Braz J Oral Sci. October|December 2010 - Volume 9, Number 4

Influence of selective acid etching on microtensile bond strength of a self-adhesive resin cement to enamel and dentin

Lúcia Trazzi Prieto¹, Cíntia Tereza Pimenta Araújo², Maria Malerba Colombi Humel², Eduardo José Souza-Junior¹, Carlos Tadeu dos Santos Dias³, Luís Alexandre Maffei Sartini Paulillo⁴

¹DDS, MS student, Department of Restorative Dentistry, Piracicaba Dental School, State University of Campinas, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil
²DDS, MS, PhD student, Department of Restorative Dentistry, Piracicaba Dental School, State University of Campinas, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil
³Agronomic engineer, MS, PhD, Associate professor, Department of Exact Science, ESALQ University of São Paulo, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil
⁴DDS, MS, PhD, Full professor, Department of Restorative Dentistry, Piracicaba Dental School, State University of Campinas, São Paulo, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil

Abstract

Aim: To evaluate the selective acid etching of enamel and dentin on microtensile bond strength (μ TBS) of a self-adhesive resin cement. **Methods:** Forty-eight bovine teeth were selected and had the buccal surface ground to obtain a flat dentin (n=24) or enamel (n=24) surface. Z250 composite resin blocks (4 x 3 x 8 mm) were prepared for cementation at enamel and dentin. Each substrate received three experimental resin cementation strategies: 1) 37% phosphoric acid etching before the application of RelyX Unicem; 2) application of RelyX Unicem alone; 3) RelyX ARC as a control. Samples were light-cured using a halogen light (Optilux 501, 700mW/cm²), for 40 s. Hourglass-shaped specimens were obtained and submitted to a tensile strength at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min in a universal testing machine. For statistical analysis, data were submitted to ANOVA and Tukey's test at a pre-set alpha (á=0.05). **Results:** RelyX Unicem showed similar bond strength value (16.5 MPa) when compared to the pretreatment with acid etching (11.9 Mpa) and to the conventional resin cement (18.1 MPa) for enamel. All luting strategies presented similar dentin bond strength, but significantly lower than enamel bond strength. **Conclusions:** Acid etching prior to RelyX Unicem application did not improve microtensile bond strength for enamel and dentin.

Keywords: resin cement, bond strength, dental enamel, dentin, dental acid etching.

Introduction

With the development of adhesive dentistry, resin luting cements have been widely used due to their ability to bond indirect restorations to tooth structure¹⁻². These materials can minimize some adverse effects of direct composite restorations, like polymerization shrinkage stress magnitude³ and gap formation at tooth/ restoration interface⁴.

Conventional resin luting cements require prior application of bonding systems, which is more susceptible to procedure errors, due to unsatisfactory adhesive curing⁵⁻, overdrying or overwetting of the dentin for hybridization⁷, cement incompatibility to some acidic monomers of the bonding system⁸⁻¹⁰. Self-adhesive resin cements

Received for publication: June 26, 2010 Accepted: October 22, 2010

Correspondence to:

Eduardo José Souza-Junior Piracicaba Dental School, University of Campinas - UNICAMP Department of Restorative Dentistry, P.O. BOX 52 Avenida Limeira, 901, Areião,13414-903 Piracicaba - SP - Brazil Phone: 55 - 19 - 8841 5291 E-mail: edujcsj@gmail.com were introduced in an attempt to simplify resin luting strategy, in which a single clinical step is achieved¹¹.

In this process, demineralization/infiltration of enamel and dentin is expected to occur simultaneously, not requiring acid etch and bond system application separately¹¹. Some studies state that bond strength values of self-adhesive cements are comparable to those of conventional ones¹²⁻¹³. Therefore, bonding performance of these simplified cements on smear layer-covered tooth substrate still remains a concern¹⁴⁻¹⁷. Also, a chemical reaction is suggested to occur between the self-adhesive resin cement and hydroxyapatite (3M ESPE RelyX Unicem product profile), similar to the reaction of glass ionomer cements¹⁸⁻¹⁹.

Notwithstanding that self-adhesive resin luting cements do not require pretreatment of the dental substrate, acid etching has been proposed for removal of the smear layer in an attempt to enhance the interaction between the cement and the enamel surfaces, thus increasing the bond strength^{14,20}. It is not clear if pretreatment with phosphoric acid etching of enamel and dentin is really efficient, and this procedure is largely questioned^{11,21}.

Since the real efficacy of selective enamel and dentin etching is not clear by the literature, the aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of prior acid etching of enamel and dentin on the microtensile bond strength of a selfadhesive resin luting cement, using a total-etch resin cement as the control. The hypothesis tested is pretreatment with phosphoric acid etching could increase microtensile bond strength of RelyX Unicem to both the dental substrates.

Material and methods

Forty-eighty bovine incisors were selected, cleaned and stored in a 0.5% chloramine T solution at 4°C for no more than 1 week. Roots were sectioned 1 mm below the cementoenamel junction using a double-faced diamond saw (K.G. Sorensen, São Paulo, SP, Brazil). Then, the buccal surface of half of the specimens was ground on a water-cooled mechanical polisher (Metaserv 2000, Buehler, UK Ltd, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) using 320-, 600- and 1200-grit silicon carbide paper (Carbimet Disc Set, #305178180, Buehler, UK Ltd, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) in order to obtain a flat dentin area of at least 8 mm², and the other half, to obtain a flat enamel with the same area.

Specimens were randomly assigned into 3 experimental groups according to the luting protocol, *per* tooth substrate

(n=8): 1) RelyX Unicem (3M/ESPE, St. Paul. MN, USA); 2) 37% Phosphoric acid etching (Scotchbond, 3M/ESPE) followed by RelyX Unicem; 3) RelyX ARC, as control (3M/ESPE). Luting agents were mixed and placed according to manufacturer's instructions. For RelyX ARC, the specimen was acid etched, Scotchbond Multi-purpose Plus (3M/ESPE) was applied followed by resin cement application. The composition and manufacturer's information of the resin luting cements are shown in Table 1.

Composite resin blocks (3 mm thick, 8 mm long and 4 mm wide) were built with a microhybrid composite (Z250, shade A3; 3M ESPE) into a silicon mold. Composite was lightcured for 40 s with a halogen light (Optilux 501, Kerr Corp, Orange, CA, USA, at 700mW/cm² of irradiance) and then photocured at an EDG unit for 3 min. The composite surface that would be in contact to the luting cement was airboneparticle abraded with 50 μ m aluminum oxide particles (Asfer Indústria Química Ltda, São Caetano do Sul, Brazil) for 10 s.

Then, a silane couple agent (RelyX Ceramic Primer, 3M/ ESPE) was applied on the composite block bonding surface. Resin luting cements were applied according to the tested groups on enamel or dentin using manufacturer's instructions, and then the composite blocks were cemented.

Specimens were light-cured for 40 s from the buccal, lingual and occlusal directions. After storage in distilled water for 24 h, specimens were serially sectioned perpendicular to the bonding interface into slabs with a diamond saw (Isomet 1000, Buehler, UK Ltd, Lake Bluff, IL, USA), and then hourglass-shaped specimens were created with a crosssectional bonded area of approximately 1 mm². The specimens were fixed to the grips of a universal testing machine (EMIC 500, São José dos Pinhais, PR, Brazil) using a cyanoacrylate adhesive (Loctite Super Bonder Gel, Henkel, D Düsseldorf, Germany) and tested in tension at a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min until failure. For statistical analysis, the obtained data were analyzed (SAS 9.1, SAS Institute, Inc, NC) and submitted to a two-way ANOVA and Tukey's test at 5 % of significance

Results

There was no statistically significant difference in the bond strength values for the tested conditions at each tooth substrate (p > 0.05). However, significant differences were found between enamel and dentin, where enamel showed higher microtensile bond strength means compared to dentin,

Table 1. Materials used in the study with composition and manufacturer's information

Tuble 1. Materials used in the study with composition and manufacturer's information				
Resin cements	Composition	Manufacturer		
RelyX Unicem(Batch #270644)	Powder: glass fillers, silica, calcium hydroxide, self-curing initiators, pigments, light-curing initiators, substituted pyrimidine, peroxy compound.Liquid: methacrylated phosphoric esters, dimethacrylates, acetate, stabilizers, self- curing initiators, light-curing initiators.	3M ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA		
RelyX ARC(Batch #GU9JG)	Paste A: Silane treated ceramic, Bis-GMA, TEGDMA, photoinitiators, amine, silane treated silica, functionalized dimethacrylate polymer.Paste B: silane treated ceramic, TEGDMA, Bis-GMA, silane treated silica, benzoil peroxide, functionalized dimethacrylate polymer.	3M ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA		

regarding the resin luting protocol (p > 0.05). These results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Means and standard deviations (in MPa) of microtensile bond strength for the tested groups.

	RelyX ARC	RelyX Unicem	Acid Etch + RelyX Unicem
Enamel	18.1 (2.0)Aa	16.5 (3.8)Aa	11.9 (6.6)Aa
Dentin	8.1 (3.6)Ab	9.2 (7.0)Ab	8.3 (3.7)Ab

Mean values followed by different lowercase letters in columns and uppercase letters in rows are significantly different (Tukey's test; p<0.05).

Discussion

The resin luting cements used in this study (RelyX Unicem and RelyX ARC) are broadly used on clinical practice for cementation of indirect restorations. Moreover, self-adhesive resin cements have been used because of its simplified resin luting strategy, reducing clinical steps for cementation procedure, although its bond strength it is not totally clarified^{5-6,11}.

Some studies have shown that the acidity of nonrinsed acidic primers can affect enamel bond strength²²⁻²⁴. To improve enamel adhesion, selective phosphoric acid etching prior to the application of self-adhesives resin cements has been suggested^{14,20-21}. In this work, the tested hypothesis was rejected, since pretreatment with phosphoric acid etching did not affect enamel bond strength of the self-adhesive resin cement tested. For enamel, similar microtensile bond strength values were found, regarding the fixing system. A possible reason for this fact is that RelyX Unicem would present a low pH at the initial stage of polymerization. Immediately after mixing the self-adhesive cement is very acidic, lower than pH 2 at the first curing minute, reaching at about 5 after this point, according to the manufacturer. This cement only reaches a neutral pH (at about 7). The low pH after mixing promotes only a superficial etching, increasing slightly the surface free energy and improving mechanical bond mechanism. However, it is known that hybrid layer is not formed in this interaction and part of the adhesion of self-adhesive cements occur due to chemical bonding to tooth hydroxyapatite^{14,20}. In this manner, enamel prisms would be affected by phosphoric acid deep etching, maybe interfering bond strength. It goes against the majority of studies^{14,20-21}, which state that micromechanical interaction of resin penetration between the crystallites and enamel rods associated with chemical bonds to hydroxyapatite could explain the higher bond strength. These studies observed an increase on bond strength between enamel and selfadhesive cements after pretreatment with phosphoric acid etching . However, etching time, acid concentration, pH, pKa, hydroxyapatite buffer potential, and orientation of enamel prisms may significantly affect the demineralization of enamel and consequently, the bond strength²⁵⁻²⁷.

Bonding to dentin has been referred to be a less reliable strategy, especially when compared to enamel bonding, because of the intrinsic characteristics of dentin, like higher organic content, variations in tubular structure and fluid flow²⁸⁻³⁰. In this work, pretreatment with phosphoric acid etching did not affected RelyX Unicem bond strength to dentin, rejecting the tested hypothesis, maybe because no further cement penetration would occur even with opened and plug-free dentinal tubules³¹⁻³³. Thereby, the viscosity of this cement may hamper the infiltration into dentin¹¹. Collagen exposure to phosphoric acid does not seem to improve the bonding values of the hydrophobic autoadhesive cement³⁴. The exact bonding mechanism of these simplified materials remains not clarified. Thus, the results are in agreement with the literature, showing higher bond strength for enamel compared to dentin either with RelyX ARC or RelyX Unicem regardless of pretreatment with phosphoric acid etching for the self-adhesive cement^{14,20}.

The mechanical test used in this work to evaluate the bond strength values was the microtensile bond test³⁵. Some advantages of this methodology are easier specimen collection and uniform loading stress distribution over a small bonded area³⁶⁻³⁹. Sticks, dumbbell bars or hourglass shapes can be the presentation of the microtensile specimens⁴⁰⁻⁴¹. Also, tensile tests are shown to be the most common laboratory tests to evaluate adhesive strength of bonding systems to the tooth substrate^{38-39,41}. However, there are some limitations to consider about microtensile bond strength test, as stress on the bonded interface due to the handling, cutting procedure and the way of attachment⁴⁰.

The use of bovine teeth could be considered a limitation of the present work. This substrate is not as accurate as human teeth when different interactions between bond system and enamel/dentin are investigated. Thus, bovine teeth were selected because they are a suitable substrate for the tested methodology, easier to collect compared to human teeth and do not rely on ethical problems. Bovine enamel and dentin are adequate alternatives for human teeth, in adhesion tests⁴². However, it has been observed that a good marginal quality is more difficult to ensure with these bovine substrates⁴. In this sense, a successful technique in bovine teeth also tends to be successful in human teeth⁴². Additionally, the use of bovine substrate has been supported by several authors⁴³⁻⁴⁶.

In conclusion, selective acid etching did not improve microtensile bond strength of RelyX Unicem to enamel and dentin. The self-adhesive resin luting cement presented higher bond strength to enamel compared to dentin and similar values when compared to a conventional dual-cured resin cement. The results of this work cannot be directly extrapolated to clinical *in vivo* conditions, since clinical trials are still required.

References

- Bandeca MC, El-Mowafy O, Saade EG, Rastelli ANS, Bagnato VS, Porto-Neto ST. Changes on degree of conversion of dual-cure luting lightcured with blue LED. Laser Physics. 2009; 19: 1050-5.
- 2. Faria-e-Silva AL, Moraes RR, Ogliari FA, Piva E, Martins LRM. Panavia F. the role of the primer. J Oral Sci. 2009; 51: 255-9.
- Cunha LG, Alonso RCB, Pfeifer CSC, Correr-Sobrinho L, Ferracane JL, Sinhoreti MAC. Contraction stress and physical properties development of a resin-based composite irradiated using modulated curing methods at two C-factors levels. Dent Mater. 2008; 24: 392-8.

- Alonso RCB, Cunha LG, Pantoja CAS, Puppin Rontani RM, Sinhoreti MAC. Modulated curing methods – effect on marginal and internal gap formation of restorations using different restorative composites. J Biomed Mat Res Part B Appl Biomat. 2007; 82: 346-51.
- Viotti RG, Kasaz A, Pena CE, Alexandre RS, Arrais CA, Reis AF. Microtensile bond strength of newself-adhesive luting agents and conventional multistep systems. J Prosthet Dent. 2009; 102: 306-12.
- Aguiar TR, Francescantonio MD, Arrais CAG, Ambrosano GMD, Davanzo C, Giannini M. Influence of curing mode and time on degree of conversion of one conventional and two self-adhesive resin cements. Oper Dent. 2010; 35: 295-9.
- Faria-e-Silva AL, Fabião MM, Sfalcin RA, de Souza Meneses M, Santos-Filho PC, Soares PV, et al. Bond strength of one-step adhesives under different moisture conditions. Eur J Dent. 2009; 3: 290-6.
- Tay FR, Pashley DH, Yiu CK, Sanares AM, Wei SH. Factors contributing to the incompatibility between simplified-step adhesives and chemicallycured or dual-cured composites. Part I. Single step self-etching adhesive. J Adhes Dent. 2003; 5: 27-40.
- Giannini M, De Goes MF, Nlkaido T, Shimada Y, Tagami J. Influence of activation mode of dual-cured resin composite cores and low-viscosity composite liners on bond strength to dentin treated with self-etching adhesives. J Adhes Dent. 2004; 6: 301-6
- 10. Sanares AM, Itthagarun A, King NM, Tay FR, Pashley DH. Adverse surface interactions between one-bottle light-cured adhesives and chemical-cured composites. Dent Mat. 2001; 17: 542-6.
- Mazzitelli C, Monticelli F, Toledano M, Ferrari M, Osorio R. Dentin treatment effects on the bonding performance of self-adhesive resin cements. Eur J Oral Sci. 2010; 118: 80-6.
- 12. PiwowARCzyk A, Bender R, Ottl P, Lauer HC. Long-term bond between dual-polymerizing cementing agents and human bond dental tissue. Dent Mat. 2007; 23: 211-7.
- Bitter K, Meyer-Lueckel H, Priehn K, Kanjuparambill JP, Neumann K, Kielbassa AM. Effect of luting agent and thermocycling on bond strengths to root canal dentin. Int Endod J. 2006; 39: 809-18.
- De Munk J, Vargas M, Van Landuyt K, Hikita K, Lambretchts, Van Meerbeek B. Bonding of an auto-adhesive luting material to enamel and dentin. Dent Mat. 2004; 20: 963-71.
- Goracci C, Cury AH, Cantoro A, Papacchini F, Tay FR, Ferrari M. Microtensile bond strength and interfacial properties of self-etching and selfadhesive resin cements used to lute composite onlays under different seating forces. J Adhes Dent. 2006; 8: 327-35.
- Mazzitelli C, Monticelli F, Osorio R, Casucci A, Toledano M, Ferrari M. Effect of simulated pulpal pressure on self-adhesive cements bonding to dentin. Dent Mat. 2008; 24: 1156-63.
- Aguiar TR, Di Francescantonio M, Ambrosano GMB, Giannini M. Effect of curing mode on bond strength of self-adhesive resin luting cements to dentin. J Biomed Mater Res Part B: Appl Biomater. 2010; 93B: 122-7.
- Wilson AD, Prosser HJ, Powis DM. Mechanism of adhesion of polyelectrolyte cements to hydroxyapatite. J Dent Res. 1983; 62: 590-2.
- Yoshida Y, Van Meerbeek B, Nakayama Y, Snauwaert J, Hallemans L, Lambretchs P, et al. Evidence of chemical bonding at biomaterial – hard tissue interfaces. J Dent Res. 2000; 79: 709-14.
- 20. Hikita K, Van Meerbeek B, De Munk J, Ikeda T, Van Landuyt, Maida T, et al. Bonding effectiveness of adhesive luting agents to enamel and dentin. Dent Mat. 2007; 23: 71-80.
- 21. Duarte Jr S, Botta AC, Meire M, Sadan A. Microtensile bond strengths and scanning electron microscopic evaluation of self-adhesive and self-etch resin cements to intact and etched enamel. J Prosthet Dent. 2008; 100: 203-10.
- 22. Moura SK, Pelizzaro A, Dal Bianco K, de Goes MF, Loguercio AD, Reis A, et al. Does the acidity of self-etching primers affect bond strength and surface morphology of enamel? J Adhes Dent. 2006; 37: 35-41.
- Perdigão J, Gomes G, Gondo R, Fundingsland JW. In vitro bonding performance of all-in-one adhesives. Part I—microtensile bond strengths. J Adhes Dent. 2006; 8: 367-73.
- 24. Van Landuyt KL, Peumans M, De Munk J, Lambretchs P, Van Meerbeek

B. Extension of a one-step self-etch adhesive into a multi-step adhesive. Dent Mat. 2006; 22: 533-44.

- 25. Pashley DH. The effects of acid etching on the pulpodentin complex. Oper Dent. 1992; 17: 229-42.
- 26. Carvalho RM, Santiago SL, Fernandes CA, Suh BI, Pashley DH. Effects of prism orientation on tensile strength of enamel. J Adhes Dent. 2000; 2: 251-7.
- Salz U, Mücke A, Zimmermann J, Tay FR, Pashley DH. pKa value and buffering capacity of acidic monomers commonly used in self-etching primers. J Adhes Dent. 2006; 8: 143-50.
- 28. Pashley DH, Sano H, Ciucchi B, Yoshiyama M, Carvalho RM. Adhesion testing of dentin bonding agents: A review. Dent Mat. 1995; 11: 117-25.
- 29. Frankenberger R, Kramer N, Petschelt A. Technique sensitivity of dentin bonding: Effect of application mistakes on bond strength and marginal adaptation. Oper Dent. 2000; 25: 324-30.
- D'ARCangelo C, De Angelis F, D'Amario M, Zazzeroni S, Ciampoli C, Caputi S. The influence of luting systems on the microtensile bond strength of dentin to indirect resin-based composite and ceramic restorations. Oper Dent. 2009; 34: 328-36.
- Habelitz S, Balooch M, Marshall SJ, Balooch G, Marshall GW. In situ atomic force microscopy of partially demineralized human dentine collagen fibrils. J Struct Biol. 2002; 138: 227-36.
- Osorio R, Erhardt MC, Pimenta LAF, Osorio E, Toledano M. EDTA treatment improves resin-dentin bond's resistance to degradation. J Dent Res. 2005; 84: 736-40.
- Erhardt MC, Osorio R, Toledano M. Dentin treatment with MMPs inhibitors does not alter bond strengths to caries-affected dentin. J Dent. 2008; 36: 1068-73.
- Gerth HU, Dammaschke T, Zuchner H, Schafer E. Chemical analysis and bonding reaction of RelyX Unicem and Bifix composites – a comparative study. Dent Mat. 2006; 22: 934-41.
- Sano H, SHono T, Sonoda H, Takatsu T, Ciucchi B, Carvalho R et al. Relationship between surface área for adhesion and tensile bond strength – evaluation of a micro-tensile bond test. Dent Mater. 1994; 10: 236-40.
- 36. Cardoso PE, Braga RR, Carrilho MR. Evaluation of micro-tensile, shear and tensile tests determining the bond strength of three adhesive systems. Dent Mater. 1998; 14: 394-8.
- Shono Y, Ogawa T, Terashita M, Carvalho RM, Pashley EL, Pashley DH. Regional measurement of resin-dentin bonding as na array. J Dent Res. 1999; 78: 699-705.
- Schwartzer E, Collares FM, Ogliari FA, Leitune VCB, Samuel SMW. Influence of zinf oxide-eugenol temporary cement on bond strength of an allin-one adhesive system to bovine dentin. Braz J Oral Sci. 2007; 6: 1423-7.
- Spazzin AO, Galafassi D, Gonçalves LS, Moraes RR, Carlini-Júnior. Bonding to wet or dry deproteinized dentin: Microtensile bond strength and confocal laser micromorphology analysis. Braz J Oral Sci. 2009; 8; 181-4.
- 40. El Zohairy AA, de Gee AJ, de Jager N, van Ruijven LJ, Feilzer AJ. The influence of specimen attachment and dimensiono n microtensile strength. J Dent Res. 2004; 83: 420-4.
- 41. El Zohairy AA, Saber MH, Abdalla AI, Feilzer AJ. Efficacy of microtensile versus microshear bond testing for evaluation of bond strength of dental adhesive systems to enamel. Dent Mater. 2010; 26: 848-54.
- 42. Reis A, Giannini M, Kavaguchi A, Soares CJ, Line SRP. Comparison of microtensile bond strength to enamel and dentin of human, bovine and porcine teeth. J Adhes Dent. 2004; 6: 117-21.
- Nakamichi I, Iwaru M, Fusayama T. Bovine teeth as possible substitutes in the adhesion test. J Dent Res. 1983; 62: 1076-81.
- 44. Peutzfeldt A, Asmussen E. Determinants of in vitro gap formation of resin composites. J Dent. 2004; 32: 109-15.
- Correr GM, Alonso RCB, Puppin-Rontani RM, Correr-Sobrinho L, Sinhoreti MAC. Marginal and internal adaptation of composite restorations using a resin liner on deproteinized substrate. Acta Odontol Scand. 2005; 63: 227-32.
- Fais LMG, Marcelo CC, Silva RHBT, Guaglianoni DG, Pinelli LAP. Human teeth versus bovine teeth: cutting effectiveness of diamond burs. Braz J Oral Sci. 2010; 9: 39-42.