Intimidation Versus Inclusion: New Strategies in Indonesian Election Campaigning

Vera Altmeyer

▶ Altmeyer, V. (2014). Intimidation versus inclusion: New strategies in Indonesian election campaigning. ASEAS – *Austrian Journal of South-East Asian Studies*, 7(1), 121-132.

INTRODUCTION

This photo essay shows pictures from the 2012 gubernatorial campaigns in Jakarta, Indonesia.¹ It covers the two main pairs of candidates in the field: then-incumbent Governor Fauzi Bowo (Foke) and his running mate Nachrowi Ramli (Nara) versus challenger Joko Widodo (Jokowi) and his running mate Basuki Tjahaja Purnama. The photos give an impression of the great contrasts between the candidates and their respective campaigning styles.

Fauzi Bowo and Nachrowi Ramli relied primarily on typical strongman tactics including money politics, voter intimidation, ethnic and religious discrimination, and the mobilization of various allied groups from their patronage network, but also staged media events and expensive advertising. The team carried out two main kinds of public campaign events: The first consisted of staged media events mostly held at their media center for journalists only; the second type took place outdoors and usually involved VIP pavilions, a stage, and microphones to bridge the distance between speakers and voters. A lineup including local leaders, strongmen, and party allies delivered long-winded speeches of support and even the occasional threat of consequences for voters, should the election result be unfavorable. In addition, there were also closed-door events for selected audiences and widespread 'black campaigning' (discriminatory, illegal, or otherwise morally dubious strategies such as defamation, falsehoods, and personal attacks). Despite both teams having been accused of black campaigning, the attacks by the Foke-Nara campaign were perceived as more obvious, widespread, and often particularly nasty. It was a major part of their campaign strategy, particularly in the second, more aggressive election round. Pamphlets attacking the moderate Muslim and Javanese Jokowi or the Christian and Chinese-Indonesian Basuki were frequently distributed. Hate sermons against both candidates were frequently heard in conservative mosques, and social media such as Twitter were also utilized for the smear campaigns. This black campaigning was aimed at polarizing between a predominantly Muslim electorate and the non-Muslim minorities of Jakarta as well as between the local ethnic group Betawi and other ethnic groups, in particular Chinese-Indonesians.

 $^{1\,}$ An extended version of this photo essay can be viewed at http://infocus.asiaportal.info/2013/06/07/how-to-win-elections-in-indonesia-2/

While Indonesian election campaigns are typically complex and may involve a wide range of other strategies as well, the abovementioned should be recognized rather as standard features than exceptions – especially when a powerful and rich incumbent is seeking reelection. A typical example of such an incumbent, Fauzi Bowo was expected to win an easy first-round victory. Yet, against all expectations, the radically different campaign strategy of challenger Joko Widodo resulted in a surprising lead in the first round and an even more pronounced victory in the second (first round: Jokowi-Basuki 43 percent versus Fauzi-Nara 34 percent, second round: JB 54 percent versus FN 46 percent).

Jokowi and Basuki combined strategic face-to-face campaigning with a marketing technique aimed at capturing media attention. They campaigned on a good governance agenda aspiring to provide services for the population, opposing ethnic and religious discrimination, and promoting the inclusion of the electorate in politics. Most of their events were held in Jakarta slums. While their arrival was often anticipated with a mixture of curiosity and skepticism, they usually managed to quickly create an atmosphere of excitement and even euphoria. They successfully portrayed themselves as down-to-earth candidates and easily struck up conversations with local residents, inquiring about their problems, listening patiently, and explaining their political program.

The fact that Fauzi-Nara still won 46 percent in the second round suggests that traditional strongman tactics continue to work well. However, Jokowi's success with his campaign style has already turned out to be more than a one-time fluke. It has enabled his rise from small-town mayor to Governor of Jakarta to top presidential candidate this year. The "phenomenon Jokowi" – as it is called in Indonesia – has resulted in the imitation of parts of his campaign style all across Indonesia. The Indonesian term *merakyat* (to mingle with the common people) has become almost synonymous with him. Joko Widodo has topped nationwide polls for the upcoming presidential election on 9 July 2014 and has been officially nominated by former president and *Partai Demokrasi Indonesia – Perjuangan* (Indonesian Democratic Party – Struggle) leader Megawati Sukarnoputri.

His chances of winning are considered to be very high: With his approach of including rather than intimidating the electorate, Joko Widodo hits the nerve of a population tired of being treated as the passive subjects of the state – something which has changed little in the 15 years since Indonesian democratization.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Vera Altmeyer is a PhD candidate at the Department of Society and Globalisation at Roskilde University and associated PhD candidate at the Nordic Institute of Asian Studies in Copenhagen, both Denmark. Her main research interests lie in questions of societal power relations, the institutions shaping these relations, and the role of the state in this context. For her PhD, she investigates how recent changes of institutions and actors involved in election campaigning influence power structures in the political sphere of Indonesia and ultimately impact the democratization process of the country.

▶ Contact: altmeyer@ruc.dk

































