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#### Abstract

We study the selfmatching properties of Beatty sequences, in particular of the graph of the function $\lfloor j \beta\rfloor$ against j for every quadratic unit $\beta \in(0,1)$. We show that translation in the argument by an element $G_{i}$ of a generalized Fibonacci sequence almost always causes the translation of the value of the function by $G_{i-1}$. More precisely, for fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\left\lfloor\beta\left(j+G_{i}\right)\right\rfloor=\lfloor\beta j\rfloor+G_{i-1}$, where $j \in U_{i}$. We determine the set $U_{i}$ of mismatches and show that it has a low frequency, namely $\beta^{i}$.
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## 1 Introduction

Sequences of the form $(\lfloor j \alpha\rfloor)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $\alpha>1$, now known as Beatty sequences, were first studied in the context of the famous problem of covering the set of positive integers by disjoint sequences [1]. Further results in the direction of so-called disjoint covering systems are due to [2], [3], [4] and others. Other aspects of Beatty sequences were then studied, such as their generation using graphs [5], their relation to generating functions [6], [7], their substitution invariance [8], [9], etc. A good source of references on Beatty sequences and other related problems can be found in [10], [11].

In [12] the authors study the self-matching properties of the Beatty sequence $(\lfloor j \tau\rfloor)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $\tau=\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5}-1)$, the golden ratio. Their study is rather technical; they have used for their proof the Zeckendorf representation of integers as a sum of distinct Fibonacci numbers. The authors also state an open question whether the results obtained can be generalized to other irrationals than $\tau$. In our paper we answer this question in the affirmative. We show that Beatty sequences $(\lfloor j \alpha\rfloor)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ for quadratic Pisot units $\alpha$ have a similar self-matching property, and for our proof we use a simpler method, based on the cut-and-project scheme.

It is interesting to note that Beatty sequences, Fibonacci numbers and the cut-and-project scheme have attracted the attention of physicists in recent years because of their applications for mathematical description of non-crystallographic solids with long-range order, so-called quasicrystals, discovered in 1982 [13]. The first observed quasicrystals revealed crystallographically forbidden rotational symmetry of order 5. This necessitates, for an algebraic description of the mathematical model of such a structure, the use of the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\tau)$. Such a model is self-similar with the scaling factor $\tau^{-1}$. Later, the existence was observed of quasicrystals with 8 and 12 -fold rotational symmetries, corresponding to mathematical models with selfsimilar factors $\mu^{-1}=1+\sqrt{2}$ and
$v^{-1}=2+\sqrt{3}$. Note that all $\tau, \mu$, and $v$ are quadratic Pisot units, i.e. they belong to the class of numbers for which the result of Bunder and Tognetti is generalized here.

## 2 Quadratic Pisot units and the cut-and-project scheme

The self-matching properties of the Beatty sequence $(\lfloor j \tau\rfloor)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ are best displayed on the graph of $\lfloor j \tau\rfloor$ against $j \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$. An important role is played by the Fibonacci numbers,

$$
F_{0}=0, F_{1}=1, \quad F_{k+1}=F_{k}+F_{k-1}, \text { for } k \geq 1 .
$$

The result of [12] states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lfloor\left(j+F_{i}\right) \tau\right\rfloor=\lfloor j \tau\rfloor+F_{i-1}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the exception of isolated mismatches of frequency $\tau^{i}$, namely at points of the form $j=k F_{i+1}+\lfloor k \tau\rfloor F_{i}, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Our aim is to show a very simple proof of these results that is valid for all quadratic units $\beta \in(0,1)$. Every such unit is a solution of the quadratic equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{2}+m x=1, m \in \mathbb{N}, \\
& \text { or } \\
& x^{2}-m x=-1, m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The considerations will differ slightly in the two cases.
a) Let $\beta \in(0,1)$ satisfy $\beta^{2}+m \beta=1$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The algebraic conjugate $\beta^{\prime}$ of $\beta$, i.e. the other root $\beta^{\prime}$ of the equation, satisfies $\beta^{\prime}>-1$. We define the generalized Fibonacci sequence
$G_{0}=0, G_{1}=1, \quad G_{n+2}=m G_{n+1}+G_{n}, n \geq 0$
It is easy to show by induction that for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have
$(-1)^{i+1} \beta^{i}=G_{i} \beta-G_{i-1}$ and $(-1)^{i+1} \beta^{\prime i}=G_{i} \beta^{\prime}-G_{i-1}$.
b) Let $\beta \in(0,1)$ satisfy $\beta^{2}-m \beta=-1$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 3$. The algebraic conjugate $\beta^{\prime}$ of $\beta$ satisfies $\beta^{\prime}>1$. We define $G_{0}=0, G_{1}=1, \quad G_{n+2}=m G_{n+1}-G_{n}, n \geq 0$
In this case, we have for $i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{i}=G_{i} \beta-G_{i-1} \text { and } \beta^{\prime i}=G_{i} \beta^{\prime}-G_{i-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof we give here is based on the algebraic expression for one-dimensional cut-and-project sets [14]. Let $V_{1}, V_{2}$ be straight lines in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ determined by vectors $(\beta,-1)$ and ( $\beta^{\prime},-1$ ), respectively. The projection of the square lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ on the line $V_{1}$ along the direction of $V_{2}$ is given by

$$
(a, b)=\left(a+b \beta^{\prime}\right) \vec{x}_{1}+(a+b \beta) \vec{x}_{2}, \text { for }(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2},
$$

where $\vec{x}_{1}=\frac{1}{\beta-\beta^{\prime}}(\beta,-1)$ and $\vec{x}_{2}=\frac{1}{\beta^{\prime}-\beta}\left(\beta^{\prime},-1\right)$. For the description of the projection of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ on $V_{1}$ it suffices to consider the set

$$
\mathbb{Z}\left[\beta^{\prime}\right]:=\left\{a+b \beta^{\prime} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

The integral basis of this free abelian group is $\left(1, \beta^{\prime}\right)$, and thus every element $x$ of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\beta^{\prime}\right]$ has a unique expression in this base. We will say that $a$ is the rational part of $x=a+b \beta^{\prime}$ and $b$ is its irrational part. Since $\beta^{\prime}$ is a quadratic unit, $\mathbb{Z}\left[\beta^{\prime}\right]$ is a ring and, moreover, it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}\left[\beta^{\prime}\right]=\mathbb{Z}\left[\beta^{\prime}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A cut-and-project set is the set of projections of points of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ to $V_{1}$, that are found in a strip of given bounded width, parallel to the straight line $V_{1}$. Formally, for a bounded inter$\operatorname{val} \Omega$ we define

$$
\Sigma(\Omega)=\left\{a+b \beta^{\prime} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a+b \beta \in \Omega\right\}
$$

Note that $a+b \beta^{\prime}$ corresponds to the projection of the point $(a, b)$ to the straight line $V_{1}$ along $V_{2}$, whereas $a+b \beta$ corresponds to the projection of the same lattice point to $V_{2}$ along $V_{1}$.

Among the simple properties of the cut-and-project sets that we use here are
$\Sigma(\Omega-1)=-1+\Sigma(\Omega), \quad \beta^{\prime} \Sigma(\Omega)=\Sigma(\beta \Omega)$,
where the latter is a consequence of (6). If the interval $\Omega$ is of unit length, one can derive directly from the definition a simpler expression for $\Sigma(\Omega)$. In particular, we have
$\Sigma[0,1)=\left\{a+b \beta^{\prime} \mid a+b \beta \in[0,1)\right\}=\left\{b \beta^{\prime}-\lfloor b \beta\rfloor b \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$,
where we use that the condition $0 \leq a+b \beta<1$ is satisfied if and only if $a=\lceil-b \beta\rceil=-\lfloor b \beta\rfloor$.

Let us mention that the above properties of one-dimensional cut-and-project sets, and many others, are explained in the review article [14].

## 3 Self-matching property of the graph $\lfloor\boldsymbol{j} \beta\rfloor$ against $\boldsymbol{j}$

An important role in the study of the self-matching properties of the graph $\lfloor j \beta\rfloor$ against $j$ is played by the generalized Fibonacci sequence $\left(G_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, defined by (2) and (4), respectively. It turns out that shifting the argument $j$ of the function
$\lfloor j \beta\rfloor$ by the integer $G_{i}$ results in shifting the value by $G_{i-1}$, with the exception of isolated mismatches with low frequency. The first proposition is an easy consequence of the expressions of $\beta^{\prime}$ as an element of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$ in the integral basis $1, \beta$, given by (3) and (5).

## Theorem 1

Let $\beta \in(0,1)$ satisfy $\beta^{2}+m \beta=1$ and let $\left(G_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be defined by (2). Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
\left\lfloor\beta\left(j+G_{i}\right)\right\rfloor=\lfloor j \beta\rfloor+G_{i-1}+\varepsilon_{i}(j)
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i}(j) \in\left\{0,(-1)^{i+1}\right\}$. The frequency of integers $j$ for which the value $\varepsilon_{i}(j)$ is non-zero is equal to
$\rho_{i}:=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\left\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid-n \leq j \leq n, \varepsilon_{i}(j) \neq 0\right\}}{2 n+1}=\beta^{i}$.
Proof. The first statement is trivial. For, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_{i}(j) & =\left\lfloor\beta\left(j+G_{i}\right)\right\rfloor-\lfloor j \beta\rfloor-G_{i-1}=\left\lfloor j \beta-\lfloor j \beta\rfloor+\beta G_{i}-G_{i-1}\right\rfloor \\
& =\left\lfloor j \beta-\lfloor j \beta\rfloor+(-1)^{i+1} \beta^{i}\right\rfloor \in\left\{0,(-1)^{i+1}\right\} . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The frequency $\rho_{i}$ is easily determined in the proof of Theorem 1.

In the following theorem we determine the integers $j$ for which $\varepsilon_{i}(j)$ is non-zero. From this, we easily derive the frequency of such mismatches.

## Theorem 2

With the notation of Theorem 1, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{i}(j)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
0 & \text { if } j \notin U_{i}, \\
(-1)^{i+1} & \text { otherwise },
\end{array}\right.
$$

where
$U_{i}=\left\{k G_{i+1}+\lfloor k \beta\rfloor G_{i} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq 0\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{(-1)^{i-1}}{2} G_{i}\right\}$.
Before starting the proof, let us mention that for $i$ even, the set $U_{i}$ can be written simply as

$$
U_{i}=\left\{k G_{i+1}+\lfloor k \beta\rfloor G_{i} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .
$$

For $i$ odd, the element corresponding to $k=0$ is equal to $-G_{i}$ instead of 0 . The distinction according to the parity of $i$ is necessary here, since unlike the paper [12], we determine the values of $\varepsilon_{i}(j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, not only for.

Proof. It is convenient to distinguish two cases according to the parity of $i$.

- First let $i$ be even. It is obvious from (8), that $\varepsilon_{i}(j) \in\{0,-1\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{i}(j)=-1 \quad \text { if and only if } \quad j \beta-\lfloor j \beta\rfloor \in\left[0, \beta^{i}\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us denote by $M$ the set of all such $j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =\left\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid j \beta-\lfloor j \beta\rfloor \in\left[0, \beta^{i}\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k+j \beta \in\left[0, \beta^{i}\right), \text { for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $M$ is formed by the irrational parts of the elements of the set
$\left\{k+j \beta^{\prime} \mid k+j \beta \in\left[0, \beta^{i}\right)\right\}=\Sigma\left[0, \beta^{i}\right)=\beta^{\prime} \Sigma[0,1)$
$=\left(-\beta^{\prime} G_{i}+G_{i-1}\right)\left\{k \beta^{\prime}-\lfloor k \beta\rfloor k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$,
where the last equality follows from (3) and (7). Separating the irrational part we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =\left\{k G_{i} m+k G_{i-1}+\lfloor k \beta\rfloor G_{i} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \\
& =\left\{G_{i}\lfloor k \beta\rfloor+k G_{i+1} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=U_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the equations $\beta^{\prime 2}+m \beta^{\prime}=1$ and $m G_{i}+G_{i-1}=G_{i+1}$.

- Now let $i$ be odd. Then from (8), $\varepsilon_{i}(j) \in\{0,-1\}$ and
$\varepsilon_{i}(j)=1 \quad$ if and only if $\quad j \beta-\lfloor j \beta\rfloor \in\left[1-\beta^{i}, 1\right)$.
Let us denote by $M$ the set of all such $j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =\left\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid j \beta-\lfloor j \beta\rfloor-1 \in\left[-\beta^{i}, 0\right)\right\} \\
& =\left\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k+j \beta \in\left[-\beta^{i}, 0\right), \text { for some } k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $M$ is formed by the irrational parts of elements of the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{k+j \beta^{\prime} \mid k+j \beta \in\left[-\beta^{i}, 0\right)\right\}=\Sigma\left[-\beta^{i}, 0\right)=\beta^{\prime} \Sigma[-1,0) \\
& =\beta^{\prime}(1-\Sigma[0,1))=\left(\beta^{\prime} G_{i}-G_{i-1}\right)\left\{k \beta^{\prime}-\lfloor k \beta\rfloor-1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Separating the irrational part we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =\left\{-k G_{i} m-k G_{i-1}-\lfloor k \beta\rfloor G_{i}-G_{i} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \\
& =\left\{-k G_{i+1}-G_{i}(\lfloor k \beta\rfloor+1) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \\
& =\left\{k G_{i+1}+G_{i}(\lceil k \beta\rceil-1) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=U_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the equation
$\beta^{\prime 2}+m \beta^{\prime}=1, m G_{i}+G_{i-1}=G_{i+1}$ and $\lfloor k \beta\rfloor=\lceil k \beta\rceil$.
Let us recall that the Weyl theorem [15] states that numbers of the form $j \alpha-\lfloor j \alpha\rfloor, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, are uniformly distributed in $(0,1)$ for every irrational $\alpha$. Therefore the frequency of those $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ that satisfy $j \alpha-\lfloor j \alpha\rfloor \in I \subset(0,1)$ is equal to the length of the interval $I$. Therefore one can derive from (9) and (10) that the frequency of mismatches (non-zero values $\varepsilon_{i}(j)$ ) is equal to $\beta^{i}$, as stated by Theorem 1 .

If $\beta \in(0,1)$ is the quadratic unit satisfying $\beta^{2}-m \beta=-1$, then the considerations are even simpler, because expression (5) does not depend on the parity of $i$. We state the result as the following theorem.

## Theorem 3

Let $\beta \in(0,1)$ satisfy $\beta^{2}-m \beta=-1$ and let $\left(G_{i}\right)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be defined by (4). For $i \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$
V_{i}=\left\{k G_{i+1}-(\lfloor k \beta\rfloor+1) G_{i} \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .
$$

Then for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
\left\lfloor\beta\left(j+G_{i}\right)\right\rfloor=\lfloor j \beta\rfloor+G_{i-1}+\varepsilon_{i}(j),
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon_{i}(j)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } j \notin V_{i}, \\ 1 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

The density of the set $U_{i}$ of mismatches is equal to $\beta^{i}$.
Proof. The proof follows the same lines as proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

## 4 Conclusions

One-dimensional cut-and-project sets can be constructed from $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ for every choice of straight lines $V_{1}, V_{2}$, if they have irrational slopes. However, in our proof of the self-matching properties of the Beatty sequences we strongly use the algebraic ring structure of the set $\mathbb{Z}\left[\beta^{\prime}\right]$ and its scaling invariance with the factor $\beta^{\prime}$, namely $\beta^{\prime} \mathbb{Z}[\beta]=\mathbb{Z}\left[\beta^{\prime}\right]$. For this, $\beta^{\prime}$ must necessarily be a quadratic unit.

However, it is plausible that, even for other irrationals $\alpha$, some self-matching property is displayed by the graph $\lfloor j \alpha\rfloor$ against $j$. To show that, other methods would be necessary.
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