvarez and Wandel 2003; Felniner and Rossello 2007).

Molecular studies in the two sections *Cynaroideae* and *Platyacanthae* have not been fully performed until now. Therefore, present study has attempted to investigate 50 species of both studied sections based on molecular features (ITS) for the first time and specifically collect new information on molecular phylogeny, evolution, divergence time, and species relationships. These findings can enhance our knowledge of the true evolutionary pathway of the genus *Cousinia*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

Morphological studies were conducted on 150 plant specimens, of which 138 belonged to 46 species of *Cynaroideae* and 12 belonged to 4 species of *Platyacanthae* sections (Table 1). One specimen of each species was used for the ITS marker. The voucher specimens were deposited in the Herbarium of Tehran University (TUH). *Arctium umbrosum* Bung (accession number: AY373745; AY373712) and *Arctium lappa* L. (accession number: EU923773; EU923887) were obtained as out groups based on studies by Susanna and Garcia-Jacas (2007) and Lopez-Vinyallonga et al. (2009). ITS sequences for all of the species except for the out groups were newly generated.

Я.	Taxa	Section	Locality	Voucher number	Accession number	Abbreviation
-	Cousinia carolihenrici Attar & Ghahreman	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Kurdestan	22455 (TUH)	MH992748	carolihenrici
7	Cousinia fursei Rech. f.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Kurdestan-Marivan	18314(TUH)	MH992734	fursei
3	Cousinia millefontana Rech. f.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Kurdestan-Marivan	20227(TUH)	MH971223	millefontana
4	Cousinia concinna Boiss. & Hausskn.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Kurdestan	20562(TUH)	MH992735	concinna
S	Cousinia subinflata Bornm.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Kermanshah	(TUH)	MK005181	subinflata
9	Cousinia hamadanensis Rech. f.	Cynaroideae Bunge	Hamadan- Malayer	46290(TUH)	MK005182	hamadanensis
\sim	<i>Cousinia barbeyi</i> C. Winkl.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Boyer-Ahmad	22494(TUH)	MK005164	barbeyi
×	Cousinia denaensis Attar & Diavadi	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Bover-Ahmad	2,2,495(TUJH)	MH992739	denaensis
6	Cousinia sardashtensis Rech. f.	Cynaroideae Bunge	Chahar Mahal& Bakhtiari	20073(TUH)	MK005184	sardashtensis
10	Cousinia dalahuensis Attar & Ghahreman	Cynaroideae Bunge	Kermanshah- Mahidasht	19929(TUH)	MH992747	dalahuensis
11	Cousinia grandis C. A. Mey.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Azarbaijan	21343(TUH)	MH992738	grandis
12	<i>Cousinia grantii</i> Rech. f.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Azarbaijan	22490(TUH)	MK005183	grantii
13	Cousinia gaharensis Attar & Djavadi	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Lorestan- Shulabad	38259(TUH)	MK005166	gaharensis
14	<i>Cousinia keredjensis</i> Bornm. & Gauba	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Tehran	21807(TUH)	MH992732	keredjensis
15	Cousinia zardkuhensis Attar & Ghahreman	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Chahar Mahal& Bakhtiari	21887(TUH)	MH990788	zardkuhensis
16	Cousinia lordeganensis Mehregan	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Chahar Mahal& Bakhtiari	46301(TUH)	MK005173	lordeganensis
17	Cousinia elwendensis Bornm.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Hamadan-Alvand Mountains	20566(TUH)	MH992741	elwendensis
18	Cousinia khorramabadensis Bornm.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Lorestan	21851(TUH)	MH992737	khorramabadensis
19	Cousinia phyllocephala Bornm. & Gauba	Cynaroideae Bunge	Lorestan- Khorram Abad	46292(TUH)	MK005168	phyllocephala
20	Cousinia macrocephala C. A. Mey.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Ardebil- Meshkin shahr	42925(TUH)	MH990319	macrocephala
21	Cousinia lurestanica Attar & Djavadi	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Lorestan	21824(TUH)	MH992746	lurestanica
22	Cousinia iranica C. Winkl. & Strauss.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Arak	21881(TUH)	MK005174	iranica
23	<i>Cousinia parsana</i> Ghahreman	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Hamadan	20553(TUH)	MK005169	parsana
24	Cousinia kornhuberi Heimerl	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Hamadan	22372(TUH)	MK005185	kornhuberi
25	Cousinia ecbatanensis Bornm.	Cynaroideae Bunge	Hamadan	22371(TUH)	MH988770	ecbatanensis
26	Cousinia verbascifolia Bunge	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Khorasan-Mashhad	43013(TUH)	MK005179	verbascifolia
27	Cousinia disfulensis Bornm.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Lorestan- Khorram Abad	27589(TUH)	MH992742	disfulensis
28	<i>Cousinia shulabadensis</i> Attar & Ghahreman	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Lorestan- Shul Abad	21874(TUH)	MH992744	shulabadensis
29	<i>Cousinia sahandica</i> Attar & Djavadi	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Azarbaijan	46272(TUH)	MK005175	sahandica
30	Cousinia gilliatii Rech. f.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Azarbaijan	21967(TUH)	MK005170	gilliatii
31	Cousinia algurdina Rech. f.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Azarbaijan- Tabriz	30533(TUH)	MK005165	algurdina
32	Cousinia cynaroides C. A. Mey	Cynaroideae Bunge	Ardebil	22581(TUH)	MK005167	cynaroides
33	Cousinia kotschyi Boiss.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Azarbaijan	46244(TUH)	MK005171	kotschyi
34	Cousinia nana Attar	Cynaroideae Bunge	Arak	14347(TUH)	MK005172	nana
35	Cousinia shebliensis Ghahreman	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Azarbaijan- Tabriz	20580(TUH)	MK005177	shebliensis
36	Cousinia calocephala Jaub. & Spach	Cynaroideae Bunge	Azarbaijan-Mianeh	46276(TUH)	MH992749	calocephala

Table 1. Investigated Cousinia species and their voucher information as well as the accession numbers of taxa in phylogeny studies.

Molecular phylogeny and morphometric analyses in the genus Cousinia

~	Taxa	Section	Locality	Voucher number	Accession number	Abbreviation
2	Cousinia behboudiana Rech. f. & Esfand.	Cynaroideae Bunge	Ghazvin	27629(TUH)	MH992736	behboudiana
38	Cousinia kirrindica Bornm. & Rech. f.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Ilam	19711(TUH)	MK005163	kirrindica
66	Cousinia mobayenii Ghahreman & Attar	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Kermanshah- Eslamabad	20569(TUH)	MK005180	mobayenii
Ð	Cousinia sanandajensis Rech. f.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Hamadan	46287(TUH)	MH992743	sanandajensis
Ħ	Cousinia lurorum Bornm.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Kermanshah- Mahidasht	20568(TUH)	MK005176	lurorum
5	Cousinia kurdistanica Attar	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Kurdestan- Maryvan	3232(TUH)	MK005178	kurdistanica
1 3	Cousinia bornmulleri C. Winkl.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Esfahan	22532(TUH)	MH992750	bornmulleri
4	Cousinia farsistanica Bornm.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Kerman	28636(TUH)	MH992733	farsistanica
£5	Cousinia lactiflora Rech. f.	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Lorestan	46299(TUH)	MK005156	lactiflora
1 6	Cousinia aligudarzensis Attar & Ghahreman	<i>Cynaroideae</i> Bunge	Lorestan-Aligudarz	27613(TUH)	MH992745	aligudarzensis
5	Cousinia platyacantha Bunge	Platyacanthae Rech. f.	Khorasan	43212(TUH)	MK005187	platyacantha
1 8	<i>Cousinia freynii</i> Bornm.	Platyacanthae Rech. f.	Semnan- Shahrud	27675(TUH)	MK005186	freynii
£9	<i>Cousinia bienerti</i> Bunge	Platyacanthae Rech. f.	Khorasan-Neyshabur	28682(TUH)	MH992740	bienerti
00	Cousinia reshingerorum Bornm.	Platyacanthae Rech. f.	Khorasan-Torbate Jam	39729(TUH)	MH997000	reshingerorum

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Garden-fresh leaves were dried in powder of silica gel. Cetyltrimethyl-ammonium bromide (CTAB) with activated charcoal protocol was used to extract genomic DNA (Murray and Thompson 1980). The quality of the extracted DNA was examined by running on 0.8% agarose (Sheidai *et al.* 2013).

ITS region (ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2) was amplified using 0.2 µM primer ITS1 (5'- TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3'; Bioron, Germany), and primer ITS4 (5'- TCC GCT TATTGA TAT GC -3') (Chen et al. 2010). PCR reactions were accomplished in a 25 µLvolume containing 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer at pH 8; 50 mM KCl; 1.5 mM MgCl2; 0.2 mM of each dNTP (Bioron, Germany); 20 ng genomic DNA; and 3 U of Tag DNA polymerase (Bioron, Germany). The mentioned reactions were amplified in a Techne thermocycler (Germany) by applying the process as follows: 5 min at 94 °C, followed by 35 cycles for 30 s at 94 °C, 1 min at 52 °C, and 1 min at 72 °C, followed by one ultimate extension for 10 min at 72 °C. The process was run on 2% agarose gel to picture the amplification result, followed by the staining with ethidium bromide. A 100-base pair (bp) molecular-sized ladder (Fermentas, Germany) was used to determine fragment size.

Data analysis

Morphological analysis

In all, 19 morphological characteristics were investigated (quantitative and qualitative) and coded (Table 2). After, the obtained data was standardized (mean = 0, variance = 1) and applied to perform multivariate analyses. The species categorization was performed using the WARD (minimum spherical cluster method), UPGMA (unweighted paired group using average), PCoA (principal coordinate analysis), and MDS (multidimensional scaling) methods (Podani 2000). Paleontological Statistics software (PAST), ver. 2.17 (Hammer et al. 2012) was used for analysis. Moreover, morphological characteristics were coded for the maximum parsimony (MP) tree, and then created after tree bisection reconnection (TBR) branch swapping by applying them along with bootstrapping 1000 times in PAUP (phylogenetic analysis using parsimony) software, ver. 4 (Swofford 2002).

Molecular analysis

To assess homology, ITS sequences were aligned with MUSCLE implemented in MEGA 7 (Tamura *et al.*

Characters	Codes				
	1	2	3	4	5
Head diameter	x<3	3≤x≤6	x>6	-	-
Flowers number	x<80	80≤x≤150	x>150		
Bracts number	x<80	80≤x≤120	x>120	-	-
Appendages length of median bracts	x<9	9≤x≤15	x>15	-	-
Appendages width of median bracts	x< 5	5≤x≤15	x>15	-	-
Corolla length	x< 20	20≤x≤25	x>25	-	-
Habitat	Woodland	Alpine	Steppe	-	-
Leaves indumentum	Present	Absent	-	-	-
Stem leaves	Interruptedly decurrent	Continuously decurrent	Nondecurrent	-	-
Uppermost leaves	Distant from the head	Close to the head	Surrounding the head	-	-
Appendages	Present	Absent	-	-	-
Inner bracts indumentum	Smooth	Scabrous	-	-	-
Position of median bracts	Imbricated	Spreading	Recurved	Spreading-recurved	Imbricated- spreading
Shape of appendages of median bracts	Sagitate	Triangular	Rhombic	Ovate	Lanceolate
Margin of appendages of median bracts	Smooth	1-2 spins	Spinose	-	-
Receptacle bristles	Smooth	Scabrous	-	-	-
Corolla color	Yellow	Pink	Purple	White	-
Ratio limb to Anther tube	Longer	Shorter	As long as	-	-
Anther tube color	Yellow	Pink	Purple	White	-

Table 2. Morphological characters and their codes.

2012). The test was conducted by comparing the maximum likelihood (ML) values for the known topology in the presence and absence of the molecular clock constraints under Tamura and Nei (1993). The similar rate of evolution of the investigated sequences was rejected by setting the significance level at 5%, and consequently, the relaxed molecular clock model was utilized in further analyses (Minaeifar *et al.* 2016). The HKY model was identified as the best substitution model as implemented in MEGA 7 (Tamura *et al.* 2011). Bootstrap analysis (BS) (Felsenstein 1985) was completed to attain support estimates for the nodes in the ML tree.

ITS sequences were also analyzed by TCS Networking as implemented in the PopART (Population Analysis with Reticulate Trees) program (http://popart.otago. ac.nz).

Estimation of species time of divergence

BEAST v1.6.1 (Drummond *et al.* 2012a, b) was applied for the Bayesian MCMC inferred analyses of the nucleotide sequence data. BEAUti (Bayesian Evolutionary Analysis Utility version) v1.6.1 (Drummond *et al.* 2012a, b) was used to generate initial xml files for BEAST. A Yule speciation process ('a pure birth' process) was utilized as a tree prior for all tree model analyses. For the MCMC posterior analyses, the length of chain was 10,000,000. After 100 trees burn-in processing, 10,000 trees were utilized for the analyses. The BEAUti xml file was run in the BEAST v1.6.1 program, and the maximum clade credibility (MCC) chain generations were repeated five times for each molecular clock model with separate runs to confirm suitable convergence and sufficient mixing. The MCC tree was generated under the relaxed clock model (HKY substitution). ITS substitution rates were applied between $1.72 \times 10-9$ to $8.34 \times 10-9$ (mean = $4.13 \times 10-9$), according to Lopez-Vinyallonga *et al.* (2009).

Tracer v1.5 software (Rambaut and Drummond 2007) was utilized for the production of the model parameters to assay the sampling and convergence results obtained from BEAST. TreeAnnotator v1.6.1 software (Drummond *et al.* 2012a, b) was utilized to annotate the phylogenetic results generated by BEAST as a form of single 'target' tree. On the target trees are shown summary statistics of posterior probabilities of the nodes: the 95% highest posterior density (HPD) limits of the node heights, rates, and the posterior estimates.

FigTree v1.3.1 (Rambaut 2009) program was also applied for the annotated BEAST MCC tree production analyses. The posterior probability was fix to 0.5, which is equal to the bootstrapping value in PAUP (Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony analysis) analyses (Hong and Jury 2011).

RESULTS

Morphometry

PCA analysis of morphological features showed that the first two PCA components included about 79% general alteration. Morphological features like the shape and length of the appendages of the median bracts, diameter of the heads, number of the flowers, and length of the corolla were the most variable morphological features among the investigated plants. In fact, these morphological features are of taxonomic value in the two sections *Cynaroideae* and *Platyacanthae*.

An MP tree (Figure 1) of morphological characteristics can delimit the two studied sections *Cynaroideae* and *Platyacanthae* because of difference in traits, like stem leaves (sect. *Cynaroideae*: decurrent; sect. *Platyacanthae*: nondecurrent) and the appendages of the median bracts (sect. *Cynaroideae*: present; sect. *Platyacanthae*: absent).

In the MP tree, within the sect. *Platyacanthae*, *C. Platyacantha* Bunge and *C. freynii* Bornm. were located close to each other due to similarity in all characters except for the color of the corolla (*C. Platyacantha*: white; *C. freynii*: purple). Likewise, *C. reshingerorum* Bornm. and *C. bienerti* Bunge exhibited morphological similarity in traits like number of flowers, length of the corolla, color of the anther tube, median and the inner bracts, receptacle bristles, and the diameter of the head and ratio of the limb/tube.

In the sect. Cynaroideae, C. grandis C. A. Mey. and C. grantii Rech. f. were located close to each other because of similar morphological traits such as the shape of the appendages of the median bracts (ovate) and leaves indumentum (glabrous). The same applies for C. ecbatanensis Bornm. C. kornhuberi Heimerl, C. elwendensis Bornm., C. parsana Ghahreman, C. denaensis Attar & Djavadi and C. khorramabadensis Bornm.; these species have similar morphological characters like the color of the corolla (white) and the position of the median bracts (spreading). C. millefontana Rech. f., C. fursei Rech. f., C. sardashtensis Rech., C. carolihenrici Attar & Ghahreman, C. dalahuensis Attar & Ghahreman and C. concinna Boiss. & Hausskn. were also close to each other because of the similarity in morphological features



Figure 1. Maximum Parsimony tree of the studied *Cousinia* species based on morphological data. (species are according to Table 1). Values above branches are bootstrap value.

such as the position of the median bracts (imbricated). The same applies for *C. calocephala* Jaub. & Spach and *C. behboudiana* Rech. f. & Esfand. because of their similar morphological traits, like the position of the median bracts (recurved) and the color of the corolla (yellow).

ITS sequence analysis

The pair-wise genetic distances determined for the studied *Cousinia* species arranged from 0.01 (the lowest value between *C. ecbatanensis* and *C. kotschyi* Boiss.) to 0.50 (the highest value between *C. shebliensis* Ghahreman and *C. behboudiana*). These values showed the degree of sequence variability within species. The ML tree (Figure 2) and TCS network (Figure 3) of the studied species based on ITS sequences produced similar results. In these trees, the outgroups (*A. umbrosum* and *A. lappa*) were basically separated from the other species.

Based on these results, the ITS marker did not delimit the two studied sections. In the obtained genetic trees, the species of the sect. *Platyacanthae*, such as *C. platyacantha*, *C. reshingerorum*, *C. bienerti* and *C. freynii*, were placed among the species of the sect. *Cynaroideae*. These trees exhibited a close genetic affinity between *C. platyacantha*, *C. reshingerorum* and *C. bienerti*, which is in agreement with their morphological similarities. However, *C. freynii* is located far from the others in the genetic tree but close to them in the morphological tree.



Figure 2. Maximum likelihood tree of the studied *Cousinia* species based on ITS data. (species are according to Table 1).

In the ML tree based on ITS sequences, *C. verbascifolia* was placed far from the other species. It differs morphologically from the other studied species in the color of corolla (light pink), bracts (numerous), the appendage of the median bracts (triangular), presence of long spine found at the apex, and the spinulose at the margin.

Both MP and ML trees exhibited an affinity between C. *kotschyi* and C. *ecbatanensis*. These species are simi-

lar in morphological traits such as shape of the appendages in the median bracts (Lanceolate) and position of the median bracts (Spreading). Similarly, *C. elwendensis* and *C. denaensis* are related to *C. ecbatanensis*. They have morphological similarities in the color of the corolla (white) and the position of the median bracts (spreading).

The ITS-based phylogenetic tree showed a close affinity between *C. millefontana* and *C. concinna*, which have similar morphological characters such as the position of the median bracts (Imbricated). *C. keredjensis* Bornm. & Gauba is well separated from the two species mentioned above (*C. millefontana* and *C. concinna*) and also differs in its morphological traits, like the color of the corolla (white), the number of flowers (ca. 125), and the number of bracts (ca. 130). The same applies between *C. sahandica* Attar & Djavadi and *C. sanandajensis* Rech. f., as well as for *C. nana* Attar and *C. cynaroides* C. A. Mey.

Disagreement was observed between the other studied species of *Cousinia* based on morphological and genetic characters.

The TCS network exhibited the process of speciation and the number of nucleotide substitution in ITS sequences among the studied species. The highest number of nucleotide substitutions in ITS occurred in *C. khorramabadensis* (9).

The Bayesian tree (Figure 4) obtained with BEAST based on ITS sequences estimated the divergence times of the studied species within the *Cynaroideae* and *Platy-acanthae* sections to be approximately 3.5 mya.

DISCUSSION

Taxonomy, molecular phylogeny, and the species relationships of the genus *Cousinia* are complicated and unresolved, mainly because of disagreement between morphological and molecular phylogenetic studies (Sausana *et al.* 2003; Lopez-Vinyallonga *et al.* 2009; Mehregan and Assadi 2016). Moreover, several overlapping morphological characteristics at the species level hinder species identification and delineation (Attar and Djavadi 2010; Minaeifar *et al.* 2016; Atazadeh *et al.* 2020).

Susanna *et al.* (2003), performed an extensive investigation on the evolution and generic delineation in the *Arctium-Cousinia* complex, based on two very important characters: pollen type and chromosome number. They divided all studied species into two major lineages: The Arctioid clade (including: *Arctium, Sehmalhallsenia*) with *Arctiastrum* pollen type and x= 18 and the Cousinioid clade (including: *Cousinia* subg. *Cousinia*) with *Cousinia* pollen type and x= 11, 12, 13. They also showed that the palynological and chromosome number results



Figure 3. TCS Network of studied Cousinia species based on ITS sequences (species are according to Table 1).

are incongruent with morphological and molecular data in the *Arctium-Cousinia* complex and considered to be homoplasious morphological characters. These results were later confirmed by Lopez-Vinyallonga *et al.* (2009).

In the present study, the studied *Cousinia* species were delimited and useful taxonomic features, including the shape and length of the appendages of the median bracts, position of the median bracts, diameter of the heads, number of flowers and bracts, and the color and length of the corolla were identified.

The species relationships obtained based on the morphological features within sect. *Platyacanthae* are in agreement with those reported in previous studies (Asaadi and Mehregan 2017). For instance, within sect. *Platyacanthae*, *C. Platyacantha* and *C. freynii* were placed close to each other because of the similarity found in all features except color of the corolla (*C. Platyacantha*: white; *C. freynii*: purple). Asaadi and Mehregan (2017) also noticed their close affinity to each other. Likewise, *C. reshingerorum* and *C. bienerti* exhibited morphological likenesses in traits such as number of flowers, length of the corolla, color of the anther tube, the median and inner bracts, receptacle bristles, diameter of the head, and the ratio of the limb/tube. Asaadi and Mehregan (2017) also showed the close morphological affinity among these species.

The morphological results in sect. *Cynaroideae*, were in complete agreement with the obtained results by Attar and Djavadi (2010).

The relationships among the studied *Cousinia* species in the genetic trees were generally incongruent with those in the obtained morphological tree.

The present study revealed that the studied sections within the genus *Cousinia*, including *Cynaroideae* and *Platyacanthae*, are not monophyletic. This is fully consistent with the results reported by Susanna *et al.* (2003) and Lopez-Vinyallonga *et al.* (2009), who also showed that morphological traits are highly incongruent with molecular data in *Arctium-Cousinia* complex and considered homoplasious morphological characters.

Various reasons are suggested for the disagreement between "gene tree" and "species trees", including the



Figure 4. BEAST chronogram of studied *Cousinia* species based on ITS sequences (species are according to Table 1, numbers at the base of tree represents Mya).

high number of taxa in *Cousinia* (Susanna *et al.* 2003; Lopez-Vinyallong *et al.* 2009; Mehregan and Assadi 2016), interspecific hybridization, the occurrence of the intermediate forms (Mehregan and Kadereit 2009) and homoploid hybrid speciation, of which there is little proof to prove them (Lopez-Vinyallong *et al.* 2009), and incomplete lineage sorting (Zhang *et al.* 2015).

The divergence time of the studied species within the *Cynaroideae* and *Platyacanthae* sections based on ITS sequences was estimated to be around 3.5 mya. This result is in agreement with Lopez-Vinyallonga *et al.* (2009), who also showed that the major radiation of the genus *Cousinia* has been estimated to have started ca. 8.7 mya.

According to our findings and previous authors (Susanna et al. 2003; Lopez-Vinyallong et al. 2009) phylogeny, evolutionary pathway and species relationships of the genus Cousinia are unclear and complicated. The genus Cousinia with its relatively young geological age (ca. 8.7 mya) and high number of taxa is unusual exposed to speciation. Djamali et al. (2012) showed that Cousinia consistently existed in the glacial age. They recorded an ~200,000-year pollen from Lake Urmia, northwest Iran. In contrast, the dispersal of its pollen grains was restricted. In the current results, all of the studied species except for C. calocephala had restricted geographical distributions and were isolated by geographical boundaries, which reduced the genflow. Therefore, geographical processes can be determining factors in the speciation of this genus. This result is entirely consistent with the results reported by Lopez-Vinyallonga et al. (2009), as they also revealed that the dominant factor in speciation of the genus Cousinia is allopatric geographic speciation. These may partly justify the complexity and incongruence of the relationships in the studied species of the genus Cousinia.

As a general conclusion, based on the molecular studies of the observed specimens, it is suggested that both *Cynaroideae* and *Platyacanthae* sections are synonymous. To make a definitive decision on this, further molecular studies are necessary.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Neda Atazadeh, Masoud Sheidai and Farideh Attar. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Neda Atazadeh and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Alvarez I, Wendel JF. (2003). Ribosomal ITS sequences and plant phylogenetic inference. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 29: 417-434.
- Assadi M. (2009). Four new species of the genus *Cousinia* Cass. (Asteraceae) from Iran. Iran J Bot. 15 (1): 36-44.
- Asaadi M, Mehregan I. (2017). Flora of Iran: (Asteraceae: *Cousinia*). Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands, Tehran, Iran.
- Atazadeh N, Sheidai M, Attar F, Koohdar F. (2020). Species delimitation in the genus *Cousinia* Cass. (Family Asteraceae), sections *Cynaroideae* Bunge and *Platyacanthae* Rech. f.: morphometry and molecular analysis. Caryologia 73(3): 3-12. Doi:10.13128/caryologia-275
- Atazadeh N, Sheidai M, Attar F, Ghahremaninejad F, Koohdar F. (2020). A palynological study of *Cousinia* Cass. (Family Asteraceae), sections *Cynaroideae* Bunge and *Platyacanthae* Rech. f. Granna 59(6): 428-443. DOI: 10.1080/00173134.2020.1781247
- Attar F. (2000). The systematic studies of *Cousinia* (Asteraceae) sect. *Cynaroideae* in Iran. Dissertation, Tehran University, Tehran, Iran.
- Attar F, Mirtadzadini M. (2009). Two new species of *Cousinia* Cass. sect. *Pugioniferae* Bunge (Asteraceae, Cardueae), from east and southeast of Iran. Iran J Bot. 15 (2): 146-152.
- Attar F, Ghahreman A. (2006). A synopsis of sect. *Cynaroides* (*Cousinia*, Compositae), distribution patterns and diversity centers. Rostaniha 7 (2): 315-342
- Attar F, Djavadi SB (2010) A taxonomic revision of *Cousinia*, sect. *Cynaroides* (Asteraceae, Cardueae) in the flora of Iran. Iran J Bot. 16 (1): 130-184.
- Baldwin BG. (1992). Phylogenetic utility of the internal transcribed spacers of nuclear ribosomal DNA in plants: an example from the Compositae. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 1: 3-16.
- Boisser E. (1875). *Cousinia* in Flora Orientalis. Vol. 3. H. Georg, Genevae, pp 458- 513.
- Chen X, Shen S, Guo L, Mao SS. (2010). Semiconductorbased photocatalytic hydrogen generation. Chem rev. 110: 6503-6570.
- De Candolle AP. (1837). *Cousinia* in Prodromus Systematicis Naturalis Regni Vegetabilis. Vol. 6. Parisiis, pp 552-557.
- Dehghani M, Djamali M, Gandouin E, Akhani H. (2017). A pollen rain-vegetation study along a 3600 m mountain-desert transect in the Irano-Turanian region; implications for the reliability of some pollen ratios as moisture indicators. Rev Palaeobot Palynol. 247: 133–148.

- Djamali M, Baumel A, Brewer S, Jackson ST, Kadereit JW, Lopez-Vinyallonga S, Mehregan I, Shabanian E, Simakova A. (2012). Ecological implications of *Cousinia* Cass. (Asteraceae) persistence through the last two glacial–interglacial cycles in the continental Middle East for the Irano-Turanian flora. Rev Palaeobot Palynol. 172: 10-20.
- Djavadi SB, Attar F, Eskandari M. (2007). *Cousinia papilosa*, a new species from eastern Iran, Including chromosome count and palynological studies. Rostaniha 8 (2).
- Drummond AJ, Rambaut A, Xie W. (2012a). BEAUti version 1.6.1 [computer program]. http://beast.bio. ed.ac.uk
- Drummond AJ, Rambaut A, Suchard MA. (2012b). BEAST version 1.6.1 [computer program]. http:// beast.bio.ed.ac.uk
- Feliner GN, Rosselo JA. (2007). Better the devil you know? Guidelines for insightful utilization of nrDNA ITS in species-level evolutionary studies in plants. Mol Phylogenet Evol. 44 (2): 911-919. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ympev.2007.01.013
- Felsenstein J. (1985). Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. Evolution 39: 783–791.
- Frodin DG. (2004). History and concepts of big plant genera. Taxon 53 (3): 753-776.
- Galtier N. (2019). Delineating species in the speciation continuum: A proposal. Evol Appl. 12: 657- 663.
- Ghaffari SM, Garcia-Jacas N, Susanna A. (2006). New chromosome counts in the genus *Cousinia* (Asteraceae) from Iran. Bot J Linn Soc. 151: 411-419.
- Haffner E. (2000). On the phylogeny of the subtribe Carduinae (tribe Cardueae, Compositae). Englera 21.
- Hammer Q, Harper DAT, Ryan PD. (2012). PAST: Paleontological Statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontol Electron. 4 (9).
- Hong SWP, Jury SL. (2011). Phylogeny and divergence times inferred from rps16 sequence data analyses for Tricyrtis (Liliaceae), an endemic genus of north-east Asia. AoB Plants. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aobpla/ plr025 plr025
- Huber-Morath A. (1975). *Cousinia* in: Davis P. H. (ed) Flora of Turkey and the East Aegean Islands, Vol. 5. Ediburgh University Press, pp 329-353.
- Knapp HD. (1987). On the distribution of the genus *Cousinia* (Compositae). Plant Syst Evol. 155: 15-25.
- Lopez-Vinyallonga S, Mehregan I, Garcia-Jacas N, Tscherneva O, Susanna A, Kadereit JW. (2009). Phylogeny and evolution of the *Arctium-cousinia* complex (compositae, cardueae-caruinae). Taxon 58: 153-171.
- Mabberley DJ. (2018). The plant book. Cambridge University, Cambridge, UK.

- Mehregan I. (2008). Systematics, phylogeny and biogeography of *Cousinia*-Asteraceae. Dissertation, Universitat Mainz, Fachbreich Biologie der Johannes Gutenberg.
- Mehregan I. (2011). Notes on the taxonomy of *Cousinia* sec. *Haussknechtianae* (Asteraceae; Cardueae). Iran J Bot. 17: 137–149.
- Mehregan I, Assadi M. (2009). *Cousinia* sect. *Argenteae* (Asteraceae, Cardueae), a new section including a new species from NE Iran. Willdenowia 39: 265-271.
- Mehregan I, Assadi M. (2016). A synopsis of *Cousinia* sect. *Pseudactinia* (Cardueae, Asteraceae) including a new species from NE Iran. Phytotaxa 257(3): 271. DOI: 10.11646/phytotaxa.257.3.5
- Mehregan I, Kadereit JW. (2008). Taxonomic revision of *Cousinia* sect. *Cynaroideae* (Asteraceae, Cardueae). Wildenowia 38: 293-362.
- Mehregan I, Kadereit JW. (2009). The role of hybridization in the evolution of *Cousinia* s.str. (Asteraceae, Cardueae). Willdenowia 39(1): 35-47.
- Minaeifar A, Sheidai M, Attar F, Noormohammadi Z, Ghasemzadeh-Baraki S. (2016). Biosystematic study in the genus *Cousinia* Cass. (Asteraceae), section *Cousinia*. Biochem Syst Ecol. 69: 252-260.
- Murray MG, Thompson WF. (1980). Rapid isolation of high molecular weight plant DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 8: 4321-4325.
- Podani J. (2000). Introduction to the Exploration of Multivariate Data. Backhuyes, Leiden, pp 407.
- Rambaut A, Drummond AJ. (2007). Tracer v1.5. http:// beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer
- Rambaut A. (2009). FigTree Version 1.3.1 [computer Program]. http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk
- Rastegar A, Ahmad SA, Attar F. (2017). Cousinia azmarensis (Asteraceae, Cardueae), a New Species from Kurdistan, Iraq. Harv Pap Bot. 22(1): 71-73.
- Rastegar A, Attar F, Mirtadzadini M. (2018). Novelties in Iranian *Cousinia* sect. *Cynaroideae* (Asteraceae, Cardueae): new taxa and taxonomic notes. Phytotaxa 343(3): 227-239. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.11646/phytotaxa.343.3.3
- Rechinger KH. (1972). Compositae-Cynareae I. *Cousinia*. in: Rechinger KH (ed) Flora Iranica, No. 90. Graz, Austria, pp 1-329.
- Rechinger KH. (1979). Compositae III-Cynareae. Cousinia. in: Rechinger KH (ed) Flora Iranica, No. 139. Graz, Austria, pp 108-153.
- Rechinger KH. (1986). *Cousinia*: morphology, taxonomy, distribution and phytogeographical implication. Proc R Soc Edinb. 89 B: 45-58.
- Sheidai M, Zanganeh S, Haji- Ramezanali R, Nouroozi M, Noormohammadi Z, Ghasemzadeh-Baraki S.

(2013). Genetic diversity and population structure of 38 populations in four *Cirsium* L. (Asteraceae) species. Biologia 68(3): 384-397.

- Susanna A, Garcia-Jacas N, Vilatersana R, Garnatje T. (2003). Generic boundaries and evolution of characters in the *Arctium* group: a nuclear and chloroplast DNA analysis. Collect Bot. (Barcelona) 26: 101-118.
- Susanna A, Garcia-Jacas N. (2007). Tribe Cardueae Cass. 1819. In: Kadereit JW, Jeffrey C (ed) The families and genera of vascular plants, Flowering plant, Eudicots, Asterales, Vol. 8. Springer Verlag, Berlin, pp 123-147.
- Swofford DL. (2002). PAUP*: Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony and other methods, Version 4. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA, USA.
- Tamura K, Nei M. (1993). Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Mol Biol Evol. 10(3): 512-526.
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S. (2011). MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol Biol Evol. 28: 2731-2739.
- Tamura K, Battistuzzi FU, Billing- Ross P, Murillo O, Filipski A, Kumar S. (2012). Estimating divergence times in large molecular phylogenies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 109(47): 19333-19338.
- Tscherneva OV. (1962). Compositae- *Cousinia* in: Shishkin BK, Bobrov EG (ed) Flora SSSR, 27. Moscow and Leningrad [English translation: Enfield. 1993], pp 108-357.
- Winkler C. (1892). Synopsis specierum generic Cousinia Cass. Trudy Imp S Peterburgsk Bot Sada. 12 (2): 181-286.
- Winkler C. (1897). Mantissa synopsis specierum generic Cousinia Cass. Trudy Imp S Peterburgsk Bot Sada. 14 (2): 187-243.
- Zare M, Khosravi AR, Joharchi MR. (2013). Distribution patterns of the genus *Cousinia* (Asteraceae) in Iran. Iran J Bot. 19 (1): 127-141.
- Zhang Q, Feild TS, Antonelli A. (2015). Assessing the impact of phylogenetic incongruence on taxonomy, floral evolution, biogeographical history, and phylogenetic diversity. Am J Bot. 102(4): 566-580.