Appraisal of oral lesions status of HIV/AIDS patients in South Western Uganda

Ezera Agwu¹; John Cletus Ihongbe²; Jackson Francis Tirwomwe³; Victoria Pazos⁴; Michael Tirwomwe⁵; Luis Casadesus⁶ ¹MSc, PhD, Student, Lecturer and Head, Department of Medical Microbiology ²BSc, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, College of Medicine, Ambrose Alli University, Nigeria ³BDS, DPD, Senior Lecturer and Head, Department of Dentistry ⁴BSc, PhD, Professor, Department of Medical Microbiology ⁵BSc, Teaching Assistant, Department of Biochemistry ⁶BSc, MSc, Professor, Department of Medical Microbiology School of Health Sciences, Kampala International University, Western Campus, Bushenyi, Uganda

Received for publication: May 12, 2008 Accepted: September 09, 2008

Abstract

Aim: To establish a regional surveillance data that may contribute to improvement of oral health prevention/control programs in South Western Uganda. Methods: Using WHO guidelines, HIV-associated oral lesions were identified among 605 HIV/AIDS patients (469 females and 136 males), selected at random from 4 districts of South Western Uganda. Pearson's Chi square test of independence ($\alpha = 0.01$), was used to compare results. Results: Prevalence of oral lesions was 73.2%. *Pseudomembranous Candidiasis* (PC) showed the highest overall prevalence of 71.1% (34.9% single and 36.2% mixed); followed by 39.9% prevalence of *Erythematous Candidiasis* (EC) (13.2%) single; 26.7% mixed) and 18.8% prevalence of Angular Chelitis (AC) (3.1% single and 15.7% mixed). There was: 23.6% co-infection of PC and EC; 12.6% PC and AC, 3.1% were EC and AC, and 17.0% intra-oral pigmentation. Prevalence of oral lesions was significantly (p<0.01) dependent on host pre-exposure to antimicrobials. Conclusions: Oral infections are still highly prevalent among the studied HIV/AIDS patients in South Western Uganda. This observation may be due to inadequate antimicrobial agents and oral/dental care. There's urgent need to train primary health care workers in management of oral lesions associated with HIV/AIDS. More studies are needed to evaluate HIV/AIDS oral lesions in the populace.

Key words:

Oral lesions, HIV/AIDS, Uganda

Introduction

Once infected with Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV), progression to Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome (AIDS) and death is the reality for most people in resource poor countries¹. The impact of HIV on individuals and families in sub-Saharan Africa is still very great² and therefore increasing research attention is being given to prevention, control and management HIV/AIDS victims³. In Uganda, and most lowincome settings, the relationships of these parameters are far less clear, partly because of the scarcity of cohorts with complete laboratory testing and diagnostic capabilities⁴.

Correspondence to: Agwu Ezera, Department of Medical Microbiology School of Health Sciences Kampala International University, Western Campus, Ishaka, Box 71, Bushenyi, Uganda. Phone: +256782101486 E-mail: agwuezera@yahoo.com The oral cavity is an essential part of the body and contributes to total health and well-being. It is evident that poor oral health affects general health and that some systemic diseases can affect oral health. Since HIV infection was first described in 1981, a variety of oral conditions associated with HIV disease have been documented. Studies have shown that 70 to 90 percent of people infected with HIV will develop at least one oral manifestation during the course of the disease⁵⁻⁶.

Oral lesions correspond to the decline in numbers of CD4⁺ cells and an increase in viral load and are also independent indicators of disease progression⁷⁻⁸. Bacteria are the predominant component of resident oral flora and its high species diversity reflects many endogenous derived nutrients and the varied types of habitat for colonization. The composition of the oral bacterial flora in disease and in health may not be different and any adverse changes resulting from immunosuppression predispose to infections⁹. Conditions at the sites and compositions of oral microorganisms vary with respect to oxygen level,

availability of nutrients and exposure to salivary secretions, immunosuppression, and oral hygiene¹⁰.

Despite many African reports on oral lesions associated with HIV/AIDS, similar reports from Uganda are highly limited¹⁰⁻¹¹. In this study, we appraised the current status of oral lesions among HIV patients presenting to "The AIDS Support Organization-(TASO)" centers in South-West Uganda. The ultimate goal of this study is to obtain a regional surveillance data on oral lesions among HIV patients so as to determine the role of oral lesion surveillance in HIV prevention programs. This is expected to improve our existing diagnostic and management protocols of oral lesions among people living with HIV in this region.

Materials and Methods

Sample area, size and inclusion criteria

Three main centers of The AIDS Support Organization "TASO", located in three districts (Masaka, Rukunguri and Mbarara), and five outreach TASO centers (Katungu, Motoma, Kigarama, Ibwanda and Nyihanga), located in two districts (Bushenyi and Mbarara) all in South Western Uganda were used for this investigation. The inclusion criterion for TASO centers was based on center participation in a previews study¹² in a similar cohort which revealed different kinds of oral manifestations of HIV/AIDS infection. The conditions for which patients were included in this study were that patients: must have tested positive to HIV using an Enzyme linked Immunosobent Assay (ELISA) and any other immuno-serological method; must have had his/her HIV/AIDS clinical staging done not earlier than one month prior to time of sample collection; must be a current TASO Client above 18 years of age

Although 826 patients have consented to participate in this study, 605 were qualified. This was guided by the upper limit required to give 95% level of confidence at an expected prevalence of about $55\%^{12}$ using the precise prevalence formula: Sample size (N) = Z^2P (100-P)/D² (Epi-info version 3.2 data-base; 1995), where Z is a constant given as (1.96), P is expected prevalence (55%), and D is acceptable error (5%).

Informed consent was sought and obtained from the following: Uganda National Council of Science and Technology, Kampala International University Research and Ethics Committee, The AIDS Support Organizations (TASO) at both local and National level and TASO clients (patients) through their informed consent.

Mouth examination and sample collection

The diagnostic criterion for the oral lesion was according to World Health Organization's established clinical criteria for HIV-associated oral lesions¹³. The mouth examination of the TASO clients was done by a previously trained and calibrated¹¹ oral clinicians. The three oral clinicians included a dental surgeon, a clinical microbiologist and a public dental health officer. Prior to field survey, the three oral clinicians were standardized for consistencies in examination of oral lesions to minimize inter examiners variability using guidelines reported by Muwazi et al¹¹. Briefly, a gold standard (benchmark) examiner showed the examiners some photographic slides with clinical examples of classical oral lesions expected to be seen during the survey. This was done to determine the examiners' ability to uniformly identify oral lesions with minimum inconsistency. The clients were examined in a room with a natural light while seated on an office chair and facing the window. The mouth was examined using natural light and a mouth mirror. A disposable wooden tongue depressor was used to retract the cheeks. Some clinical photographs of classical examples of the lesions were taken using digital camera.

Results

The overall prevalence of individuals with oral lesions was 73.2%, occurring both as single and double manifestations, as showed in Table 1. Pseudomembranous candidiasis (PC) showed the highest overall prevalence of 71.1% (34.9% single and 36.2% mixed oral manifestations), followed by 39.9% prevalence of Erythematous Candidiasis (EC), (13.2% single and 26.7% mixed oral manifestations); 18.8% prevalence of Angular Chelitis (AC) (3.1% was single and 15.7% was mixed oral manifestations). Out of 605 oral manifestations diagnosed from single and mixed infections, 143 (23.6%) were PC and EC; 76 (12.6%) were PC and AC and 19 (3.1%) were EC and AC. Other oral lesions also observed in this study included: 4.6% Kaposi Sarcoma (KS); 2.5% Recurrent Aphthous Ulceration (RAU); 1.2% Acute Necrotizing Ulcerative Gingivitis (ANUG); 1.0% Linear Gingival

Table 1 - Distribution of oral lesions identified amongthe HIV infected and AIDS TASO clients surveyed

Oral lesions	Number (%) positive
a. Pseudomembranous Candidiasis (PC)	211 (34.9)
b. Erythematous Candidiasis (EC)	80 (13.2)
c. Angular Chelitis alone (AC)	19 (3.1)
d. PC and EC	143 (23.6)
e. PC and AC	76 (12.6)
f. EC and AC	19 (3.1)
g. Kaposi Sarcoma (KS)	28 (4.6)
h. Recurrent Aphthous Ulceration (RAU)	15 (2.5)
i. Acute Necrotizing Ulcerative Gingivitis (ANUG)	7 (1.2)
j. Linear Gingival Erythematous Banding (LGEB)	6 (1.0)
k. Necrotizing Ulcerative Gingivitis (NUG)	1 (0.2)
I. Intra-oral pigmentation	103 (17.0)
m. Xerostomia	206 (34.0)

Using Pearson's Chi square test ($\dot{a} = 0.05$), there was a statistical significant difference (p < 0.05) when the relationship between prevalence of oral lesions of the studied population was compared

Erythematous Banding (LGEB); and 0.2% Necrotizing Ulcerative Gingivitis (NUG). Intra-oral pigmentation was 103 (17.0%) prevalent among the studied population. Using Pearson's Chi square test of independence (X²; $\dot{a} = 0.05, 0.01$), there was a statistical significant difference (p < 0.05; 0.01) when the relationship between prevalences of oral lesions of the studied population were compared. Out of the 605 patients diagnosed with oral lesions, the result of pre-exposure of patients to antimicrobial agents was as follows: Clotrimazole 44 (7.3%); Fluconazole 27 (4.5%); Ketoconazole 62 (10.2%); Nystatine 68 (11.2%); Anti-retroviral agent (unspecified) 48 (7.9%) and patients not exposed to anti-fungal/viral agents 356 (58.8%). There was a significant statistical difference (p < 0.01) when Chi square (X²; a = 0.01) was used to test for independence of the different antimicrobial agents used.

Discussion

In resource poor countries, sporadic prevalence reports with no longitudinal cohort studies have made oral lesions an increasing concern, mainly in immunocompromised patients14. The observed 73.2% prevalence of oral lesions among the consenting population of HIV infected and AIDS TASO patients, is in line with the 30-80% oral lesions among HIV/AIDS patients in developing countries, reported by Arendiof et al.⁵ and Patton et al.¹⁵, as well as with a survey conducted on a subset of TASO clients in the same region in the year 2005¹². Moreover, Tirwomwe et al.¹² found similar prevalence of oral lesions, meaning that HIV/ AIDS patients benefiting from TASO services need essential oral health care. In this region more effort is therefore required to reduce the current prevalence of oral lesions among TASO patients and other HIV patients to the barest minimum. On the other hand, the found prevalence in the present study was different from a former survey in a rural Uganda community, which showed 42% manifestation of oral lesions¹⁶, probably due to the inclusion of non HIV/ AIDS individuals. Latiff et al.17 reported a prevalence of 75% in India and a higher prevalence, 90%, was found by Fidel¹⁸ in the Wester¹⁸. Lower result should have been expected from India and the Western because of expected increased support from government and donor agencies and better strategies for management and control of this infection. Umedevi et al.19 in Johannesburg, South Africa, found significant differences in the occurrence of oral lesions between HIV-positive and -negative women, thus highlights the usefulness of oral lesions in the detection of early and unknown HIV infections.

The wide range of oral manifestation (Table 1), from 0.1% (NUG) to 71.1% (PC), is slightly lower than reports in South Africa⁷ and the United States²⁰ (1.5 % to 94%). Slightly lower range of oral manifestation observed in Uganda TASO HIV population points to a positive impact of TASO services to the people living with HIV in Uganda. Hodgson *et al.*⁸ had considered that the wide range found

in African studies could be due to the improper calibration in methodologies. Lack of adequate resources to warrant in-depth qualitative laboratory study so as to confidently and more accurately formation of lesion groups, as recommended by E-C Clearinghouse¹⁷, may be a contributing factor for the wide range in prevalence of different oral lesions found in African studies.

Meanwhile, it is interesting to note that the 17.0% prevalence of unique IOP found in this study is lower than the 26.3% reported in South Indian HIV population²¹. In documenting the population with oral pigmentation seen after HIV infection, 48 (7.9%) patients with oral lesions and who were taking unspecified antiretroviral therapy, including those who possessed oral pigmentation from birth, were excluded. Having ruled out possible racial melanination by hereditary and pigmentation due to side effect of antiretroviral therapy, the next most probable cause of oral pigmentation among the patients studied could be increased release of α -melanocyte stimulating hormone (α -MSH) due to deregulated release of cytokines in HIV disease and less likely due to use of antifungals²¹⁻ ²². This observation warrants further investigation so as to hypothesize that HIV disease is more likely to cause oral pigmentation in Indians than in Africans.

The observation of 40.9% mixed infection of PC with other oral lesions (PC with EC: 29.3% and PC with AC: 11.6%; Table 1) gives this study another unique importance because such finding is rare in many African studies. There was a statistical significant difference (p < 0.05 and p < 0.01) when the relationship between prevalence of different oral lesions identified among the studied population were compared. This implies that the interaction of different oral lesions noted in this study was due to other factors (such as change in CD4 level and viral load) and not by chance alone. Therefore, in addition to the reported possible correlation of single or grouped oral lesions to CD4 cell levels²³, we hereby suggest that CD4 cell levels could also be correlated with the levels of mixed manifestations of oral lesions in severely immunosuppressed patients. Thus the level of mixed oral infection may herald emergence and progression of HIV disease.

Despite the fact that the critical immunological defects, which are responsible for the onset and maintenance of mucosal candidiasis in patients with HIV infection, has not been elucidated, the devastating impact of HIV infection on mucosal Langerhans' cell and CD4+ cell populations may most probably be central to the pathogenesis of mucosal candidiasis in HIV-infected patients²⁴. However, these defects may be partly compensated by preserved host defense mechanisms (calprotectin, keratinocytes, CD8+ T cells, and phagocytes), which individually or together, may limit *Candida albicans* proliferation to the superficial mucosa²⁵. A close comparison with a Tirwomwe et al.¹² study reveals minor improvement in some lesions and worsening condition in other ones. Oral hairy leukoplakia which was

0.3%12 was not seen in the present study. LGEB was reduced by 6.8% (7.8%: 1.0%), while Kaposi sarcoma was reduced by 0.2% (4.8%: 4.6%) in the present study. The slight reduction in the relative prevalence of oral lesions, two years after the lesions were identified and recommendations made on the need to follow improved management protocols, shows that the goal of improvement of oral health condition among people living with HIV in Uganda may be achievable. Therefore, more efforts should be made to increase the reduction rate of these oral lesions observed among the people with HIV in Uganda. On the other hand, the incidence of PC among HIV patients diagnosed with oral lesions increased by 30.7% (40.8%: 71.1%), Angular Chelitis increased by 1.2% (17.6%: 18.8%), EC increased by 1.0% (38.9%: 39.9) and NUG was increased by 0.9% (0.3%: 1.2%) respectively. Information obtained from this study (Table 1) shows that from 71.1% individuals with PC, 58.8% were not taking any antifungal and antiviral therapy, whereas 11.2% were taking Nystatine at the time of this investigation. Furthermore, from the 39.9% individuals with EC, about 35% were not taking any antifungal and antiviral therapy while 4.9% were on Azoles. Finally, from 18.8% patients with AC, 7.9% were on unspecified anti-retroviral therapy and 10.9% were on azoles antifungals.

Therefore, observed increase in the relative prevalence of Candidiasis in this study may be due to antifungal resistance and inadequate treatment. Proper oral care of patients especially in remote villages where TASO render their services once in a month or two were clearly lacking. This is because TASO services do not include routine oral inspection and care of their HIV/AIDS patients. Inclusion of trained Dental officers among TASO healthcare team is hereby recommended. This recommendation is paramount to the overall effort in reduction of oral infection among people living with HIV in Uganda and other developing resource poor countries. In conclusion, oral infections are still highly prevalent among HIV/AIDS patients in South Western Uganda. Inadequate provision of antimicrobial agents and absence of oral and dental care were suggested to have contributed to upsurge of oral infections among TASO patients in this region. Increasing prevalence of oral candidiasis among HIV/ AIDS patients stresses the fact that HIV/AIDS is still a terminal disease especially among people living in resource poor countries. Provision of adequate resources and qualified personnel to warrant in-depth clinical and qualitative laboratory study is supreme to achieving the goal of overall improvement of oral health condition of these patients. There is an urgent need to train primary health care workers in management of oral lesions associated with HIV/AIDS. More studies are needed to evaluate oral lesions and to assist health care providers in the early detection of HIV/AIDS in the studied population.

Acknowledgement

This study was supported in part by Kampala International University, Western Campus. We are grateful to management and staff of The AIDS Support Organization both at the Headquarters in Kampala and in the three centers located in South Western Uganda for their support. We also thank all TASO clients whose consent and participation made this investigation possible.

References

- 1. Morgan D, Whitworth J. The natural history of HIV-1 infection in Africa. Nat Med. 2001; 7: 143-5.
- 2. Dixon S, McDonald S, Roberts J. The impact of HIV and AIDS on Africa's economic development. BMJ. 2002; 324: 232-4.
- 3. Farmer P, Leandre F, Mukherjee JS. Community-based approaches to HIV treatment in resource-poor settings. Lancet. 2001; 358: 404-9.
- Grant AD, Djomand G, De Cock KM. Natural history and spectrum of disease in adults with HIV/AIDS in Africa. AIDS 1997; 11: S43–54.
- Arendorf TM, Bredekamp B, Cloete CAC, Sauer G. Oral manifestations of HIV infection in 600 South African patients. J Oral Pathol Med. 1998; 27: 176-9.
- Patton LL, Phelan JA, Ramos-Gomez FJ, Nittayananta W, Shiboski CH, Mbuguye TL. Prevalence and classification of HIVassociated oral lesions. Oral Dis. 2002; 82:98-109.
- Greenspan JS, Greenspan D. The epidemiology of the oral lesions of HIV infection in the developed world. Oral Dis. 2002, 8 Suppl 2: 34-9.
- Hodgson TA, Naidoo S, Chidzonga M, Ramos Gomez F, Shiboski C. Identification of oral health care needs in children and adults, management of oral diseases. Proceedings of the 5th World Workshop on Oral Health and disease in AIDS, July 6–9, 2004, Phuket, Thailand. Advances in Dental Research; 2005.
- Scully C, Laskaris G, Porter SR. Oral manifestations of HIV infection and their management. I: more common lesions. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1991, 71: 158-66.
- Marsh, PD, Martin MV. Oral microbiology. 3rd ed.. London: Charpman and Hall; 1992.
- 11. 11, Muwazi LM, Rwenyonyi CM, Tirwomwe JF, Ssali C, Kasangaki A, Nkamba ME et al. Prevalence of oral diseases/ conditions in Uganda. Afr Health Sci. 2005; 5: 227-33.
- Tirwomwe JF, Rwenyonyi CM, Muwazi LM, Besigye B, Amboli F. Oral manifestation of HIV/AIDS in Clients attending TASO clinics in Uganda. Clin Oral Investig. 2007; 11: 289-92.
- 13. EC-Clearinghouse on oral problems related to HIV infection and WHO Collaborating Centre on oral manifestations of the human immunodeficiency virus. Classification and diagnostic criteria for oral lesions in HIV infection. J Oral Pathol Med. 1993, 22: 289-291.
- Hodgson TA, Rachanis CC. Oral fungal and bacterial infection in HIV infected individuals, an overview in Africa. Oral Dis. 2002, 8(suppl 2): 80-7.
- Patton LL, McKaig R, Straauss R, Rogers D, Enron JJ Jr. Changing prevalence of oral manifestations of human immunodeficiency virus in the era of protease inhibitor therapy. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2000, 90:299-304.
- Mayanja B, Morgan D, Ross A, Whitworth J. The burden of mucocutaneous conditions and the association with HIV-1 infection in a rural community in Uganda. Trop Med Int Health 1999, 4: 349-34.
- 17. Lattif AA, Banerjee U, Prasad R, Biswas A, Wig N, Sharma N, Haque et al. Susceptibility Pattern and Molecular Type of

Species-Specific *Candida* in Oropharyngeal Lesions of Indian Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Positive Patients. J Clin Microbiol 2004, 42: 1260-2.

- 18. Fidel PL Jr. Immunity to Candida. Oral Dis. 2002, 8:69-75
- Umadevi M., Adeyemi PM, Reichart PA, Robinson PG. Periodontal Diseases and Other Bacterial Infections. Adv Dent Res. 2006, 19: 139-45.
- Jonsson N, Zimmerman M, Chidzonga MM. Oral manifestations in 100 Zimbabwean HIV/AIDS patients referred to a specialist centre. Cent Afr J Med 1998, 44: 31-4.
- 21. EC-Clearinghouse on Oral Problems Related to HIV Infection and WHO Collaborating Centre on Oral manifestations of the Immunodeficiency Virus. An update of the classification and diagnostic criteria of oral lesions in HIV infection. J Oral Pathol Med 1991; 20:97-100.
- Ranganathan K, Umadevi M, Saraswathi TR, N Kumarasamy N, Solomon S, Johnson N. Oral Lesions and Conditions Associated with Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection in 1000 South Indian Patients. Ann Acad Med Singapore; 2004, 33: 37S-42S.
- 23. Eisen D. Disorders of pigmentation in the oral cavity. Clin Dermatol. 2000, 18:579-87.
- Begg MD, Lamster IB, Panageas KS, Mitchell-Lewis D, Phelan JA, Grbic JT. A prospective study of oral lesions and their predictive value for progression of HIV disease. Oral Dis. 1997, 3:176-83.
- 25. Repentigny L, Daniel Lewandowski D, Jolicoeur P. Immunopathogenesis of oropharyngeal candidiasis in human immunodeficiency virus infection. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2004, 17: 729–59.