

www.csiro.a

Evaluating how food webs and the fisheries they support are affected by fishing closures in temperate Western Australia

IPFC & ASFB 2009 Conference

Neil Loneragan Russ Babcock Hector Lozano







Motivation

Rationale:

Explore the ecosystem impacts of fishing



Goal:

 Evaluate how food webs and the fisheries they support are likely to be influenced by fishing closures

 Investigate how changes in abundance of key fished species (e.g. rock lobster, snapper, dhufish) are likely to influence other species

Applications:

- Dynamics of target species
- Commercial vs Recreational fishing
- Climate variability scenarios
- Provide useful ecosystem indicators

Ecopath model : 2006



Functional groups

- Fish = 24
- Special interest = 10
- Invertebrates = 19
- Primary producers = 11
- Zooplankton = 4
- Non-Fish = 5
- Non-Living = 7

Iconic/special interest Pink snapper Dhufish Baldchin grouper Breaksea cod Foxfish King wrasse 4 stages of rock lobster

Ecopath & Ecosim core equations:

1) Mass-balance (within groups):

$$B_{i} \cdot (P/B)_{i} = Y_{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j} \cdot (Q/B)_{j} \cdot DC_{ji} + E_{i} + BA_{i} + BA_{i} + B_{i}(P/B)_{i} \cdot (1 - EE_{i})$$

Production = Yield + Predation + Biomass Acc. + Migration

2) Conservation of energy (between groups):

$$B \cdot (Q/B) = B \cdot (P/B) - (1 - GS) \cdot Q - (1 - TM) \cdot P + B \cdot (Q/B) \cdot GS$$

Consumption = Production + Respiration + Unassimilated food

3) Biomass dynamics:



 $H_i + I_i - (M_i + F_i + e_i)B_i$

Model Calibration: Wester Rock Lobster (data from Department of Fisheries, WA)



Results: trophic role of *Ecklonia* (kelp)





Ecklonia sp (kelp)

• *Ecklonia*, seagrasses and macroalgal assemblages are the major sources of habitat and food for marine invertebrates and fish.

• *Ecklonia* provided substrata for food (epiphytes and epifauna) and shelter from predators.

Ecosim scenario: Reduction of F by 50% over 20 years (2.5% year⁻¹) of dhufish and pink snapper



Ecosim scenario: Reduction of F by 50% over 20 years (2.5% year⁻¹) of dhufish and pink snapper



Ecosim scenario: Reduction of F by 50% over 20 years (2.5% year⁻¹) of dhufish and pink snapper



Proportion of change after 20 years

Ecospace: Spatial modelling of Jurien Bay



Scenario 1: ~10% of the park

Scenario 2: No fishing closures

Scenario 3: Fishing closures up to 30%



Ecopath models are like cubism art....abstract, ambiguous, with random angles, but (probably/possibly) realistic.



Picasso, 1913

Thank you

CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research HECTOR LOZANO-MONTES

Phone: +61 8 9333 6520 Email: hector.lozano-montes@csiro.au Web: www.cmar.csiro.au







Scenario: Reduction of F by 50% (2.5% year⁻¹) of dhufish, pink snapper & baldchin grouper



(

Model's Performance: Mortalities



Sensitivity Analysis - (change biomass of each living group)



 Index of Sensitivity = the number of groups affected (± 30%) by 50% of biomass for each group.

 The Ecopath model is relatively insensitive to parameter values for most living groups (only 34 groups produced Index sensitivity >10).

• Changes in parameters of Dead carcasses and sediment detritus exert the greatest influence (living groups) in the system.

• The importance/sensitivity of the model to sediment detritus emphasises the ultimate desirability of developing Atlantis type model.

- Jurien Bay is a relatively complex ecosystem.
- Medium/High productive system. More energy produced than respired within the system (*Primary Production/Respiration* = 1.23).
- Dynamic system. There is a low-medium level of biomass accumulation (*Primary Production/ Biomass= 1.68*).
- Low rates of cycling (*proportion of flows originated from detritus ~ 10%*)
- Ecosystem dominated by the benthic community (*Ratio of biomass benthic/pelagic groups* = 1.27).
- Ecosystem function related to *Bottom-up control*, but wasp-waist predator-prey and top-down interactions were identified.
- This ecosystem could be considered in an Intermediate-Low development stage, dominated by lower trophic levels. (*overall network analysis results*).

Trophic structure and fisheries

- Mean trophic level of the catch = 2.96
- Gross Efficiency (Catch/Primary Production) = 0.00041
- Total Catch = $0.68 t/km^2$ (560 tonnes taken within the park in 2005, where almost 90% was removed by commercial fishing)



Jurien Bay Marine Park

Some of these attributes could be used as 'indicators' to identify overfishing in the future.



Outline: Results from Ecosim

Ecosim

• Scenario evaluation:

Workshop November, 2007: Participants + Steering group

Scenario	Species	Fishing effort (%) year ⁻¹ Commercial (C)	Recreational (R)	Duration (years)	Rationale
1	Lobster	↓ 2.5	↓2.5	20	Ecological role
2	Lobster	↑2.5	↑2.5	20	Ecological role
3	Pink snapper	↑15	↑15	20	Ecological role
4	Pink snapper	↓ 2.5	↓2.5	20	Ecological role
5	All	↓ 2.5	↓2.5	20	Impact of fisheries and closure areas
6	All	Close	↓2.5	20	Impact of fisheries and closure areas





F 10 15



Press F1 for help (open a model first)



























Energy flow in Jurien Bay

Total system flow was 22,067 ton km⁻² year⁻¹

Internal consumption 56%

Respiration 25% Detritus 10% Export 11%