Technological quality and composition of the *M. semimembranosus* and *M. longissimus dorsi* from Large White and Landrace Pigs

Vladimir M. Tomović¹*, Božidar A. Žlender², Marija R. Jokanović¹, Mila S. Tomović³, Branislav V. Šojić¹, Snežana B. Škaljac¹, Tatjana A. Tasić⁴, Predrag M. Ikonić⁴, Milena M. Šošo¹ and Nevena M. Hromiš¹

¹University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technology, Bulevar cara Lazara 1, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia

²University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical faculty, Jamnikarjeva 101, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

³Technical school "Pavle Savić", Šajkaška 34, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia

⁴University of Novi Sad, Institute for Food Technology, Bulevar cara Lazara 1, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia

e-mail: tomovic@uns.ac.rs

The effects of pig breed (Large White and Landrace) in combination with muscle type (*M. semimembranosus* and *M. longissimus dorsi*) on T_{45min} , T_{24h} , pH_{45min} , pH_{24h} , colour (CIE*L***a***b** values), water-holding capacity (filter paper press method: ratio of the area of pressed meat film – M and the wet area on the filter paper – T; M/T value) and moisture, protein, total fat and total ash content were investigated. Interaction effect between breed and muscle was not found (*p*>0.05) for any parameter. The T_{45min} , T_{24h} , pH_{45min} , and M/T value were influenced by the muscle, whereas T_{24h} was also influenced by the breed. The pH_{45min} was higher (*p*<0.01) and water-holding capacity was better (*p*<0.001) in *M. semimembranosus* muscle than in *M. longissimus dorsi* muscle. Based on the criteria for CIE*L** and M/T values, pork meat was classified into seven technological quality classes. The percentages of pale and exudative, reddish-pink and exudative, and reddish-pink and non-exudative pork were 23.5, 26.5, and 27.7%, respectively. Composition was in the characteristic range for modern lean pigs.

Key words: pig, Large White, Landrace, M. semimembranosus, M. longissimus dorsi, technological quality, composition

Introduction

The technological quality attributes of meat include: pH value, color, texture, water-holding capacity (WHC) and chemical composition (Rosenvold and Andersen 2003, Olsson and Pickova 2005, Sevón-Aimonen et al. 2007). These parameters are influenced by multiple interacting factors including breed, genetics, feeding, pre-slaughter treatment and stunning, slaughter method, chilling and storage conditions, as reviewed by Rosenvold and Andersen (2003).

It is believed that pH is one of the keys to understanding *post-mortem* muscle glycolysis. A number of authors report that the rate of *post-mortem* pH fall is an important determinant of colour and WHC. An abnormally rapid rate of glycolysis early *post-mortem* in the muscles produces poor pork quality (pale and exudative meat). On the other hand, higher ultimate pH is associated with darker colour and better WHC (Bendall and Swatland 1988, Brewer et al. 2001, Lawrie and Ledward 2006).

Fresh pork has been traditionally classified into three technological quality categories, according to the measurements of pH value, colour, firmness (texture) and drip loss (exudation): PSE (pale, soft, exudative), RFN (reddish-pink, firm, non-exudative or normal pork) and DFD (dark, firm, dry). The RFN meat is considered the ideal pork quality class (Kauffman et al. 1992, Joo et al. 2000a). Pork meat that is classified as RFN has a desirable color, normal texture and WHC. One of the most important and the most frequently found quality deviation of pork meat is a PSE defect (Bendall and Swatland 1988, Kauffman et al. 1992, Warner et al. 1997, Joo et al. 2000a, O'Neill et al. 2003, van de Perre et al. 2010). On the other hand, the incidence of DFD meat is low and less relevant to industry (Bendall and Swatland 1988, Kauffman et al. 1992, van Laack et al. 1994, O'Neill et al. 2003, van de Perre et al. 2010).

The development of PSE is caused by excessive protein denaturation due to a combination of a low pH and a high muscle temperature early *post-mortem*. The opposite of PSE is DFD muscle, which is caused by a depletion of gly-cogen content at the time of slaughter, resulting in a high ultimate $pH_{_{24h}}$ and a higher susceptibility to the microbiological spoilage (Bendall and Swatland 1988, Warner et al. 1997, Lawrie and Ledward 2006, Barbut et al. 2008).

Beside these three traditional categories, during the last two decades many authors introduced two additional intermediate quality variations: RSE (reddish-pink, soft, exudative) and PFN (pale, firm, non-exudative). RSE pork has normal color, but a soft texture and an exudative character similar to PSE, whereas PFN pork has the texture of meat of good quality, but has undesirable color, similar to PSE (Kauffman et al. 1992, van Laack et al. 1994, Warner et al. 1997, Joo et al. 2000a, O'Neill et al. 2003). Over the years RSE and PFN have also been recognized as serious quality defects (Kauffman et al. 1992, van Laack et al. 1994, Joo et al. 2000a, O'Neill et al. 2003, van de Perre et al. 2010).

Incidence of inferior muscle quality, especially with PSE and RSE defects, has an economic impact in the pork industry (Kauffman et al. 1992, Joo et al. 2000a, O'Neill et al. 2003, Huff-Lonergan and Lonergan 2005, Sevón-Aimonen et al. 2007). For this reason, evaluation of technological quality of pork represents an important step in meat production and processing.

In international practice the evaluation of pork quality is based on very different parameters and criteria. The most popular parameters, which enable identification of defective meat, include the pH value, measured in the muscle tissue 30–60 minutes (*pre-rigor* state) and 24 hours *post-mortem* (*post-rigor* state), meat colour (reflectance – lightness – CIEL* value) and WHC (numerous analytical methodologies: filter paper press, filter paper, bag, tray, EZ-DripLoss, absorption or centrifugation methods or determination of cooking loss), measured 24 hours *post-mortem* (Bendall and Swatland 1988, Honikel 1998, 1999, Sevón-Aimonen et al. 2007). Moreover, other parameters like: electrical conductivity (Joo et al. 2000a, Lee et al. 2000) or impedance (Swatland 1995) help to identify defects in pork meat quality (Bendall and Swatland 1988, Honikel 1999). Characteristics should be easily measurable, what means that a destructive cutting of a carcass must not occur. Therefore, the easy accessible *M. semi-membranosus* and *M. longissimus dorsi* are recommended as representative muscles (Honikel 1999).

The measurement of pH values is the most direct way to obtained information about meat quality characteristics. The pH_{45min} identifies potential PSE pork, especially if the value is less than 6.0 (Bendall and Swatland 1988, O'Neill et al. 2003, Lawrie and Ledward 2006). However, CIEL* value is probably the best overall indicator for PSE and DFD pork (Brewer et al. 2001).

Skeletal muscle tissue is composed of approximately 75.0% water, 19.0% protein, 2.5% lipid, 1.5% non-protein nitrogenous compounds, 1.0% carbohydrate and non-nitrogenous components and 1.0% inorganic matter (Keeton and Eddy 2004, Lawrie and Ledward 2006). Meat tissue composition varies according to differences in species, chronological and physiological maturity at harvest, plane of nutrition, genetic predisposition, and anatomical location of cuts (muscles) within a carcass (Keeton and Eddy 2004, Olsson and Pickova 2005, Lawrie and Ledward 2006).

The Autonomous Province of Vojvodina (the northern part of the Republic of Serbia) is a region where the number of animals of the porcine species and the production of pork meat are of high economic importance. The most common white purebreds of pigs used in Vojvodina, as well as in Serbia, for pork production are the Large White and the Landrace. Also, they are commonly used in crossbreeding programs. This cross is often used as the female line in commercial herds. Dark purebreds such as Duroc, Hampshire or Pietrain are often used as a male line (Lawrie and Ledward 2006, Sevón-Aimonen et al. 2007, The Danish Standard 2007, Barbut et al. 2008, Tomović et al. 2011).

The objective of this study was to determine the technological quality (pH, colour – $CIEL^*a^*b^*$ values and WHC – M/T value – filter paper press method) and composition (moisture, protein, total fat and total ash content) of two economically most important muscles (*M. semimembranosus* and *M. longissimus dorsi*) from two major white purebreds (Large White and Landrace) used for pork production in Vojvodina (Republic of Serbia). Based on the criteria for colour (CIEL* value) and WHC (M/T value), data of this study were used to classify pork quality into different categories.

Materials and methods

Animals, sampling, slaughter and preparing

This study was carried out on 81 (females and castrated males) Large White (LW) and 83 (females and castrated males) Landrace (L) pigs. The pigs were fattened at the 4 production farms in Vojvodina under identical conditions (Tomović et al. 2011). The pigs were randomly selected from both purebreds at an individual live weight between 95 and 110 kg, and about 6 months old. Four to five pigs from both breeds fattened at the same farm were taken during one production day per week for a total of 20 weeks. All the pigs were slaughtered in the two biggest slaughterhouses in Vojvodina according to routine procedures. After transport, which took 1–2 hours, pigs were allowed to rest for about 2–3 hours in the abattoir. Stunning was performed electrically (220 V, 2 A, 8–12 seconds) in a V-restrainer with a pair of stunning tongs. After stunning, the animals were shackled by one hind leg and exsanguinated and scalded (5 minutes, 62 °C) vertically. Carcasses were then individually placed in a dehairer and any remaining hair was removed using flame and knife. The evisceration was finished about 45 minutes *postmortem*. Carcasses were conventionally chilled for 24 hours in a chiller at 0–4 °C.

M. semimembranosus (SM) and *M. longissimus dorsi* (LD) were excised from the right side of each carcass. Identification of the muscles was performed according to UNECE (2008). The meat samples were trimmed of visible adipose and connective tissue. Technological quality characteristics were measured on fresh muscles (SM muscle: central part, LD muscle: central part between 3rd and 4th from the last rib). After determination of technological quality characteristics, each muscle was homogenized (Waring 8010ES Blender, USA, capacity: 1 liter, speed: 18000 rpm, duration of homogenization: 10 seconds, temperature after homogenization: <10 °C), vacuum packaged in polyethylene bags and stored at -40 °C until determination of composition. Composition of SM and LD muscles for 40 pigs from each purebred was determined.

Technological quality measurements

Temperature was measured at the start 45 minutes (T_{45min}) post-mortem and at the end 24 hours (T_{24h}) post-mortem of the chilling process in the SM muscles, and in the LD muscles, on the right side of every carcass, using a portable digital thermometer with a 12 cm stem (Consort T651, Turnhout, Belgium).

The pH value was measured 45 minutes (pH_{45min}) and 24 hours (pH_{24h}) *post-mortem* using the portable pH meter (Consort T651, Turnhout, Belgium) equipped with an insertion glass combination electrode (Mettler Toledo Greifensee, Switzerland). The pH meter was calibrated before and between the measurements using standard phosphate buffers (pH values of calibration buffers were 7.00 and 4.01 at 25 °C) and adjusted to the expected temperature of measured muscles (ISO 2917 1999). Measurements were performed in triplicate.

Based on the initial pH (45 minutes *post-mortem*) the pork quality was classified as potential PSE (pH<6.0) or normal (pH \geq 6.0). On the other hand, based on the ultimate pH (24 hours *post-mortem*) the pork quality was classified as normal (pH<6.0) or DFD (pH \geq 6.0) (Kauffman et al. 1992, Warner et al. 1997, Joo et al. 2000a, O'Neill et al. 2003, Lawrie and Ledward 2006).

After chilling samples for colour measurements were taken perpendicularly to the long axis of muscle, the minimum thickness of samples was 2.5 cm. Eight replicate measures of surface colour were performed on each sample, after 60 minutes of blooming at 3 °C (Honikel 1998). The CIEL* (lightness), CIEa* (redness) and CIEb* (yellowness) colour coordinates (CIE 1976) were determined using MINOLTA Chroma Meter CR-400 (Minolta Co. Ltd. Osaka, Japan) with D-65 lighting, a 2° standard observer angle and the 8-mm aperture in the measuring head. The instrument was calibrated using a Minolta calibration plate (No. 11333090, Y=92.9, x=0.3159, y=0.3322). The colour quality was calculated on the basis of all individual lightness (CIEL* value) measurements, according to criteria for pork (pale colour: CIEL*>50, reddish-pink colour: CIEL*=43–50, dark colour: CIEL*<43) as defined by Joo et al. (1999), Joo et al. (2000a, 2000b), Tomović et al. (2008) and Tomović et al. (2013).

Determination of the water-holding capacity (WHC) was based on measuring water released when pressure was applied to the muscle tissue (exudative juice). Exudative juice was assessed using a filter paper press method (Grau and Hamm 1953, van Oeckel et al. 1999). A cube of 300±25 mg of meat from the inside of the muscle sample was placed on a filter paper (Schleicher & Schull No. 2040 B, Dassel, Germany) between two plexiglas plates. Plates were then screwed together tightly for exactly 5 minutes. The analysis was performed in triplicate. The WHC was expressed as the ratio of the area of pressed meat film (M) and the wet area on the filter paper (T), as determined by mechanical polar planimeter (REISS Precision 3005, Bad Liebenwerda, Germany). The WHC quality was calculated on the basis of all individual M/T values measurements, according to criteria for pork (exudative meat: M/T<0.35,

non-exudative meat: M/T=0.35–0.45, dry meat: M/T>0.45) as defined by Hofmann et al. (1982). Thus, combining three criteria for colour and three criteria for WHC technological pork quality was classified into 9 quality classes.

Composition

Moisture (ISO 1442 1997), protein (nitrogen x 6.25, ISO 937 1978), total fat (ISO 1443 1973) and total ash (ISO 936 1998) contents of muscles were determined according to methods recommended by International Organization for Standardization. All analyses were performed in duplicate.

Statistical analysis

All data are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). Data were analyzed statistically with factorial ANOVA and post-hoc test (Dunckan's test). Two-way analysis of variance was performed; 2 breeds x 2 muscles. Levels of significance p<0.05, p<0.01, and p<0.001 were used. Correlation coefficients among quality characteristics of pork were also calculated. Statistical analysis was conducted using Statistica software version 10 (StatSoft, Inc. 2011).

Results and discussion

Overall technological quality of pork

No significant (p>0.05) interaction effect between breed and muscle type was found for all determined technological parameters (Table 1).

The initial (45 minutes *post-mortem*) mean temperatures in the SM muscles of both LW and L breeds were 40.2 and 40.1 °C, respectively (Table 1). At the same time, in the LD muscles significantly lower mean temperatures (p<0.001) were found than those in the SM muscles, within each breed, i.e. initial temperature was significantly influenced by the type of the muscle. Difference between mean temperatures in SM and LD muscles for both breeds was identical, 1.5 °C. Similarly, at the end of chilling (24 hours *post-mortem*), mean temperatures in LD muscles were significantly lower (p<0.001) than those in the SM muscles. Ultimate temperature was also significantly (p<0.001) influenced by the breed. Mean ultimate temperatures ranged from 2.9 (LD of L) to 5.0 °C (SM of LW). All the individual ultimate temperatures were lower or equal 7 °C. According to EU Council Directives (ECC No. 433/1964), as well as Serbian Regulation (2011), pork must not be cut and deboned before reaching 7 °C in the deep leg.

	Breed						
Daramotor	Ľ	W		L		p-value	
Parameter	Mu	scle	Mu	scle	Brood	Musslo	Breed x
	SM	LD	SM	LD	ыеец	wuscie	Muscle
Initial and ultimate tem							
T _{45min}	40.2 ^{aov} ±0.34	38.7 ^{bpw} ±0.54	40.1 ^{aov} ±0.40	38.6 ^{bpw} ±0.60	0.175	< 0.001	0.916
T _{24h}	5.0 ^{aov} ±1.28	3.2 ^{cqx} ±0.84	4.3 ^{bpw} ±1.40	2.9 ^{cqx} ±0.88	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.148
Initial and ultimate pH							
pH _{45min}	6.26 ^{ao} ±0.27	6.16 ^{bop} ±0.26	$6.20^{\text{abop}} \pm 0.26$	6.14 ^{bp} ±0.30	0.165	0.008	0.556
pH _{24h}	5.78±0.20	5.74±0.21	5.72±0.20	5.70±0.24	0.056	0.255	0.801
Colour							
CIEL* (lightness)	48.11±3.97	48.72±3.64	47.99±3.92	49.31±4.56	0.598	0.052	0.423
CIEa* (redness)	10.91±2.33	10.91±1.82	11.04±2.13	10.12±2.41	0.183	0.058	0.057
CIE <i>b</i> * (yellowness) 6.76±2.48 6.31±2.28		6.78±1.91	6.70±2.41	0.418	0.295	0.468	
Water-holding capacity							
M/T	$0.38^{aov} \pm 0.07$	$0.33^{bpw}\pm0.07$	0.36 ^{aovw} ±0.07	0.33 ^{bpw} ±0.06	0.139	< 0.001	0.316

Table 1. Mean values (±SD) of the technological quality of *M. semimembranosus* (SM) and *M. longissimus dorsi* (LD) of Large White (LW) and Landrace (L) pigs.

^{abc}indicates significant difference within raw at *p*<0.05, ^{opq}indicates significant difference within raw at *p*<0.01, ^{vwx}indicates significant difference within raw at *p*<0.01.

M = area of the pressed meat film; T = wet area on the filter paper.

Initial pH value was significantly influenced (p=0.008) by the type of the muscle (Table 1). In the SM muscles initial pH values were significantly (LW breed, p<0.05) or numerically (L breed, p>0.05) higher than those in the LD muscles. In SM muscles from LW and L breeds, the mean initial (45 minutes *post-mortem*) pH values were 6.26 and 6.20, respectively, while in LD muscles these values were 6.16 and 6.14, for LW and L breeds, respectively. Similarly, at the end of chilling (24 hours *post-mortem*), mean ultimate pH in SM muscles were numerically higher than those in LD muscles within each breed. However, ultimate pH was not significantly influenced (p>0.05) by the type of muscle. All mean ultimate pH values, which ranged from 5.70 (LD of L) to 5.78 (SM of LW), were in the characteristic range for pork (5.3–5.8; Smulders et al. 1992, Honikel 1999). As expected (Table 2), in the present study the pH_{45min} was significantly positively correlated with the pH_{24b} (r=0.42 for LW and r=0.48 for L, p<0.001).

CIEL* a^*b^* values (lightness, redness and yellowness) were not significantly influenced (p>0.05) by the type of muscle (Table 1). The highest (lightest colour) and the lowest (darkest colour) numerical CIEL* mean values were found in LD and SM muscles of L breed. These values were 49.31 for the LD and 47.99 for the SM muscle. According to the mean values for lightness (CIEL* value), the colour of all investigated groups of SM and LD muscles represent normal meat quality (reddish-pink colour: CIEL*=43–50, Joo et al. 1999, Joo et al. 2000a 2000b, Tomović et al. 2008, Tomović et al. 2013). Furthermore, the mean CIE a^* values (redness) ranged from 10.12 (LD of L) to 11.04 (SM of L). Almost identical mean CIE b^* values were determined in all investigated groups of muscles. The mean CIE b^* value ranged from 6.31 (LD of LW) to 6.78 (SM of L).

WHC (M/T value) was significantly influenced (p<0.001) by the type of muscle (Table 1). For both breeds, SM muscles had significantly better WHC (M/T=0.38 for LW and 0.36 for L, a bigger M/T ratio indicating a better WHC) than LD muscles (M/T=0.33, for both breeds). According to the mean M/T values, the WHC of both SM groups of muscles represent normal meat quality (non-exudative meat: M/T=0.35–0.45, Hofmann et al. 1982), while both LD groups of muscles represent poor pork quality (exudative meat: M/T<0.35, Hofmann et al. 1982).

As expected (Table 2), pH_{45min} and pH_{24h} were significantly negatively correlated with CIEL* value (r=-0.29 and r=-0.58, respectively, for LW, p<0.001; r=-0.50 and r=-0.61, respectively, for L, p<0.001) and significantly positively correlated with M/T value (r=0.27 and r=0.37, respectively, for LW, p<0.001; r=0.39 and r=0.29, respectively, for L, p<0.001). Additionally, CIEL* value was significantly negatively correlated with M/T value (r=-0.52 for LW and r=-0.47 for L, p<0.001). These correlations are in agreement with Bendall and Swatland (1988), van Laack et al. (1994), Lee et al. (2000), Brewer et al. (2001) and van de Perre et al. (2010).

		Breed – LW			Breed – L	
Parameter	pH _{24h}	CIEL*	M/T	pH _{24h}	CIEL*	M/T
pH _{45min}	0.42***	-0.29***	0.27***	0.48***	-0.50***	0.39***
pH _{24h}		-0.58***	0.37***		-0.61***	0.29***
CIEL*			-0.52***			-0.47***

Table 2. Overall correlation coefficients (r) among technological quality parameters of Large White (LW) and Landrace (L) pigs.

***indicates significant difference at p<0.001.

M = area of the pressed meat film; T = wet area on the filter paper.

Incidence of different technological quality classes of pork

According to criteria for initial pH (pH_{45min}<6.0), in this study, the percentage of potential PSE pork was 14.8% for SM of LW, 28.4% for LD of LW, 24.1% for SM of L and 27.7% for LD of L (Table 3). Overall, based on criteria for pH_{45min}, 23.8% of investigated muscles were potentially classified as PSE. In addition, the percentage of DFD pork with pH_{24h}>6.0 (Kauffman et al. 1992, Warner et al. 1997, Joo et al. 2000a 2000b, O'Neill et al. 2003) was 13.6% for SM of LW, 14.8% for LD of LW, 12.0% for SM of L, and 15.7% for LD of L. Overall, based on criteria for pH_{24h}, 14.0% of investigated muscles were classified as DFD.

In this study, the colour of SM and LD muscles was defined as pale when ultimate CIEL*>50, reddish-pink when ultimate CIEL*=43–50 or dark when ultimate CIEL*<43 (Table 3). The highest incidence of the pale (43.4%) and dark (10.8%) colour and lowest incidence of reddish-pink (45.8%) colour was found in LD muscles of L breed. The incidence of pale, reddish-pink and dark colour in other three investigated groups of muscles were similar, i.e. the incidence of pale colour ranged from 29.6% (SM of LW) to 33.3% (LD of LW), reddish-pink ranged from 60.5% (LD of LW) to 62.7% (SM of L) and dark ranged from 6.2% (LD of LW) to 8.6% (SM of LW). Overall, based on criteria for

CIEL*, 34.1% of investigated muscles were classified as pale pork (CIEL*>50), 57.6% as reddish-pink pork (CIEL*= 43-50), and 8.2% as dark pork (CIEL*<43).

Combining criteria for pH_{45min} and CIEL* value to define pork quality of each individual SM and LD muscle, it was calculated that 62.8% of muscles with pH_{45min}<6.0 had CIEL* value higher than 50 (calculated data are not shown). Also, the incidence of pale (CIEL*>50) colour in all investigated groups of SM and LD muscles was higher than the incidence of the initial pH below 6.0 (Table 3). In addition, combining criteria for pH_{24h} and CIEL* value to define pork quality on each individual SM and LD muscle, it was calculated that 34.8% of muscles with pH_{24h}≥6.0 had CIEL* value lower than 43 (calculated data are not shown). Also, the incidence of dark (CIEL*<43) colour in all investigated groups of SM and LD muscles was lower than the incidence of the ultimate pH above 6.0 (Table 3).

In this study, according to WHC, SM and LD muscles were defined as exudative when M/T value <0.35, non-exudative when M/T value between 0.35–0.45 or dry when M/T value >0.45 (Table 3). The incidence of non-exudative pork in SM and LD muscles from both breeds was very similar (53.1% of SM : 37.0% of LD from LW versus 51.8% of SM : 37.3% of LD from L). Compared to incidence of non-exudative pork, the incidence of exudative pork in SM muscles was 34.8% lower for LW and 13.9% for L, while the incidence of exudative pork in LD muscles was 60.3% higher for LW and 64.6% for L. The highest incidence of dry pork was found in SM muscles of LW breed (12.3%), and the lowest in LD muscles of L breed (1.2%). Overall, based on criteria for M/T value, 50.0% of investigated muscles were classified as exudative pork (M/T<0.35), 44.8% as non-exudative pork (M/T=0.35–0.45) and 5.2% as dry pork (M/T>0.45).

Combining criteria for pH_{45min} and WHC to define pork quality of each individual SM and LD muscles, it was calculated that 71.8% of muscles with pH_{45min}<6.0 had M/T value lower than 0.35 (calculated data are not shown). Further, the incidence of exudative pork (M/T<0.35) in all investigated groups of SM and LD muscles was higher than the incidence of the initial pH below 6.0 (Table 3). In addition, combining criteria for pH_{24h} and WHC to define pork quality of each individual SM and LD muscles it was calculated that 13.0% of muscles with pH_{24h} \geq 6.0 had M/T value higher than 0.45 (calculated data are not shown). Also, the incidence of dry pork (M/T>0.45) in all investigated groups of SM and LD muscles was lower to the incidence of the ultimate pH above 6.0 (Table 3).

The results of this study are in accordance with the conclusion of Bendall and Swatland (1988), van Laack et al. (1994), Joo et al. (1999) and Joo et al. (2000a 2000b) that the initial and ultimate pH values were not always a reliable predictor for ultimate pork quality and its relation to both color and WHC of pork muscle should be more carefully investigated, although it is evident that pH values are significantly related to both colour and WHC (Table 2).

The detection of different pork quality is an important issue for meat industry in order to reduce economic losses and to proportionate the best destination to fresh meat. Generally, PSE/DFD meat is identified on the base of pH value, colour (CIEL* value) and WHC. While in some cases PSE/DFD meat is identified only on the base of colour (CIEL* value) and WHC (van Laack et al. 1994, Joo et al. 1999, Joo et al. 2000a 2000b).

In this paper, colour (CIEL* value) and WHC (M/T value), measured 24 hours *post-mortem*, were used to assign SM and LD muscles to 1 of 9 quality classes (Table 3). Based on the lightness (CIEL* value) and M/T value, SM and LD muscles were classified into PE (pale and exudative), PN (pale and non-exudative), RE (reddish-pink and exudative), RN (reddish-pink and non-exudative), RD (reddish-pink and dry), DN (dark and non-exudative), and DD (dark and dry) pork. Two extreme combination of CIEL* and M/T values resulting in PD – pale and dry, and DE – dark and exudative pork quality were not identified. Generally, in SM muscles the higher percentages of PN (LW : L = 13.6% : 12.0%), RN (LW : L = 34.6% : 33.7%), RD (LW : L = 8.6% : 2.4%) and DD (LW : L = 3.7% : 1.2%) pork, and the lower percentages of PE (LW : L = 16.0% : 18.1%), RE (LW : L = 18.5% : 26.5%) and DN (LW : L = 4.9% : 6.0%) pork were determined. In contrast, in LD muscles the lower percentages of PN (LW : L = 24.7% : 18.1%), RD (LW : L = 2.5% : 0.0%) and DD (LW : L = 1.2% : 1.2%) pork, and the higher percentage of PE (LW : L = 25.9% : 33.7%), RE (LW : L = 33.3% : 27.7%) and DN (LW : L = 4.9% : 9.6%) pork were determined. Overall, the percentages of PE, PN, RE, RN, RD, DN, and DD pork were 23.5, 10.7, 26.5, 27.7, 3.4, 6.4, and 1.8%, respectively.

With these seven categories of pork quality (Table 3) it is evident that although colour and WHC are significantly related (Table 2) their specific biochemical properties vary independently. This results are is in accordance with the conclusions of Bendall and Swatland (1988), van Laack et al. (1994), Joo et al. (1999) and Joo et al. (2000a 2000b).

Table 3. Incidence of different *M. semimembranosus* (SM) and *M. longissimus dorsi* (LD) technological quality class based on pH value, colour (CIEL*) and water-holding capacity (M/T value) of Large White (LW) and Landrace (L) pigs.

					Bree	p					
			F	_			_			All much	loc
					Muse	cle					ŝ
Parameter	Criterion	S	Σ	_	Q	SI	2	Ц	0		
						Incide	nce				
		Z	%	z	%	z	%	z	%	Z	%
pH _{4smin} post-mortem											
Pale, soft and exudative pork	pH _{45min} <6.00	12	14.8	23	28.4	20	24.1	23	27.7	78	23.8
Normal pork	pH _{45min} >6.00	69	85.2	58	71.6	63	75.9	60	72.3	250	76.2
pH _{24h} post-mortem											
Normal pork	pH _{24h} <6.00	70	86.4	69	85.2	73	88.0	70	84.3	282	86.0
Dry, firm and dark pork	pH _{24h} ≥6.00	11	13.6	12	14.8	10	12.0	13	15.7	46	14.0
Colour (CIEL *)											
Pale pork	CIE4 *>50	24	29.6	27	33.3	25	30.1	36	43.4	112	34.1
Reddish-pink pork	CIEL*=43-50	50	61.7	49	60.5	52	62.7	38	45.8	189	57.6
Dark pork	CIEL*<43	7	8.6	S	6.2	9	7.2	6	10.8	27	8.2
Water-holding capacity (M/T)											
Exudative pork	M/T<0.35	28	34.6	48	59.3	37	44.6	51	61.4	164	50.0
Non-exudative pork	M/T=0.35-0.45	43	53.1	30	37.0	43	51.8	31	37.3	147	44.8
Dry pork	M/T>0.45	10	12.3	ŝ	3.7	ŝ	3.6	1	1.2	17	5.2
Colour (CIEL*) and water-holding capacity (M/T)											
Pale and exudative pork (PE)	CIE <i>L</i> *>50 and M/T<0.35	13	16.0	21	25.9	15	18.1	28	33.7	77	23.5
Pale and non-exudative pork (PN)	CIEL*>50 and M/T=0.35–0.45	11	13.6	9	7.4	10	12.0	∞	9.6	35	10.7
Reddish-pink and exudative pork (RE)	CIE <i>L</i> *=43–50 and M/T<0.35	15	18.5	27	33.3	22	26.5	23	27.7	87	26.5
Reddish-pink and non-exudative pork (RN)	CIE <i>L</i> *=43–50 and M/T=0.35–0.45	28	34.6	20	24.7	28	33.7	15	18.1	91	27.7
Reddish-pink and dry pork (RD)	CIE <i>L</i> *=43–50 and M/T>0.45	7	8.6	2	2.5	2	2.4	0	0.0	11	3.4
Dark and non-exudative pork (DN)	CIE <i>L</i> *<43 and M/T=0.35–0.45	4	4.9	4	4.9	Ŋ	0.9	∞	9.6	21	6.4
Dark and dry pork (DD)	CIEL *<43 and M/T>0.45	3	3.7	1	1.2	1	1.2	1	1.2	9	1.8
M = area of the pressed meat film; T = wet area on the fi	ilter paper.										

AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD SCIENCE

V.M. Tomovic et al. (2014) 23: 9–18

The results of this study show high percentage of pale meat (PE + PN = 34.1%) and exudative meat (PE + RE = 50.0%), i.e. the high percentage of pale and/or exudative meat (PE + PN + RE = 60.7%) in SM and LD muscles (Table 3). Similar incidences of PSE, PFN and RSE pork were obtained in other studies (Kauffman et al. 1992, van Laack et al. 1994, Joo et al. 2000a, O'Neill et al. 2003, van de Perre et al. 2010). It has been estimated that as much as 50% or more of the pork produced has unacceptably high purge or drip loss (Kauffman et al. 1992, Huff-Lonergan and Lonergan 2005).

Composition of pork

No significant (p>0.05) interaction effect between breed and muscle was found for composition (Table 4). Also, moisture, protein, total fat and total ash content were neither affected by the breed nor by the type of muscle. The mean moisture content ranged from 75.63 (LD of L) to 75.72% (SM of L), and the mean protein content ranged from 21.62 (SM of L) to 21.79% (LD of LW). Furthermore, the mean total fat content ranged from 1.35 (SM of LW) to 1.48% (LD of L), and the mean total ash content ranged from 1.12 (LD of LW and L) to 1.14% (SM of LW). Composition of all investigated groups of SM and LD muscles analyzed in the present study were in agreement with the values reported for pork (Lawrie and Ledward 2006, Australia: Greenfield et al. 2009, Denmark: National Food Institute 2009, Finland: National Institute for Health and Welfare 2009, Italy: INRAN 2009, USA: The US Department of Agriculture's 2011). As expected (Table 5), in the present study, moisture content was significantly negatively correlated with protein (r=-0.74, for LW, p<0.001; r=-0.43, for L, p<0.001), total fat (r=-0.35, for LW, p<0.01; r=-0.38, for L, p<0.01) and total ash content (r=-0.44, for LW, p<0.001; r=-0.23, for L, p<0.01; r=-0.60, for L, p<0.001). According to Keeton and Eddy (2004) the content of moisture, protein and ash decrease with increasing amounts of fat in the tissues.

Table 4. Average (± SD) composition (%) of *M. semimembranosus* (SM) and *M. longissimus dorsi* (LD) of Large White (LW) and Landrace (L) pigs.

		Bre	ed			n-value			
Daramotor	Ľ	W	l	-		μ-\	Value		
Turumeter	Mu	scle	Mu	scle	Brood	Muscle	Brood y Musclo		
	SM	LD	SM	LD	bieeu	wiuscie			
Moisture	75.67±0.46	75.64±0.46	75.72±0.34	75.63±0.43	0.758	0.417	0.670		
Protein	21.74±0.38	21.79±0.49	21.62±0.43	21.70±0.56	0.177	0.364	0.827		
Total fat	1.35±0.29	1.39±0.28	1.40±0.39	1.48±0.50	0.219	0.355	0.740		
Total ash	1.14±0.09	1.12±0.10	1.13±0.10	1.12±0.09	0.629	0.294	0.990		

Table 5. Overall correlation coefficients (r) among pork composition of Large White (LW) and Landrace (L) pi	W) and Landrace (L) pigs.
--	---------------------------

		Breed – LW			Breed – L	
Parameter	Protein	Total fat	Total ash	Protein	Total fat	Total ash
Moisture	-0.74***	-0.35**	-0.44***	-0.43***	-0.38**	-0.23*
Protein		-0.30**	0.16		-0.60***	0.07
Total fat			0.09			0.10

*indicates significant difference at p<0.05; **indicates significant difference at p<0.01; ***indicates significant difference at p<0.001.

Conclusion

Investigating the effect of pig breed (Large White and Landrace) in combination with muscle (*M. semimembranosus* and *M. longissimus dorsi*) on technological quality and composition of pork, the following was concluded: (i) $T_{_{24h}}$ was significantly (*p*<0.001) influenced by breed, (ii) $T_{_{45min}}$, $T_{_{24h}}$, pH_{45min}, and WHC (M/T value) were significantly (*p*<0.01 or <0.001) influenced by the type of muscle, (iii) the pH values were not a reliable predictor for ultimate pork quality, (iv) based on the lightness (CIE*L** value) and M/T value (WHC) *M. semimembranosus* and *M. longissimus dorsi* were classified into seven quality classes: PE (pale and exudative, 23.5%), PN (pale and non-exudative, 10.7%), RE (reddish-pink and exudative, 26.5%), RN (reddish-pink and non-exudative, 27.7%), RD (reddish-pink and dry, 3.4%), DN (dark and non-exudative, 6.4%), and DD (dark and dry, 1.8%) pork, (v) composition was in the characteristic range for modern lean pigs.

Acknowledgments

Research was financially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development, Republic of Serbia, project TR31032. These results are also part of the project No 114-451-3464/2013 (Improvement of meat quality from indigenous and modern pig breeds produced in Vojvodina for the production of traditional dry fermented sausages and dry cured meat products), which is financially supported by the Provincial Secretariat for Science and Technological Development, Autonomous Province of Vojvodina, Republic of Serbia, and the project No 651-03-1251/2012-09/45 (Characterization of sensory and physicochemical attributes of protected traditional dry fermented meat products from Slovenia and Serbia) within the Serbien – Slovenia science technology cooperation for years 2012-2013.

References

Barbut, S., Sosnicki, A.A., Lonergan, S.M., Knapp, T., Ciobanu, D.C., Gatcliffe, L.J., Huff-Lonergan E. & Wilson, E.W. 2008. Progress in reducing the pale, soft and exudative (PSE) problem in pork and poultry meat. *Meat Science* 79: 46–63.

Bendall, J.R. & Swatland, H.J. 1988. A review of the relationships of pH with physical aspects of pork quality. Meat Science 24: 85–126.

Brewer, M.S., Zhu, L.G., Bidner, B., Meisinger D.J. & McKeith. F.K. 2001. Measuring pork color: effects of bloom time, muscle, pH and relationship to instrumental parameters. *Meat Science* 57: 169–176.

CIE. 1976. International Commission on Illumination, Colorimetry: Official Recommendation of the International Commission on Illumination, Publication CIE No. (E-1.31). Paris, France: Bureau Central de la CIE.

ECC No. 433/1964. Council Directive of 26 June 1964 on health conditions for the production and marketing of fresh meat.

Grau, R. & Hamm, R. 1953. Eine einfache Methode zur Bestimmung der Wasserbindung im Muskel. Naturwissenschaften 40: 29–30.

Greenfield, H., Arcot, J., Barnes, J.A., Cunningham, J., Adorno, P., Stobaus, T., Tume, R., Beilken S. & Muller, W. 2009. Nutrient composition of Australian retail pork cuts 2005/2006. *Food Chemistry* 117: 721–730.

Hofmann, K., Hamm, R. & Blüchel, E. 1982. Neues über die Bestimmung der Wasserbindung des Fleisches mit Hilfe der Filterpapierpressmethode. *Die Fleischwirtschaft* 62: 87–92.

Honikel, K.O. 1998. Reference methods for the assessment of physical characteristics of meat. Meat Science 49: 447–457.

Honikel, K.O. 1999. Biochemical and physico-chemical characteristics of meat quality. *Meat Technology* 40: 105–123.

Huff-Lonergan, E. & Lonergan, S.M. 2005. Mechanisms of water-holding capacity of meat: The role of postmortem biochemical and structural changes. *Meat Science* 71: 194–204.

INRAN (Istituto Nazionale di Ricerca per gli Alimenti e la Nutrizione). 2009. Banca Dati di Composizione degli Alimenti. Cited 9 September 2013. Updated 2009. Available on the Internet: http://www.inran.it/646/tabelle_di_composizione_degli_alimenti.html

ISO 1442 1997. Meat and meat products: Determination of moisture content (Reference method). International Organisation for Standardisation, Geneva, Switzerland.

ISO 1443 1973. Meat and meat products: Determination of total fat content. International Organisation for Standardisation, Geneva, Switzerland.

ISO 2917 1999. Meat and meat products: Measurement of pH (Reference method). International Organisation for Standardisation, Geneva, Switzerland.

ISO 936 1998. Meat and meat products: Determination of total ash. International Organisation for Standardisation, Geneva, Switzerland.

ISO 937 1978. Meat and meat products: Determination of nitrogen content (Reference method). International Organisation for Standardisation, Geneva, Switzerland.

Joo, S.T., Kauffman, R.G., Kim, B.C. & Park, G.B. 1999. The relationship of sarcoplasmic and myofibrillar protein solubility to colour and water-holding capacity in porcine longissimus muscle. *Meat Science* 52: 291–297.

AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD SCIENCE

Joo, S.T., Kauffman, R.G., Warner, R.D., Borggaard, C., Stevenson-Barry, J.M., Lee, S., Park, G.B. & Kim, B.C. 2000a. Objectively predicting ultimate quality of post-rigor pork musculature: I. Initial comparison of techniques. *Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 13: 68–76.

Joo, S.T., Kauffman, R.G., Warner, R.D., Borggaard, C., Stevenson-Barry, J.M., Rhee, M.S., Park, B.S. & Kim, B.C. 2000b. Objectively predicting ultimate quality of post-rigor pork musculature: II. Practical classification method on the cutting-line. *Asian Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences* 13: 77–85.

Kauffman, R.G., Cassens, R.G., Scherer, A. & Meeker, D.L. 1992. Variation in pork quality. Des Moines, Iowa, USA: National Pork Producers Council Publication.

Keeton, J.T. & Eddy, S. 2004. Chemical and physical characteristics of meat/Chemical composition. In: Jensen, W. K., Carrick, D. & Dikeman, M. (eds.) *Encyclopedia of meat sciences*. Oxford, UK: Elsevier Ltd. p. 210–218.

Lawrie, R.A. & Ledward, D.A. 2006. Lawrie's meat science. 7th ed. Cambridge, England: Woodhead Publishing Ltd. & CRC Press LLC.

Lee, S., Norman, J.M., Gunasekaran, S., van Laack, R.L.J.M., Kim, B.C. & Kauffman, R.G. 2000. Use of electrical conductivity to predict water-holding capacity in postrigor pork. *Meat Science* 55: 385–389.

National Food Institute Denmark. Technical University of Denmark 2009. http://www.foodcomp.dk/v7/fcdb_grpsearchres.asp?-MainGrp=07. Accesed 9 September 2013.

National Institute for Health and Welfare. 2011. *Fineli – Finnish Food Composition Database*. http://www.fineli.fi/index.php?lang=en. Accessed 9 September 2013.

Olsson, V. & Pickova, J. 2005. The influence of production systems on meat quality, with emphasis on pork. Ambio 34: 338–343.

O'Neill, D.J., Lynch, P.B., Troy, D.J., Buckley, D.J. & Kerry, J.P. 2003. Influence of the time of year on the incidence of PSE and DFD in Irish pigmeat. *Meat Science* 64: 105–111.

Rosenvold, K. & Andersen, H.J. 2003. Factors of significance for pork quality – A review. Meat Science 64: 219–237.

Serbian Regulation 2011. Pravilnik o veterinarsko-sanitarnim uslovima, odnosno opštim i posebnim uslovima za higijenu hrane životinjskog porekla, kao i o uslovima higijene hrane životinjskog porekla. *Sl. glasnik RS* 11. (in Serbian).

Sevón-Aimonen, M.-L., Honkavaara, M., Serenius, T., Mäki-Tanila, A., Puonti, M. 2007. Genetic variation of loin and ham quality in Finnish Landrace and Large White pigs. *Agricultural and Food Science* 16: 89–102.

Smulders, F.J.M., Toldra, F., Flores, J. & Prieto, M.1992. New technologies for meat and meat products. Utrecht, The Netherlands: Audet Tijdschriften.

StatSoft, Inc. 2011. STATISTICA (data analysis software system). Version 10. Cited 9 September 2013. Updated 2013. Available on the Internet: http://www.statsoft.com/

Swatland, H.J. 1995. Near-infrared birefringence and transmittance of pork in relation to pH, sarcomere length, cold-shortening, and causes of paleness. *Food Research International* 28: 153–159.

The Danish Standard. 2007. Danish Quality Guarantee. 1st ed. Copenhagen, Denmark: Danish Meat Association.

The US Department of Agriculture's. 2011. Nutrient Data Laboratory. http://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ Accessed 9 September 2013.

Tomović, V.M., Jokanović, M.R., Petrović, Lj.S., Tomović, M.S., Tasić, T.A., Ikonić, P.M., Šumić, Z.M., Šojić, B.V., Škaljac S.B. & Šošo, M.M. 2013. Sensory, physical and chemical characteristics of cooked ham manufactured from rapidly chilled and earlier deboned *M. semimembranosus. Meat Science* 93: 46–52.

Tomović, V.M., Petrović, Lj.S. & Džinić, N.R. 2008. Effects of rapid chilling of carcasses and time of deboning on weight loss and technological quality of pork semimembranosus muscle. *Meat Science* 80: 1188–1193.

Tomović, V.M., Petrović, Lj.S., Tomović, M.S., Kevrešan, Ž.S. & Džinić, N.R. 2011. Determination of mineral contents of semimembranosus muscle and liver from pure and crossbred pigs in Vojvodina (northern Serbia). *Food Chemistry* 124: 342–348.

UNECE (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe) standard. 2008. Porcine meat – carcases and cuts. 2006 ed. New York and Geneva: United Nations Publication.

van de Perre, V., Ceustermans, A., Leyten, J. & Geers, R. 2010. The prevalence of PSE characteristics in pork and cooked ham – Effects of season and lairage time. *Meat Science* 86: 391–397.

van Laack, R.L.J.M., Kauffman, R.G., Sybesma, W., Smulders, F.J.M., Eikelenboom, G. & Pinheiro, J.C. 1994. Is colour brightness (L-value) a reliable indicator of water-holding capacity in porcine muscle? *Meat Science* 38: 193–201.

van Oeckel, M.J., Warnants, N. & Boucqué, C.V. 1999. Comparison of different methods for measuring water holding capacity and juiciness of pork versus on-line screening methods. *Meat Science* 51: 313–320.

Warner, R.D., Kauffman, R.G. & Greaser, M.L. 1997. Muscle protein changes *post mortem* in relation to pork quality traits. *Meat Science* 45: 339–352.