Science

10 NOVEMBER 1989 Volume 246 NUMBER 4931

American Association for the Advancement of Science Science serves its readers as a forum for the presentation and discussion of important issues related to the advancement of science, including the presentation of minority or conflicting points of view, rather than by publishing only material

on which a consensus has been reached. Accordingly, all articles published in Science—including editorials, news and comment, and book reviews—are signed and reflect the individual views of the authors and not official points of view adopted by the AAAS or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated

Publisher: Richard S. Nicholson Editor: Daniel E. Koshland, Jr. News Editor: Ellis Rubinstein Managing Editor: Patricia A. Morgan

Deputy Editors: Philip H. Abelson (Engineering and Applied

Sciences); John I. Brauman (Physical Sciences)

EDITORIAL STAFF

Assistant Managing Editor: Monica M. Bradford Senior Editor: Eleanore Butz

Associate Editors: Keith W. Brocklehurst, Martha Coleman, R. Brooks Hanson, Barbara Jasny, Katrina L. Kelner, Edith Meyers, Linda J. Miller, Phillip D. Szuromi, David F. Voss Letters Editor: Christine Gilbert

Book Reviews: Katherine Livingston, editor; Susan Milius Contributing Editor: Lawrence I. Grossman

Chief Production Editor: Ellen E. Murphy

Editing Department: Lois Schmitt, head; Mary McDaniel, Patricia L. Moe, Barbara P. Ordway

Copy Desk: Joi S. Granger, Margaret E. Gray, MaryBeth

Shartle, Beverly Shields Production Manager: James Landry

Assistant Production Manager: Kathleen C. Fishback Art Director: Yolanda M. Rook

Graphics and Production: Holly Bishop, Julie Cherry,

Catherine S. Siskos

Systems Analyst: William Carter

NEWS STAFF

Correspondent-at-Large: Barbara J. Culliton

Deputy News Editor: Colin Norman

News and Comment/Research News: Mark H. Crawford, Constance Holden, Richard A. Kerr, Eliot Marshall, Jean L Marx, Joseph Palca, Robert Pool, Leslie Roberts, Marjorie

Sun, M. Mitchell Waldrop

European Correspondent: Jeremy Cherfas West Coast Correspondent: Marcia Barinaga

BUSINESS STAFF

Circulation Director: John G. Colson

Fulfillment Manager: Marlene Zendell Business Staff Manager: Deborah Rivera-Wienhold

Single Copies Manager: Ann Ragland

Classified Advertising Supervisor: Amie Charlene King

ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVES

Director: Earl J. Scherago **Traffic Manager:** Donna Rivera

Traffic Manager (Recruitment): Gwen Canter Advertising Sales Manager: Richard L. Charles Marketing Manager: Herbert L. Burklund

Employment Sales Manager: Edward C. Keller Sales: New York, NY 10036; J. Kevin Henebry, 1515 Broadway (212-730-1050); Scotch Plains, NJ 07076: C. Richard Callis, 12 Unami Lane (201-889--4873); Chicago, IL 60914; Jack Ryan, 525 W. Higgins Rd. (312-885-8675); San Jose, CA 95112: Bob Brindley, 310 S. 16th St. (408-998-4690); Dorset, VT 05251: Fred W. Dieffenbach, Kent Hill Rd. (802-867-5581); Damascus, MD 20872: Rick Sommer, 11318 Kings Valley Dr (301-972-9270); U.K., Europe: Nick Jones, +44(0647)52918; Telex 42513; FAX (0647) 52053.

Information for contributors appears on page XI of the 29 September 1989 issue. Editorial correspondence, including requests for permission to reprint and reprint orders, should be sent to 1333 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005. Telephone: 202-326-6500. **Advertising correspondence** should be sent to Tenth Floor, 1515 Broadway, New York, NY 10036 Telephone 212-730-1050 or WU Telex 968082 SCHERAGO, or FAX 212-382-3725

A Question of Information Policy

wo of the nation's premier libraries, the Library of Congress and the National Library of Medicine, may be growing disenchanted with their altruistic images. More likely, implicitly being asked to assume an unfair share of the federal deficit, they are looking for solutions to their own budget crunch. Encouraged by Congress to reduce operating costs, the Library of Congress announced that on 1 January 1990 it would begin charging licensing fees and imposing restrictions on some of the reuse of bibliographic records distributed to libraries and library utilities. The fees would be in addition to subscription fees currently charged to recover costs of reproduction and distribution. Librarian of Congress James Billington says he is tired of everybody making money off Library of Congress efforts except the Library of Congress. The National Library of Medicine has also announced plans to implement restrictions and licensing fees.

The response by the library community was swift and uniformly negative: the community had problems, not only with the licensing philosophy, but also with interpreting the proposed licensing agreement which, as written, did not capture the Library of Congress intentions for implementation. The Library of Congress has since announced that implementation of a licensing policy will be delayed until an evaluation can take place. The library and academic communities will thus have an opportunity to discuss policy questions, an opportunity that should have been provided prior to issuing the conditions of the agreement.

Many questions come to mind. Is it ethical and legal for the Library of Congress and the National Library of Medicine to charge costs over and above reproduction costs for bibliographic records created by government employees at libraries funded by tax receipts? Since the government subsidizes many libraries, is this not largely a case of taking funds out of the left pocket to put in the right? And, if already financially strapped academic, research, and university libraries are required to use more of their budgets to purchase machinereadable records for their electronic catalogs, will not other aspects of our libraries, such as collection development and patron services, suffer? Through a variety of cooperative programs libraries other than the Library of Congress have contributed records to the files that would be licensed. Should not these partner libraries have some influence on any potential licensing arrangement for their records?

The planned charges and restrictions seem to challenge the intentions of statutory and constitutional provisions that shape U.S. federal government information policy—the First Amendment to the Constitution, the Freedom of Information Act, the Privacy Act of 1974, the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, and section 105 of the Copyright Act.

It also seems that the scientific research community will be disadvantaged. With our libraries paying additional fees, there will be fewer already scarce dollars to purchase materials and fewer funds to provide access to materials not held by particular libraries.

Although the Library of Congress and the National Library of Medicine provide highly respected cataloging information, these libraries do not have wide distribution mechanisms in place. That task falls to nonprofit bibliographic utilities such as the Online Computer Library Center (OCLC) and the Research Libraries Information Network. These utilities add value to the Library of Congress and National Library of Medicine records as they are shared with libraries. OCLC has estimated the proposed agreement from the Library of Congress could cost an additional \$500,000 to \$6 million a year, most of which would have to be passed on to member libraries. The fees required by the National Library of Medicine will result in significant increases in the prices of compact disc databases containing library

Perhaps a reexamination of the missions of these great libraries is in order. The Library of Congress serves Congress, the American people, and their libraries. It exists to make its resources maximally accessible and to facilitate and celebrate free intellectual creativity by all people on all subjects. The National Library of Medicine's purpose is to assist the advancement of medical and related sciences and to aid the dissemination and exchange of scientific and other information important to the progress of medicine. These are noble objectives. Let's hope they are not forgotten.—RICHARD C. ATKINSON, Chancellor, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093

10 NOVEMBER 1989 EDITORIAL 733



A question of information policy

RC Atkinson

Science **246** (4931), 733. DOI: 10.1126/science.2814490

ARTICLE TOOLS http://science.sciencemag.org/content/246/4931/733.citation

PERMISSIONS http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions

Use of this article is subject to the Terms of Service