# Return Words and Recurrence Function of a Class of Infinite Words

Ľ. Balková

Many combinatorial and arithmetical properties have been studied for infinite words  $u_{\beta}$  associated with  $\beta$ -integers. Here, new results describing return words and recurrence function for a special case of  $u_{\beta}$  will be presented. The methods used here can be applied to more general infinite words, but the description then becomes rather technical.

Keywords: return words, recurrence function, Parry numbers.

## **1** Introduction

The study of factor complexity, palindromic complexity, balance property, return words, and the recurrence function of infinite aperiodic words is an interesting combinatorial problem. Moreover, the investigation of infinite words coding  $\beta$ -integers  $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ , for  $\beta$  being a Pisot number, can be interpreted as an investigation of one-dimensional quasicrystals. In this paper, new results concerning return words and recurrence function will be presented. In general, little is known about return words. A return word of factor w of an infinite word u is any word which starts at some occurrence of w in u and ends just before the next occurrence of w. Vuillon [1] has shown that an infinite word over a bilateral alphabet is sturmian if and only if each of its factors has exactly two return words. Justin and Vuillon [2] proved that each factor of an Arnoux-Rauzy word of order m has m return words. Moreover, Vuillon proved that each factor of an -interval exchange coding word also has return words. Return words in the Thue-Morse sequence and return words in the infinite words associated with simple Parry numbers have been studied in [4]. Even less is known about the recurrence function which, for an infinite uniformly recurrent word *u*, determines the minimal length  $R_u(n)$  such that if we take any subword of length  $R_u(n)$  of u, we will find there all the factors of length n. Cassaigne [5] determined this function for sturmian words taking into account the continued fractions of their slope and, in [6], he given a general algorithm describing how to determine the recurrence function if we know the return words. This algorithm will constitute the headstone of our further results.

We will describe the cardinality of the set of return words for any factor w of the infinite word  $u_{\beta}$  associated with quadratic non-simple Parry (and, thus Pisot) number  $\beta$  and, as a consequence, we will be able to deduce the exact formula for the recurrence function. These results will complete the list of properties already studied: factor complexity has been studied in [7], palindromic complexity is described in [8], and results on balances can be found in [9].

# 2 Preliminaries

First, let us introduce our "language", which will be used throughout this paper. An *alphabet* A is a finite set of symbols called *letters*. A concatenation of letters is a *word*. The *length* of word w is denoted by |w|. The set  $A^*$  of all finite words (including the empty word  $\varepsilon$ ) provided with the operation of concatenation is a free monoid. We will also deal with right-sided infinite words  $u = u_0 u_1 u_2 \dots$  A finite word w is called a *factor* of the word u (finite or infinite) if there exist a finite word  $w^{(1)}$ and a word  $w^{(2)}$  (finite or infinite) such that  $u = w^{(1)}ww^{(2)}$ . The word w is a *prefix* of u if  $w^{(1)} = \varepsilon$  and it is a *suffix* of u if  $w^{(2)} = \varepsilon$ . A concatenation of k letters a (or words a) will be denoted by  $a^k$ . The *language* of u,  $\mathcal{L}(u)$ , is the set of all factors of a word u, and  $\mathcal{L}_n(u)$  is the set of all factors of u of length n. We say that a letter  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is a *left extension* of a factor  $w \in \mathcal{L}(u)$  if the factor awbelongs to the language  $\mathcal{L}(u)$  and w is *left special* if w has at least two left extensions. Right extensions and right special factors are defined by analogy. We call a factor  $w \in \mathcal{L}(u)$  bispecial if it is left special and right special. A mapping  $\varphi$  on the free monoid  $\mathcal{A}^*$  is called a *morphism* if  $\varphi(vW) = \varphi(v) \varphi(w)$  for all  $v, w \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . Obviously, for determining any morphism it suffices to give  $\varphi(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . The action of a morphism can be naturally extended on right-sided infinite words by the prescription

 $\varphi(u_0u_1u_2\ldots) := \varphi(u_0)\,\varphi(u_1)\,\varphi(u_2)\ldots$ 

A non-erasing morphism  $\varphi$ , for which there exists a letter  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\varphi(a) = aw$  for some non-empty word  $w \in \mathcal{A}^*$ , is called a *substitution*. An infinite word u such that  $\varphi(u) = u$  is called a *fixed point* of the substitution  $\varphi$ . Obviously, every substitution has at least one fixed point, namely

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\varphi^n(a).$$

In everything that follows, we will focus on the infinite word  $u_{\beta}$  being the only fixed point of the substitution  $\varphi$  given by

$$\varphi(0) = 0^a \mathbf{1}, \quad \varphi(1) = 0^b \mathbf{1}, \quad a - 1 \ge b, \quad a \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (1)

Let us only briefly mention that this infinite word codes the set of  $\beta$ -integers  $\mathbb{Z}_{\beta}$ , where  $\beta$  is a quadratic non-simple Parry number. All details can be found, for example, in [8]. Since our main interest is in studying return words and the recurrence function, let us give the corresponding definitions.

#### **Definition 1**

Let w be a factor of an infinite word  $u = u_0 u_1 \dots$  (with  $u_j \in A$ ),  $|w| = \ell$ . An integer  $\varphi$  is an occurrence of w in u if

 $u_{j} u_{j+1} \dots u_{j+\ell-1} = w.$ 

Let j, k, j < k, be successive occurrences of w. Then  $u_j u_{j+1} \dots u_{k-1}$  is a return word of w. The set of all return words of w is denoted by Ret(w), i.e.,

$$Ret(w) = \left\{ u_j u_{j+1} \dots u_{k-1} \middle| j, k \text{ being succesive occurences of } w \right\}.$$

An infinite word *u* is said to be *recurrent* if each of its factors appears infinitely many times in *u*. It is said to be *uniformly recurrent* if for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists R(n) > 0 such that any segment of *u* of length  $\geq R(n)$  contains all words in  $\mathcal{L}_n(u)$ .

As we deal with the infinite word  $u_{\beta} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(0)$ , where  $\varphi(0) = 0^{a}$ ,  $\varphi(1) = 0^{b}$ ,  $a - 1 \ge b$ , let us also recall what is known about the uniform recurrence of words being fixed points of a substitution.

### **Definition 2**

A substitution  $\varphi$  over an alphabet  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1, a_2, ..., a_k,\}$  is called primitive if there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any letter  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ , the word  $\varphi^K(a_i)$  contains all letters of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Queffélec in [10] has shown that any fixed point of a primitive substitution  $\varphi$  is a uniformly recurrent word. Thus, since the infinite word ub is a fixed point of a primitive substitution,  $u_{\beta}$  is uniformly recurrent. It is not difficult to see that the set of return words of w is finite for any factor  $w \in \mathcal{L}(u)$  if u is a uniformly recurrent word.

### **Definition 3**

The recurrence function of an infinite word u is the function  $R_u: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$  defined by

 $R_{u}(n) = \inf\left(\left\{N \in \mathbb{N} \mid \forall v \in \mathcal{L}_{N}(u), \mathcal{L}_{n}(v) = \mathcal{L}_{n}(u)\right\} \cup \{+\infty\}\right)$ 

In other words,  $R_u(n)$  is the smallest length such that if we take any segment of u of length  $R_u(n)$ , we will find there all the factors of u of length n.

Clearly, u is uniformly recurrent if and only if  $R_u(n)$  is finite for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . To get another expression for  $R_u(n)$  that is convenient to work with, let us introduce some more terms.

#### **Definition 4**

Let u be an infinite uniformly recurrent word.

- Let  $w \in \mathcal{L}(u)$ , then  $l_u(w) = \max\{|v||v \in Ret(w)\}$  is the maximal return time of w in u.
- For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define  $l_u(n) = \max\{l_u(w) \mid w \in \mathcal{L}_n(u)\}$ .

Once we have determined the lengths of the return words, the following proposition from [5] will allow us to calculate the recurrence function  $R_u(n)$ .

#### **Proposition 5**

For any infinite uniformly recurrent word u and for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , one has

$$R_u(n) = l_u(n) + n - 1$$

## 3 Return words

The aim of this section is to determine return words of the infinite word  $u_{\beta}$  being the fixed point of the substitution  $\varphi$  introduced in (1). Vuillon in [1] has shown the following result for sturmian words.

#### **Proposition 6**

Let u be an infinite word over a two letter alphabet. Then u is sturmian if and only if  $\# \operatorname{Ret}(w) = 2$  for every factor w of u.

Let us mention that  $u_{\beta}$  is sturmian for a - 1 = b, as follows from [7].

#### Example 7

Let  $u_{\beta} = 00100101001001010101...$  be the fixed point of the substitution  $\varphi(0) = 001$ ,  $\varphi(1) = 01$  (sturmian case). Let us show examples of return words:

$$Ret(0) = \{0, 0\,1\}$$
$$Ret(00) = \{00\,1, 00\,1\,0\,1\}$$
$$Ret(00\,1) = \{00\,1, 00\,1\,0\,1\}$$
$$Ret(00\,10) = \{00\,1, 00\,1\,0\,1\}$$

Throughout this section, we will use methods analogous to those ones introduced in [4]. In order to study return words Ret(w) of factors w of an infinite uniformly recurrent word u, it is possible to limit our considerations to bispecial factors. Namely, if a factor w is not right special, i.e., if it has a unique right extension  $a \in A$ , then the sets of occurrences of w and wa coincide, and

$$Ret(w) = Ret(wa)$$
 (2)

If a factor w has a unique left extension  $b \in A$ , then  $j \ge 1$  is an occurrence of w in the infinite word u if and only if j-1 is an occurrence of bw. This statement does not hold for j=0. Nevertheless, if u is a uniformly recurrent infinite word, then the set Ret(w) of return words of w stays the same no matter whether we include the return word corresponding to the prefix w of u or not. Consequently, we have

$$Ret(bw) = bRet(w)b^{-1} = \left\{ bvb^{-1} | v \in Ret(w) \right\},\tag{3}$$

where  $bvb^{-1}$  means that the word v is extended to the left by the letter b and the letter b on the right end is erased (Note that b is always the suffix of v for  $v \in Ret(w)$ ).

#### **Observation 8**

Note that relation (2) implies that if  $w \in \mathcal{L}(u)$  is not right special, then the maximal return times from Definition 4 satisfy  $l_u(w) = l_u(wa)$ , where  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is the only right extension of w. Similarly, relation (3) implies that if w is not left special, then  $l_u(w) = l_u(bw)$ , where  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  is the only left extension of w.

For an aperiodic uniformly recurrent infinite word u, each factor w can be extended to the left and to the right to a bispecial factor. To describe the cardinality of Ret(w), it suffices therefore to consider bispecial factors w.

#### **Observation 9**

The only bispecial factors of  $u_{\beta}$  that do not contain the letter 1 are  $0^r$ ,  $r \le a - 1$ .

#### **Observation 10**

Every bispecial factor w of  $u_{\beta}$  containing at least one letter 1 has the prefix  $0^{b}1$  and the suffix  $10^{b}$ . Consequently, there exists a bispecial factor v such that  $w = 0^{b}1\varphi(v)0^{b}$ . (The empty word  $\varepsilon$  is bispecial, too.)

Let us summarize the previous observations to get the description of all bispecial factors.

#### Corollary 11

The set of all the bispecial factors of  $u_{\beta}$  is given by

$$\left\{ B_r^{(n)} \middle| r \in \{0, ..., a-1\}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},\$$

where  $B_r^{(n+1)} = 0^b 1 \varphi(B_r^{(n)}) 0^b$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B_0^{(1)} = \varepsilon$ , and  $B_r^{(1)} = 0^r$  for  $r \in \{0, ..., a-1\}$ .

In order to find return words of bispecial factors, let us recall a lemma from [4]. To formulate it, we also need to recall a definition.

#### **Definition 12**

Let w be a factor of a fixed point u of a substitution  $\varphi$ . We say that a word  $v_0v_1...v_{n-1}v_n \in \mathcal{L}_{n+1}(u)$  is an ancestor of w if

• w is a factor of  $\varphi(v_0v_1...v_{n-1}v_n)$ ,

• *w* is neither a factor of  $\varphi(v_1...v_{n-1}v_n)$  nor of  $\varphi(v_0v_1...v_{n-1}v_n)$ .

Clearly, any factor of the form  $\varphi(w)$  has at least one ancestor, namely the factor w.

## Lemma 13

Let an infinite word u be a fixed point of a substitution  $\varphi$  and let be a factor of u. If the only ancestor of  $\varphi(w)$  is the factor w, then

$$Ret(\varphi(w)) = \varphi(Ret(w)).$$

## **Proposition 14**

Let w be a non-empty factor of  $u_{\beta}$ , then  $\varphi(w)$  has a unique ancestor, namely w.

*Proof.* It is a direct consequence of the form of the substitution defined by (1) that any factor v having suffix 1 occurs only as suffix of  $\varphi(w)$  for some factor  $w \in \mathcal{L}(u_{\beta})$ . Moreover, any factor  $\hat{v}$  which has only 1 as its left extension, occurs only as prefix of  $\varphi(\hat{w})$  for some  $\hat{w} \in \mathcal{L}(u_{\beta})$ . The statement follows by injectivity of  $\varphi$ .

Now we are able to explain how to get return words of all bispecial factors.

## **Corollary 15**

Let  $B_r^{(n)}$  be a bispecial factor of  $u_\beta$ . Then

$$# Ret(B_r^{(n)}) = # Ret(B_r^{(n-1)})$$

for every  $n \ge 2$  and  $r \in \{0, ..., a - 1\}$ .

Proof. It follows from Proposition 14 that

$$\# \operatorname{Ret}(B_r^{(n-1)}) = \# \operatorname{Ret}(\varphi(B_r^{(n-1)})).$$

Then, Relations (2) and (3) say that

$$\# \operatorname{Ret}(\varphi(B_r^{(n-1)})) = \# \operatorname{Ret}(0^{b_1}\varphi(B_r^{(n-1)}) 0^{b_1}) = \# \operatorname{Ret}(B_r^{(n)})$$

Let us sum up the results to get the main theorem about the cardinality of the set of return words of any factor of  $u_{\beta}$ .

## Theorem 16

Let w be a factor of  $u_{\beta}$ . Then  $2 \leq \# \operatorname{Ret}(w) \leq 3$ .

*Proof.* Using Corollary 15, it suffices to consider only bispecial factors of the form  $0^r$ ,  $r \le a - 1$ , and  $\varepsilon$  to obtain all possible cardinalities of the sets of return words of factors of  $u_{\beta}$ . It is not difficult to see that the return words of the simplest bispecial factors are the following:

- Let  $r \le b$  then  $\# Ret(0^r) = \{0, 0^r 1\}.$
- Let  $b < r \le a 1$  then  $\# \operatorname{Ret}(0^r) = \{0, 0^r 1, 0^r 1 0^b\}.$
- Since

$$\# \operatorname{Ret}(0^{b} 1 \varphi(\varepsilon) 0^{b}) = \left\{ 0^{b} 1 \varphi(0) (0^{b} 1)^{-1}, 0^{b} 1 \varphi(1) (0^{b} 1)^{-1} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ 0^{b} 1 0^{a-b}, 0^{b} 1 \right\},$$

it is useful to put  $Ret(\varepsilon) = \{0, 1\}$ .

#### **Observation 17**

From the proof of Theorem 16, we can observe that in the case b = a - 1, # Ret(w) = 2 for all the factors of  $u_{\beta}$ . Thanks to Proposition 6, we have another confirmation of the fact that  $u_{\beta}$  for b = a - 1 is a sturmian word.

# 4 How to compute the recurrence function

Let us show how to apply the knowledge of return words to describe the recurrence function (Definition 3) of the infinite word  $u_{\beta}$ . The methods used here follow the ideas from [6].

As the reader will have anticipated, we want to compute  $l_u(n)$  in order to get the recurrence function  $R_u(n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This task can be simplified using the notion of singular factors. A factor  $w \in \mathcal{L}(u)$  is called *singular* if |w|=1 or if there exist a word  $v \in \mathcal{L}(u)$  and letters  $x, x', y, y' \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $w = xvy, x \neq x', y \neq y'$ , and  $\{x'vy, xvy'\} \subset \mathcal{L}(u)$ . Obviously, v is a bispecial factor.

#### **Proposition 18**

Let u be a uniformly recurrent word and  $n \ge 1$ . If  $l_u(n-1) < l_u(n)$ , then there exists a singular factor w of length n such that  $l_u(w) = l_u(n)$ .

A singular factor w is said to be essential if

$$l_u(w) = l_u(|w|) > l_u(|w| - 1).$$

It follows that to calculate  $l_u(n)$ , it is sufficient to consider singular, or, even, only essential singular factors.

#### Theorem 19

Let u be a uniformly recurrent word and  $n \ge 1$ .

$$l_u(n) = \max\{l_u(w) | w| \le n \text{ and } w \text{ singular}\}$$

 $= \max\{l_u(w) ||w| \le n \text{ and } w \text{ essential singular}\}.$ 

Now we are able to give an **algorithm for computing the recurrence function** of an infinite uniformly recurrent word *u*:

- 1. Determine bispecial factors.
- 2. Deduce the form of singular factors and compute their lengths.
- 3. For every singular factor, determine the associated return words and compute their lengths.
- 4. Compute the function  $l_u(n)$  to get the recurrence function  $R_u(n)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  using Proposition 5.

# 5 Computation of the recurrence function of $u_{\beta}$

Let us apply the above described algorithm for computing the recurrence function of the infinite word  $u_{\beta}$  being the only fixed point of the substitution  $\varphi(0) = 0^a \mathbf{1}, \varphi(\mathbf{1}) = 0^b \mathbf{1}, a - \mathbf{1} \ge b$ .

- 1. The bispecial factors of  $u_{\beta}$  are described in Corollary 11.
- 2. Let us show how the task of describing the singular factors can be simplified using the relation between a factor and its image under the substitution  $\varphi$ .

## **Observation 20**

Let  $n \ge 2$  and  $r \in \{0, ..., a - 1\}$ . Then,  $S_r^{(n)}(x, y) = x B_r^{(n)} y$  is a singular factor if and only if  $S_r^{(n-1)}(x, y) = x B_r^{(n-1)} y$  is a singular factor.

If we describe all the simplest non-trivial singular factors, i.e., those factors of the form  $S_r^{(1)}(x, y) = x B_r^{(1)} y$ ,  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$ , Observation 20 will allow us to get the set of all singular factors of  $u_\beta$ .

## **Proposition 21**

The set of all singular factors of  $u_{\beta}$  is given by  $\{0, 1\} \cup \{S_r^{(n)}(x, y) \mid r \in \{0, ..., a - 1\}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where  $S_r^{(n)}(x, y) = x B_r^{(n)} y$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and x, y come from the form of the simplest non-trivial singular factors:  $S_r^{(1)}(0, 0) = 00^r 0, r \ge a - 2$ 

$$S_b^{(1)}(1,0) = 10^b 0,$$
  

$$S_b^{(1)}(0,1) = 00^b 1,$$
  

$$S_b^{(1)}(1,1) = 10^b 1,$$
  

$$S_b^{(1)}(0,0) = 00.$$

To compute the length of singular factors, it is, of course, enough to compute the length of bispecial factors since  $|S_r^{(n)}(x, y)| = |B_r^{(n)}| + 2$ . For the lengths of bispecial factors, we have  $|B_r^{(n)}| = |B_r^{(n)}|_0 + |B_r^{(n)}|_1$  where denotes the number of 0's in  $B_r^{(n)}$  and  $|B_r^{(n)}|_1$  denotes the number of 1's in  $B_r^{(n)}$ . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \left| B_r^{(n)} \right|_0 \\ \left| B_r^{(n)} \right|_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \left| B_r^{(n-1)} \right|_0 \\ \left| B_r^{(n-1)} \right|_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{for all } n \ge 2$$

3. To describe the return words of singular factors, we can again make use of a relation between return words of a singular factor and return words of its image under  $\varphi$ .

## **Proposition 22**

Let  $n \ge 2$ ,  $r \in \{0, \dots, a-1\}$ . The following sets are equal  $\left\{ |w||w \in Ret(S_r^n(x, y)) \right\} = \left\{ |\varphi(v)||v \in Ret(S_r^{n-1}(x, y)) \right\}.$ 

*Proof.* We will distinguish two situations.

a) For x = 0 and  $y \in \{0, 1\}$ , applying Observation 8 on return words of factors which are not right or left special, it follows that the set of lengths of return words of  $S_r^{(n)}(x, y) = x0^{b}1\varphi(B_r^{(n-1)})0^{b}y$ 

is the same as that of  $\varphi(x)\varphi(B_r^{(n-1)})\varphi(y)$ . Thus, we get

$$\Big\{ \big| w \big\| w \in Ret(S_r^n(x,y)) \Big\} = \Big\{ \big| w \big\| w \in Ret(\varphi(S_r^{n-1}(x,y))) \Big\}.$$

b) The singular factor  $S_r^{(n)}(l,l) = 10^b 1 \varphi(B_r^{(n-1)}) 0^b 1$  can be extended to the left without changing the set of lengths of return words to

$$\begin{aligned} &0^{a}10^{b}1\,\varphi(\,B_{r}^{(n-1)})0^{b}1 = \varphi(\,0\,1)\,\varphi(\,B_{r}^{(n-1)})\,\varphi(\,1).\\ &\text{Thus, we get}\\ &\left\{ |w||w \in Ret\,(S_{r}^{n}\,(x,y))\,\right\} = \left\{ |w||w \in Ret\,(\varphi(0\,S_{r}^{n-1}(1,1)))\,\right\} \end{aligned}$$

The statement then follows using Lemma 12 and Proposition 14, and, in the case of (b), also using Relation (3) for return words of  $0S_r^{n-1}(1, 1)$  and  $S_r^{n-1}(1, 1)$ .

Now it suffices to determine return words and their lengths for the simplest singular factors  $S_r^{(1)}(x, y)$ . Proposition 22 implies that the lengths of return words of all the

other singular factors will be obtained by calculating the lengths of images of the simplest return words. Here are the return words of the simplest singular factors.

a) For the trivial singular factors 0, 1, one gets

$$Ret(0) = \{0, 01\} \text{ and } Ret(1) = \{10^a, 10^b\}.$$
 (4)

b) For  $S_r^{(1)}(0,0) = 00^r 0$ , using the proof of Theorem 16, we have

$$Ret(00^{r}0) = \begin{cases} \left\{0^{a}1, 0^{a}10^{b}1\right\} & \text{if } r = a - 2\\ \left\{0, 0^{r+2}1, 0^{r+2}10^{b}1\right\} & \text{if } b - 2 < r < a - 2\\ \left\{0, 0^{r+2}1\right\} & \text{if } 1 \le r \le b - 2 \end{cases}$$
(5)

c) For  $S_{b}^{(1)}(1,0) = 10^{b}0$ , one can easily find

$$Ret(10^{b}0) = \left\{10^{a}, 10^{a}10^{b}\right\}.$$
(6)

d) For  $S_b^{(1)}(0, 1) = 00^b 1$ , one has

$$Ret(00^{b}1) = \left\{ 0^{b+1}1 0^{a-(b+1)}, 0^{b+1}1 0^{b}1 0^{a-(b+1)} \right\}.$$
 (7)

e) Since  $S_b^{(1)}(1, 1) = 10^b 1 = 1 \varphi(1)$ , using Relation (3), we have

$$Ret(10^{b}1) = \left\{ 1v1^{-1} | v \in Ret(\varphi(1)) \right\}$$
  
=  $\left\{ 1\varphi(10^{a})1^{-1}, 1\varphi(10^{b})1^{-1} \right\}.$  (8)

- f) For  $S_0^{(1)}(0,0) = 00$ , one has to consider more cases:
  - Let b = 1 and a = 2 (a sturmian case), it holds  $Ret(00) = \{001, 00101\}.$
  - Let b = 1 and a > 2, then  $Ret(00) = \{0, 00101\}$ .
  - Let  $b \ge 2$ , then  $Ret(00) = \{0, 001\}$ .
- 4. The last step is to compute  $l_{u_{\beta}}(k), k \in \mathbb{N}$ . For simplicity, let us write l(k) instead of  $l_{u_{\beta}}(k)$  in the sequel. Before starting, let us exclude singular factors which are not essential. (Let us recall that a singular factor w is essential if l(w) = l(|w|) > l(|w| 1).) Naturally, we will apply Proposition 22 to determine the maximal return times l(w) of singular factor w.
  - a) Note that  $|S_b^{(n)}(1,0)| = |S_b^{(n)}(0,1)| = |S_b^{(n)}(1,1)|$ , but from Relations (6), (7), and (8), it is clear that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , one gets  $l(S_b^{(n)}(1,0)) = l(S_b^{(n)}(0,1)) < l(S_b^{(n)}(1,1))$ . Thus,  $S_b^{(n)}(1,0)$  and  $S_b^{(n)}(0,1)$  are not essential singular factors and one does not have to consider them in calculation of  $l(k), k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
  - b) By analogy, if  $b \ge 2$ , we have  $\left|S_0^{(n+1)}(0,0)\right| > \left|S_b^{(n)}(1,1)\right|$ , while  $l(S_0^{(n+1)}(0,0)) < l(S_b^{(n)}(1,1))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, the singular factors  $S_0^{(n+1)}(0,0)$  are not essential.
  - c) Next, if  $a 2 \ge r \ge b$ , we have  $|S_r^{(n)}(0,0)| \ge |S_b^{(n)}(1,1)|$ , nevertheless,  $l(S_r^{(n)}(0,0)) < l(S_b^{(n)}(1,1))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $S_r^{(n)}(0,0)$ ,  $r \ge b$ , are not essential.

- d) If  $1 \le r \le b-2$ , then we obtain  $\left|S_r^{(n+1)}(0,0)\right| > \left|S_b^{(n)}(1,1)\right|$ , but  $l(S_r^{(n+1)}(0,0)) < l(S_b^{(n)}(1,1))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , thus, the singular factors  $S_r^{(n+1)}(0,0)$ ,  $1 \le r \le b-2$ , are not essential.
- e) The last remark is that for the trivial singular factor w = 1, we have

$$|w| < |S_r^{(1)}(0,0)|, 1 \le r \le b - 2,$$

but  $l(S_r^{(1)}(0,0)) < l(w)$ , hence,  $S_r^{(1)}(0,0)$ ,  $1 \le r \le b - 2$ , are not essential.

The previous facts imply that in order to calculate l(k) we have to take into account only the trivial singular factors, the non-trivial singular factors of the form  $S_b^{(n)}(1, 1)$ , and, eventually,  $S_0^{(n)}(0, 0)$  and  $S_{b-1}^{(n)}(0, 0)$ . The formulae for l(k),  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , will split into more cases, according to the values of a and b in the substitution (1).

a) For b ≥ 2, combining the previous facts and the description of the simplest singular factors, we obtain the following formula for l(k), k ∈ N,

$$\begin{aligned} - & \text{If } 2b + 1 \ge a, \text{ then} \\ l(1) = \dots = l(b) = a + 1, \\ l(b + 1) = 2b + 3, \\ l(k) = \left| \varphi^n (10^a) \right|, \\ & \text{ for } \left| S_b^{(n)}(1, 1) \right| \le k < \left| S_{b-1}^{(n+1)}(0, 0) \right|, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ l(k) = \left| \varphi^n (0^{b+1}10^b 1) \right|, \\ & \text{ for } \left| S_{b-1}^{(n+1)}(0, 0) \right| \le k < \left| S_b^{(n+1)}(1, 1) \right|, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

$$- & \text{ If } 2b + 1 < a, \text{ then} \\ l(1) = \dots = l(b + 1) = a + 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} l(1) &= \dots = l(b+1) = a+1, \\ l(k) &= \left| \varphi^n (10^a) \right|, \\ & \text{for } \left| S_b^{(n)}(1,1) \right| \le k < \left| S_b^{(n+1)}(1,1) \right|, n \in \mathbb{N} \end{split}$$

b) For b = 1 and  $2 \le a \le 3$ , then it holds for l(k),  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , l(1) = a + 1, l(2) = 5,

$$\begin{split} l(k) &= \left| \varphi^{n} (10^{a}) \right|, \\ & \text{for } \left| S_{1}^{(n)} (1,1) \right| \leq k < \left| S_{0}^{(n+1)} (0,0) \right|, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ l(k) &= \left| \varphi^{n} (00101) \right| \\ & \text{for } \left| S_{0}^{(n+1)} (0,0) \right| \leq k < \left| S_{1}^{(n+1)} (1,1) \right|, n \in \mathbb{N} \end{split}$$

c) For 
$$b = 1$$
 and  $a > 3$ , we get  
 $l(1) = l(2) = a + 1$ ,  
 $l(k) = \left| \varphi^{n} (10^{a}) \right|$ ,  
for  $\left| S_{1}^{(n)}(1, 1) \right| \le k < \left| S_{1}^{(n+1)}(1, 1) \right|$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Having calculated the formula for l(k),  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have also computed the recurrence function, since R(k) = l(k) + k - 1.

## References

- Vuillon, L.: A Characterization of Sturmian Words by Return Words. *Eur. J. Comb.*, Vol. 22 (2001), No. 2, p. 263–275.
- [2] Justin, J., Vuillon, L.: Return Words in Sturmian and Episturmian Words. *Theor. Inform. Appl.*, Vol. **34** (2000), p. 343–356.
- [3] Vuillon, L.: On the Number of Return Words in Infinite Words with Complexity. *LIAFA Research Report* 2000/15.
- [4] Balková, L., Pelantová, E., Steiner, W.: Return Words in the Thue-Morse and Other Sequences. *arXiv:math/0608 603 Sci.*, 2006.
- [5] Cassaigne, J.: Limit Values of the Recurrence Quotient of Sturmian Sequences. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, Vol. 218 (1999), No. 1, p. 3–12.
- [6] Cassaigne, J.: Recurrence in Infinite Words. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer Verlag, Vol. 2010, 2001, p. 1–11.
- [7] Balková, L.: Complexity for Infinite Words Associated with Quadratic Non-Simple Parry Numbers. *Journal of Geometry and Symmetry in Physics* (WGMP 2005 Proceedings) Vol. 7 (2006), p. 1–11.
- [8] Balková, L., Masáková, Z.: Palindromic Complexity of Infinite Words Associated with Non-Simple Parry Numbers. submitted to *RAIRO Theor. Inform. Appl.*, 2006, 16 p.
- [9] Balková, L., Pelantová, E., Turek, O.: Combinatorial and Arithmetical Properties of Infinite Words Associated with Non-Simple Quadratic Parry Numbers. To appear in *RAIRO Theor. Inform. Appl.*, 2006, 17 p.
- [10] Queffélec, M.: Substitution Dynamical Systems Spectral Analysis. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer Berlin Vol. **1294**, 1987.

Ing.L'ubomíra Balková phone: +420 224 358 560 e-mail: l.balkova@centrum.cz

Doppler Institute for Mathematical Physics and Applied Mathematics & Department of Mathematics

Czech Technical University in Prague Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering Trojanova 13 120 00 Praha 2, Czech Republic

The table of the first 20 values of l(k) and R(k) for the simplest case a = 2 and b = 1

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
l(k)	3	5	8	8	13	13	13	21	21	21	21	21	34	34	34	34	34	34	34	34
R(k)	3	6	10	11	17	18	19	28	29	30	31	32	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53