

APPLIED GENERAL TOPOLOGY © Universidad Politécnica de Valencia Volume 13, no. 1, 2012 pp. 91-102

Relative dimension r-dim and finite spaces

A. C. Megaritis

Abstract

In [4] a relative covering dimension is defined and studied which is denoted by r-dim. In this paper we give an algorithm of polynomial order for computing the dimension r-dim of a pair (Q, X), where Q is a subset of a finite space X, using matrix algebra.

2010 MSC: 54F45, 54A05, 65F30.

KEYWORDS: Covering dimension, relative dimension, finite space, incidence matrix.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The "relative dimensions" or "positional dimensions" are functions whose domains are classes of subsets. By a class of subsets we mean a class consisting of pairs (Q, X), where Q is a subset of a space X.

The class of finite topological spaces was first studied by P.A. Alexandroff in 1937 in [1]. A topological space X is *finite* if the set X is finite. In what follows we denote by $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ a finite space of n elements and by \mathbf{U}_i the smallest open set of X containing the point $x_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$. The cardinality of a set X is denoted by |X| and the first infinite cardinal is denoted by ω .

Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite space of *n* elements. The $n \times n$ matrix $T = (t_{ij})$, where

$$t_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_i \in \mathbf{U}_j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is called the *incidence matrix* of X. We observe that

$$\mathbf{U}_j = \{x_i : t_{ij} = 1\}, \ j = 1, \dots, n$$

We denote by c_1, \ldots, c_n the *n* columns of the matrix *T*. Let

$$c_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{1i} \\ c_{2i} \\ \vdots \\ c_{ni} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } c_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{1j} \\ c_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ c_{nj} \end{pmatrix}$$

be two $n \times 1$ matrices. Then, by max c_i we denote the maximum

$$\max\{c_{1i}, c_{2i}, \ldots, c_{ni}\}$$

and by $c_i + c_j$ the $n \times 1$ matrix

$$c_{i} + c_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{1i} + c_{1j} \\ c_{2i} + c_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ c_{ni} + c_{nj} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also, we write $c_i \leq c_j$ if only if $c_{ki} \leq c_{kj}$ for each $k = 1, \ldots, n$.

For the following notions see for example [2].

Let X be a space. A cover of X is a non-empty set of subsets of X, whose union is X. A cover c of X is said to be open (closed) if all elements of c is open (closed). A family r of subsets of X is said to be a refinement of a family c of subsets of X if each element of r is contained in an element of c.

Define the *order* of a family r of subsets of a space X as follows:

- (a) $\operatorname{ord}(r) = -1$ if and only if r consists of only the empty set.
- (b) $\operatorname{ord}(r) = n$, where $n \in \omega$, if and only if the intersection of any n + 2 distinct elements of r is empty and there exist n + 1 distinct elements of r, whose intersection is not empty.
- (c) $\operatorname{ord}(r) = \infty$, if and only if for every $n \in \omega$ there exist n distinct elements of r, whose intersection is not empty.

Definition 1.1 (see [4]). We denote by r-dim the (unique) function that has as domain the class of all subsets and as range the set $\omega \cup \{-1, \infty\}$ satisfying the following condition r-dim $(Q, X) \leq n$, where $n \in \{-1\} \cup \omega$ if and only if for every finite family c of open subsets of X such that $Q \subseteq \cup \{U : U \in c\}$ there exists a finite family r of open subsets of X refinement of c such that $Q \subseteq \cup \{V : V \in r\}$ and $\operatorname{ord}(r) \leq n$.

Finite topological spaces and the notion of dimension play an important role in digital spaces, computer graphics, and image analysis. In [5] the authors gave an algorithm for computing the covering dimension of a finite topological space using matrix algebra. In this paper we give an algorithm of polynomial order for computing the dimension r-dim of a pair (Q, X), where Q is a subset of a finite space X, using matrix algebra.

2. FINITE SPACES AND DIMENSION r-dim

In this section we present some propositions concerning the dimension r-dim of a pair (Q, X), where Q is a subset of a finite space X.

Proposition 2.1. Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite space and $Q \subseteq X$. Then, r-dim $(Q, X) \leq k$, where $k \in \omega$, if and only if there exists a family $\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{j_m}\}$ such that $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_m}\} \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}$ and $\operatorname{ord}(\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{j_m}\} \leq k$.

Proof. Let $r\operatorname{-dim}(Q, X) \leq k$, where $k \in \omega$. We prove that there exists a family $\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{j_m}\}$ such that $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_m}\} \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}$ and $\operatorname{ord}(\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{j_m}\} \leq k$.

Let

$$\nu = \min\{m \in \omega : \text{there exist } j_1, \dots, j_m \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ such that} \\ \{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m}\} \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}\}$$

and $c = {\mathbf{U}_{j_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{j_\nu}}$ be a family such that

$$\{x_{j_1},\ldots,x_{j_\nu}\}\subseteq Q\subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1}\cup\ldots\cup\mathbf{U}_{j_\nu}.$$

Since r-dim $(Q, X) \leq k$, there exists a family $r = \{V_1, \ldots, V_\mu\}$ of open subsets of X refinement of c such that $Q \subseteq V_1 \cup \ldots \cup V_\mu$ and $\operatorname{ord}(r) \leq k$. Clearly, it suffices to prove that $\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{j_\nu}\} \subseteq r$. Indeed, we suppose that there exists $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, \nu\}$ such that $\mathbf{U}_{j_\alpha} \notin r$. Since $x_{j_\alpha} \in Q$, there exists $\beta \in \{1, \ldots, \mu\}$ such that $x_{j_\alpha} \in V_\beta$. By the fact that \mathbf{U}_{j_α} is the smallest open set of X containing the point x_{j_α} we have that $\mathbf{U}_{j_\alpha} \subseteq V_\beta$. Also, since $\mathbf{U}_{j_\alpha} \notin r$, we have $\mathbf{U}_{j_\alpha} \neq V_\beta$. Therefore, $\mathbf{U}_{j_\alpha} \subset V_\beta$. Since r is a refinement of c, there exists $\gamma \in \{1, \ldots, \nu\}$ such that $V_\beta \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_\gamma}$. Hence,

$$\mathbf{U}_{j_{\alpha}} \subset \mathbf{U}_{j_{\gamma}}.$$

We observe that $Q \subseteq (\mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_{\nu}}) \setminus \mathbf{U}_{j_{\alpha}}$, which is a contradiction by the choice of ν . Thus, $c \subseteq r$.

Conversely, we suppose that there exists a family $\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{j_m}\}$ such that $\{x_{j_1},\ldots,x_{j_m}\}\subseteq Q\subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1}\cup\ldots\cup\mathbf{U}_{j_m}$ and $\operatorname{ord}(\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{j_m}\}\leq k)$. We prove that $\operatorname{r-dim}(Q,X)\leq k$.

Indeed, let c be a finite family of open subsets of X such that $Q \subseteq \bigcup \{U : U \in c\}$. It suffices to prove that the family $\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{j_m}\}$ is a refinement of c. For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ there exists $V_i \in c$ such that $x_{j_i} \in \mathbf{U}_{j_i} \subseteq V_i$. This means that the family $\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{j_m}\}$ is a refinement of c. \Box

Proposition 2.2. Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite space, where n > 1, and $Q \subseteq X$. Then,

$$\operatorname{r-dim}(Q, X) \le |Q| - 1.$$

Proof. Let $Q = \{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_m}\}$. The family $\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{j_m}\}$ has m elements and, therefore, $\operatorname{ord}(\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{j_m}\}) \leq m-1$. Thus, by Proposition 2.1, $\operatorname{r-dim}(Q, X) \leq m-1 = |Q|-1$.

Note 1. In the following propositions we suppose that $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is a finite space with *n* elements, $Q \subseteq X$, $T = (t_{ij})$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, the incidence matrix of X, and c_1, \ldots, c_n the *n* columns of the matrix T. We denote by $\mathbf{1}_Q$ the $n \times 1$ matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}a_1\\a_2\\\vdots\\a_n\end{array}\right),$$

where

$$a_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_i \in Q\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example 2.3. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$ and $Q = \{x_1, x_3, x_4\}$. Then,

$$\mathbf{1}_Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 1\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition 2.4. If $c_j = \mathbf{1}_Q$ and $x_j \in Q$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then $r\operatorname{-dim}(Q, X) = 0$.

Proof. Since $c_j = \mathbf{1}_Q$, we have $t_{ij} = 1$ for every $x_i \in Q$ and, therefore, $Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_j$. Since $\operatorname{ord}({\mathbf{U}_j}) = 0$, by Proposition 2.1, we have $\operatorname{r-dim}(Q, X) = 0$.

Proposition 2.5. Let c_{j_i} , i = 1, ..., m, be m columns of the matrix T. Then, $c_{j_1} + ... + c_{j_m} \ge \mathbf{1}_Q$ if and only if $Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup ... \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}$.

Proof. Let $c_{j_1} + \ldots + c_{j_m} \geq \mathbf{1}_Q$. We prove that $Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}$. Let $x_{i_0} \in Q$. By the definition of the matrix T and by the assumption $c_{j_1} + \ldots + c_{j_m} \geq \mathbf{1}_Q$, there exists $\kappa \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $t_{i_0 j_\kappa} = 1$. Since $\mathbf{U}_{j_\kappa} = \{x_i : t_{i j_\kappa} = 1\}$, we have $x_{i_0} \in \mathbf{U}_{j_\kappa}$. Thus, $Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}$.

Conversely, we suppose that $Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}$. Then, for every $x_i \in Q$ there exists $\kappa(i) \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $x_i \in \mathbf{U}_{j_{\kappa(i)}}$. Therefore, by the definition of the matrix T, $t_{ij_{\kappa(i)}} = 1$. Thus, $c_{j_1} + \ldots + c_{j_m} \ge \mathbf{1}_Q$. \Box

Proposition 2.6 (see Proposition 2.6 of [5]). Let c_{j_i} , $i = 1, \ldots, m$, be m columns of the matrix T and $k = \max(c_{j_1} + \ldots + c_{j_m})$, that is k is the maximum element of the $n \times 1$ matrix $c_{j_1} + \ldots + c_{j_m}$. Then,

$$\operatorname{ord}(\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{j_m}\})=k-1.$$

Definition 2.7. We define a preorder \leq on the set of all families $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_m}\}$ with $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_m}\} \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}$ by

$$\{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_{m_1}}\} \leq \{x_{j'_1}, \dots, x_{j'_{m_2}}\}$$

if and only if

$$\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{j_{m_1}}\}\subseteq\{\mathbf{U}_{j'_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{j'_{m_2}}\}.$$

Remark 2.8. The space X is T_0 if and only if $U_i = U_j$ implies $x_i = x_j$ for every i, j (see [1]). Therefore, if the space X is T₀, then the relation \leq is an order. We note that if the space X is T_0 , then there exists exactly one minimal family on the set of all families $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_m}\}$ with $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_m}\} \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}$.

Proposition 2.9. Let $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{\mu}}\} \subseteq Q \subseteq \{\mathbf{U}_{i_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{i_{\mu}}\},\$

$$\nu = \min\{m \in \omega : \text{there exist } j_1, \dots, j_m \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ such that} \\ \{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m}\} \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}\},\$$

and $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{\nu}}\} \subseteq Q \subseteq \{\mathbf{U}_{i_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{i_{\nu}}\}$. Then, $\{x_{j_1},\ldots,x_{j_{\nu}}\} \leq \{x_{i_1},\ldots,x_{i_{\nu}}\}.$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.1.

Proposition 2.10. Let $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_\nu}\}$ be a minimal family on the set of all families $\{x_{j_1},\ldots,x_{j_m}\}$ with $\{x_{j_1},\ldots,x_{j_m}\}\subseteq Q\subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1}\cup\cdots\cup\mathbf{U}_{j_m}$. If

ord $({\mathbf{U}_{i_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{i_k}}) = k \ge 0,$

then for every family $\{x_{r_1}, \ldots, x_{r_\mu}\}$ with $\{x_{r_1}, \ldots, x_{r_\mu}\} \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{r_1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathbf{U}_{r_\mu}$ we have $\operatorname{ord}({\mathbf{U}_{r_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{r_\mu}} \geq k.$

Proof. Let $\{\mathbf{U}_{r_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{r_{\mu}}\}$ be a family such that

$$\{x_{r_1},\ldots,x_{r_{\mu}}\}\subseteq Q\subseteq \mathbf{U}_{r_1}\cup\cdots\cup\mathbf{U}_{r_{\mu}}.$$

Then,

$$\{x_{j_1},\ldots,x_{j_\nu}\} \leqslant \{x_{r_1},\ldots,x_{r_\mu}\}$$

and, therefore,

$$\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{j_{\mu}}\}\subseteq\{\mathbf{U}_{r_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{r_{\mu}}\}.$$

 $\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{j_\nu}\}\subseteq\{\mathbf{U}_{r_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{r_\mu}\}.$ Since ord $(\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{j_\nu}\})=k$, we have ord $(\{\mathbf{U}_{r_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{r_\mu}\}\geq k$.

Proposition 2.11. Let $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_\nu}\}$ be a minimal family on the set of all families $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_m}\}$ with $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_m}\} \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}$. Then,

$$c - \dim(Q, X) = \max(c_{j_1} + \ldots + c_{j_{\nu}}) - 1$$

Proof. Let $k = \max(c_{j_1} + \ldots + c_{j_{\nu}})$. Then, by Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\operatorname{ord}(\{\mathbf{U}_{j_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{j_{\nu}}\})=k-1$$

and, therefore, by Proposition 2.1, $r-\dim(Q,X) \leq k-1$. We prove that $r-\dim(Q,X) = k-1$. We suppose that $r-\dim(Q,X) < k-1$. Then, by Proposition 2.1, there exists a family $\{\mathbf{U}_{r_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{U}_{r_{\mu}}\}$ such that

$$\{x_{r_1},\ldots,x_{r_n}\}\subseteq Q\subseteq \mathbf{U}_{r_1}\cup\cdots\cup\mathbf{U}_{r_n}$$

and

$$\operatorname{ord}(\{\mathbf{U}_{r_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{r_{\mu}}\}) < k-1.$$

A. C. Megaritis

Since $\operatorname{ord}({\mathbf{U}_{j_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{j_\nu}}) = k - 1$, by Proposition 2.10, we have

$$\operatorname{ord}({\mathbf{U}_{r_1},\ldots,\mathbf{U}_{r_u}}) \ge k-1$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, $r-\dim(Q, X) = k - 1$.

Proposition 2.12. Let c_{j_i} , $i = 1, ..., \nu$, be ν columns of the matrix T such that $c_{j_1} + ... + c_{j_{\nu}} \ge \mathbf{1}_Q$ and $\{x_{j_1}, ..., x_{j_{\nu}}\} \subseteq Q$. If $c_{r_1} + ... + c_{r_q} \not\ge \mathbf{1}_Q$ for every $\{x_{r_1}, ..., x_{r_q}\} \subseteq Q$ and $q < \nu$, then $\{x_{j_1}, ..., x_{j_{\nu}}\}$ is a minimal family on the set of all families $\{x_{j_1}, ..., x_{j_m}\}$ with $\{x_{j_1}, ..., x_{j_m}\} \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}$.

Proof. Since $c_{j_1} + \ldots + c_{j_{\nu}} \ge \mathbf{1}_Q$ and $c_{r_1} + \ldots + c_{r_q} \not\ge \mathbf{1}_Q$ for every $\{x_{r_1}, \ldots, x_{r_q}\} \subseteq Q$ and q < m, by Proposition 2.5, we have

 $\nu = \min\{m \in \omega : \text{there exist } j_1, \dots, j_m \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ such that} \\ \{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m}\} \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}\}.$

Thus, by Proposition 2.9, $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_\nu}\}$ is a minimal family on the set of all families $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_m}\}$ with $\{x_{j_1}, \ldots, x_{j_m}\} \subseteq Q \subseteq \mathbf{U}_{j_1} \cup \cdots \cup \mathbf{U}_{j_m}$.

By Propositions 2.11 and 2.12 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.13. Let c_{j_i} , $i = 1, ..., \nu$, be ν columns of the matrix T such that $c_{j_1} + ... + c_{j_{\nu}} \geq \mathbf{1}_Q$ and $\{x_{j_1}, ..., x_{j_{\nu}}\} \subseteq Q$. If $c_{r_1} + ... + c_{r_q} \not\geq \mathbf{1}_Q$ for every $\{x_{r_1}, ..., x_{r_q}\} \subseteq Q$ and $q < \nu$, then

 $r-\dim(Q, X) = \max(c_{j_1} + \ldots + c_{j_{\nu}}) - 1.$

3. An algorithm for computing the covering dimension

In this section we give an algorithm of polynomial order for computing the dimension r-dim(Q, X), where Q is a subset of a finite space X, using the Propositions 2.11 and 2.5.

Algorithm 3.1. Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite space of n elements, $Q = \{x_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, x_{\lambda_l}\} \subseteq X$, and $T = (t_{ij})$ the $n \times n$ incidence matrix of X. Our intended algorithm contains l - 1 steps:

Step 1. Read the *l* columns $c_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, c_{\lambda_l}$ of the matrix *T*. If some column is equal to $\mathbf{1}_Q$, then print

$$\operatorname{r-dim}(Q, X) = 0.$$

Otherwise go to the Step 2.

Step 2. Find the sums

$$c_{\lambda_{j_{11}}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{21}}} + \ldots + c_{\lambda_{j_{(l-1)1}}}$$

for each $\{j_{11}, j_{21}, \dots, j_{(l-1)1}\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, l\}.$

If there exists $\{j_{11}^0, j_{21}^0, \dots, j_{(l-1)1}^0\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, l\}$ such that

$$c_{\lambda_{j_{11}^0}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{21}^0}} + \ldots + c_{\lambda_{j_{(l-1)1}^0}} \ge \mathbf{1}_Q,$$

then go to the Step 3.

Otherwise print

$$\operatorname{r-dim}(Q, X) = \max(c_{\lambda_1} + c_{\lambda_2} + \ldots + c_{\lambda_l}) - 1.$$

Step 3. Find the sums

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\lambda_{j_{12}}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{22}}} + \ldots + c_{\lambda_{j_{(l-2)2}}} \\ for \; each \; \{j_{12}, j_{22}, \ldots, j_{(l-2)2}\} \subseteq \{j_{11}^0, j_{21}^0, \ldots, j_{(l-1)1}^0\}. \\ If \; there \; exists \; \{j_{12}^0, j_{22}^0, \ldots, j_{(l-2)2}^0\} \subseteq \{j_{11}^0, j_{21}^0, \ldots, j_{(l-1)1}^0\} \; such \; that \\ \; c_{\lambda_{j_{12}}^0} + c_{\lambda_{j_{22}}^0} + \ldots + c_{\lambda_{j_{(l-2)2}}^0} \geq \mathbf{1}_Q, \end{aligned}$$

then go to the Step 4.

Otherwise print

$$\mathbf{r}\text{-dim}(Q,X) = \max(c_{\lambda_{j_{11}^0}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{21}^0}} + \ldots + c_{\lambda_{j_{(l-1)^1}^0}}) - 1.$$

.

.

.

Step l-2. Find the sums

$$c_{\lambda_{j_{1(l-3)}}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{2(l-3)}}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{3(l-3)}}}$$

for each $\{j_{1(l-3)}, j_{2(l-3)}, j_{3(l-3)}\} \subseteq \{j_{1(l-4)}^{0}, j_{2(l-4)}^{0}, j_{3(l-4)}^{0}, j_{4(l-4)}^{0}\}.$ If there exists $\{j_{1(l-3)}^{0}, j_{2(l-3)}^{0}, j_{3(l-3)}^{0}\} \subseteq \{j_{1(l-4)}^{0}, j_{2(l-4)}^{0}, j_{3(l-4)}^{0}, j_{4(l-4)}^{0}\}$ such that

$$c_{\lambda_{j_{1(l-3)}^{0}}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{2(l-3)}^{0}}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{3(l-3)}^{0}}} \ge \mathbf{1}_{Q},$$

then go to the Step l-1.

Otherwise print

$$\operatorname{r-dim}(Q, X) = \max(c_{\lambda_{j_{1(l-4)}^{0}}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{2(l-4)}^{0}}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{3(l-4)}^{0}}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{4(l-4)}^{0}}}) - 1.$$

Step l-1. Find the sums

$$c_{\lambda_{j_{1}(l-2)}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{2}(l-2)}}$$

for each $\{j_{1(l-2)}, j_{2(l-2)}\} \subseteq \{j_{1(l-3)}^{0}, j_{2(l-3)}^{0}, j_{3(l-3)}^{0}\}.$
If there exists $\{j_{1(l-2)}^{0}, j_{2(l-2)}^{0}\} \subseteq \{j_{1(l-3)}^{0}, j_{2(l-3)}^{0}, j_{3(l-3)}^{0}\}$ such that
 $c_{\lambda_{j_{1(l-2)}}^{0}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{2(l-2)}}^{0}} \ge \mathbf{1},$

then print

$$\operatorname{r-dim}(Q,X) = \max(c_{\lambda_{j^0_{1(l-2)}}} + c_{\lambda_{j^0_{2(l-2)}}}) - 1.$$

 $Otherwise\ print$

$$\operatorname{r-dim}(Q,X) = \max(c_{\lambda_{j_{1(l-3)}^{0}}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{2(l-3)}^{0}}} + c_{\lambda_{j_{3(l-3)}^{0}}}) - 1.$$

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ with the topology

$$\tau = \{ \emptyset, \{x_2\}, \{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, X \}$$

and $Q = \{x_1, x_3\}$. Then,

$$\mathbf{1}_Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We observe that $\mathbf{U}_1 = \{x_1, x_2\}, \mathbf{U}_2 = \{x_2\}, \mathbf{U}_3 = \{x_2, x_3\}, \mathbf{U}_4 = X$. Therefore,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, c_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, c_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover,

$$c_1 + c_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 2\\ 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \ge \mathbf{1}_Q$$

and

$$\max(c_1 + c_3) = 2.$$

Thus, $r-\dim(Q, X) = \max(c_1 + c_3) - 1 = 1$.

4. Remarks on the algorithm for computing the covering dimension of finite topological spaces

Remark 4.1. Let $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ be a $n \times n$ matrix and $B = (\beta_{ij})$ a $m \times m$ matrix. The Kronecker product of A and B (see [3]) is the $mn \times mn$ block matrix

$$A \otimes B = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \alpha_{11}B & \dots & \alpha_{1n}B \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n1}B & \dots & \alpha_{nn}B \end{array}\right).$$

More explicitly, the Kronecker product of A and B is the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{11}\beta_{11} & \dots & \alpha_{11}\beta_{1m} & \dots & \alpha_{1n}\beta_{11} & \dots & \alpha_{1n}\beta_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{11}\beta_{m1} & \dots & \alpha_{11}\beta_{mm} & \dots & \alpha_{1n}\beta_{m1} & \dots & \alpha_{1n}\beta_{mm} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n1}\beta_{11} & \dots & \alpha_{n1}\beta_{1m} & \dots & \alpha_{nn}\beta_{11} & \dots & \alpha_{nn}\beta_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n1}\beta_{m1} & \dots & \alpha_{n1}\beta_{mm} & \dots & \alpha_{nn}\beta_{m1} & \dots & \alpha_{nn}\beta_{mm} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite space of *n* elements and $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$ a finite space of *m* elements. It is known that if T_X is the incidence matrix of *X* and T_Y is the incidence matrix of *Y*, then the incidence matrix of

$$X \times Y = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_1, y_m), \dots, (x_n, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_m)\}$$

is the Kronecker product $T_X \otimes T_Y$ of T_X and T_Y (see [8]).

Example 4.2. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ with the topology

 $\tau_X = \{ \emptyset, \{x_2\}, \{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, X \}$

and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\}$ with the topology

$$\tau_Y = \{ \emptyset, \{y_3\}, \{y_1, y_3\}, \{y_2, y_3\}, \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}, Y \}.$$

Also, let $Q^X = \{x_1, x_3\}$ and $Q^Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$. Then,

$$Q^X \times Q^Y = \{(x_1, y_1), (x_1, y_2), (x_1, y_3), (x_3, y_1), (x_3, y_2), (x_3, y_3)\}$$

.

and

$$\mathbf{1}_{Q^{X}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \ \mathbf{1}_{Q^{Y}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \ \mathbf{1}_{Q^{X} \times Q^{Y}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\0\\0\\0\\1\\1\\1\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The incidence matrix T_X of X is

$$T_X = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

and the incidence matrix T_Y of Y is

$$T_Y = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Therefore, the incidence matrix $T_{X \times Y}$ of the product space $X \times Y$ is

We observe that

$$c_1 + c_2 + c_9 + c_{10} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 4 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} > \mathbf{1}_{Q^X \times Q^Y},$$

 $c_{r_1} + c_{r_2} + c_{r_3} \not\geq \mathbf{1}_{Q^X \times Q^Y}$ for every $\{r_1, r_2, r_3\} \subseteq \{1, 2, 9, 10\}$, and $\max(c_1 + c_2 + c_9 + c_{10}) = 4.$

Thus,

$$\operatorname{r-dim}(Q^X \times Q^Y, X \times Y) = \max(c_1 + c_2 + c_9 + c_{10}) - 1 = 3.$$

Also, we observe that $\operatorname{r-dim}(Q^X, X) = 1$ and $\operatorname{r-dim}(Q^Y, Y) = 1.$

Remark 4.3. Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ be a finite T_0 -space and $Q \subseteq X$. Then, there exists a finite space Y homeomorphic to X such that the incidence matrix T_Y of Y is an upper triangular matrix. Let h a homeomorphism from X to Y such that the incidence matrix T_Y of Y is an upper triangular matrix. In order to calculate the r-dim(Q, X) it suffices to calculate r-dim(h(Q), Y).

Example 4.4. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ with the topology

$$\tau_X = \{ \emptyset, \{x_2\}, \{x_1, x_2\}, \{x_2, x_3\}, X \}$$

and $Q = \{x_2, x_3\}$. We consider the space $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ with the topology

 $\tau_Y = \{ \emptyset, \{y_1\}, \{y_1, y_2\}, \{y_1, y_3\}, Y \}.$

We observe that the map $h : X \to Y$ defined by $h(x_1) = y_2$, $h(x_2) = y_1$, and $h(x_3) = y_3$ is a homeomorphism from X to Y with $h(Q) = \{y_1, y_3\}$. The incidence matrix T_Y of Y is

$$T_Y = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Since

$$c_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{1}_{h(Q)},$$

we have $\operatorname{r-dim}(h(Q), Y) = 0$. Therefore, $\operatorname{r-dim}(Q, X) = 0$.

Proposition 4.5. An upper bound on the number of iterations of the algorithm for computation of the dimension r-dim of a pair (Q, X), where Q is a subset of a finite space X, is the number $\frac{1}{2}|Q|^2 + \frac{3}{2}|Q| - 3$.

Proof. Let |Q| = l. We observe that the number of iterations the algorithm performs in Steps

1, 2, 3, 4, ...,
$$l-2$$
, $l-1$

is

$$l, l, l-1, l-2, \ldots, 4, 3$$

respectively. Thus, the number of iterations the algorithm performs is

$$l+l+(l-1)+(l-2)+\ldots+4+3 = l+\frac{(l-2)(l+3)}{2} = \frac{1}{2}l^2 + \frac{3}{2}l - 3$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}|Q|^2 + \frac{3}{2}|Q| - 3.$$

5. Problems

In [9] (see also [6] and [7]) two relative covering dimensions are defined and studied which are denoted by dim and dim^{*}. The given two definitions below are actually the definitions of dimensions dim and dim^{*} given in [9] for regular spaces.

Definition 5.1. We denote by dim the (unique) function with domain the class of all subsets and range the set $\omega \cup \{-1, \infty\}$, satisfying the following condition $\dim(Q, X) \leq n$, where $n \in \{-1\} \cup \omega$ if and only if for every finite open cover c of the space X there exists a finite open cover r_Q of Q such that r_Q is a refinement of c and $\operatorname{ord}(r_Q) \leq n$.

Definition 5.2. We denote by dim^{*} the (unique) function with domain the class of all subsets and range the set $\omega \cup \{-1, \infty\}$, satisfying the following condition dim^{*} $(Q, X) \leq n$, where $n \in \{-1\} \cup \omega$ if and only if for every finite open cover c of the space X there exists a finite family r of open subsets of X refinement of c such that $Q \subseteq \bigcup \{V : V \in r\}$ and $\operatorname{ord}(r) \leq n$.

Problem 5.3. Find an algorithm for computing the dimension dim of a pair (Q, X), where Q is a subset of a finite space X, using matrix algebra.

Problem 5.4. Find an algorithm for computing the dimension dim^{*} of a pair (Q, X), where Q is a subset of a finite space X, using matrix algebra.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author would like to thank the referee for very helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] P. Alexandroff, *Diskrete Räume*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 2 (1937), 501–518.
- [2] R. Engelking, Theory of dimensions, finite and infinite, Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, 10. Heldermann Verlag, Lemgo, 1995. viii+401 pp.
- [3] H. Eves, *Elementary matrix theory*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1980. xvi+325 pp.
- [4] D. N. Georgiou and A. C. Megaritis, On a New Relative Invariant Covering Dimension, Extracta Mathematicae 25, no. 3 (2010), 263–275.
- [5] D. N. Georgiou and A. C. Megaritis, *Covering dimension and finite spaces*, Applied Mathematics and Computation **218** (2011), 3122–3130.
- [6] D. N. Georgiou and A. C. Megaritis, On the relative dimensions dim and dim* I, Questions and Answers in General Topology 29 (2011), 1–16.
- [7] D. N. Georgiou and A. C. Megaritis, On the relative dimensions dim and dim^{*} II, Questions and Answers in General Topology 29 (2011), 17–29.
- [8] M. Shiraki, On finite topological spaces, Rep. Fac. Sci. Kagoshima Univ. 1 1968 1-8.
- J. Valuyeva, On relative dimension concepts, Questions Answers Gen. Topology 15, no. 1 (1997), 21–24.

(Received November 2011 – Accepted March 2012)

A. C. MEGARITIS (megariti@master.math.upatras.gr) Department of Accounting, Technological Educational Institute of Messolonghi, 30200 Messolonghi, Greece