Serveur Académique Lausannois SERVAL serval.unil.ch

Author Manuscript Faculty of Biology and Medicine Publication

This paper has been peer-reviewed but dos not include the final publisher proof-corrections or journal pagination.

Published in final edited form as:

Title: Genetic variation in GIPR influences the glucose and insulin responses to an oral glucose challenge.

Authors: Saxena R, Hivert MF, Langenberg C, Tanaka T, Pankow JS, Vollenweider P, Lyssenko V, Bouatia-Naji N, Dupuis J, Jackson AU, Kao WH, Li M, Glazer NL, Manning AK, Luan J, Stringham HM, Prokopenko I, Johnson T, Grarup N, Boesgaard TW, Lecoeur C, Shrader P, O'Connell J, Ingelsson E, Couper DJ, Rice K, Song K, Andreasen CH, Dina C, Köttgen A, Le Bacquer O, Pattou F, Taneera J, Steinthorsdottir V, Rybin D, Ardlie K, Sampson M, Qi L, van Hoek M, Weedon MN, Aulchenko YS, Voight BF, Grallert H, Balkau B, Bergman RN, Bielinski SJ, Bonnefond A, Bonnycastle LL, Borch-Johnsen K, Böttcher Y, Brunner E, Buchanan TA, Bumpstead SJ, Cavalcanti-Proença C, Charpentier G, Chen YD, Chines PS, Collins FS, Cornelis M, J Crawford G, Delplanque J, Doney A, Egan JM, Erdos MR, Firmann M, Forouhi NG, Fox CS, Goodarzi MO, Graessler J, Hingorani A, Isomaa B, Jørgensen T, Kivimaki M, Kovacs P,

In the absence of a copyright statement, users should assume that standard copyright protection applies, unless the article contains an explicit statement to the contrary. In case of doubt, contact the journal publisher to verify the copyright status of an article.



JNIL | Université de Lausanne Faculté de biologie et de médecine



NIH Public Access

Author Manuscript

Published in final edited form as:

Nat Genet. 2010 February ; 42(2): 142–148. doi:10.1038/ng.521.

Genetic variation in GIPR influences the glucose and insulin responses to an oral glucose challenge

Richa Saxena^{1,2,99}, Marie-France Hivert^{3,4,99}, Claudia Langenberg⁵, Toshiko Tanaka^{6,7}, James S Pankow⁸, Peter Vollenweider⁹, Valeriya Lyssenko¹⁰, Nabila Bouatia-Naji¹¹, Josée Dupuis¹², Anne U Jackson¹³, W H Linda Kao^{14,15,16}, Man Li¹⁴, Nicole L Glazer¹⁷, Alisa K Manning¹², Jian'an Luan⁵, Heather M Stringham¹³, Inga Prokopenko^{18,19}, Toby Johnson²⁰, Niels Grarup²¹, Trine W Boesgaard²¹, Cécile Lecoeur¹¹, Peter Shrader³, Jeffrey O'Connell²², Erik Ingelsson^{23,24}, David J Couper²⁵, Kenneth Rice²⁶, Kijoung Song²⁷, Camilla H Andreasen²¹, Christian Dina¹¹, Anna Köttgen¹⁴, Olivier Le Bacquer¹¹,

Note: Supplementary information is available on the Nature Genetics website.

Project design, management and coordination: (Amish) B.D.M., A.R.S.; (ARIC) J.S.P., W.H.L.K., S.J. Bielinski, E. Boerwinkle; (BLSA) A. Singleton, L.F.; (BotniaPPP) L.G., T. Tuomi., B.I.; (CHS) N.L.G., K.R., N.L.S., B.M.P., J.I.R.; (Colaus) P.V., M.F., V. Mayor, G.W., D.M.W., V. Mooser; (Danish) K.B.J., A.S., T. Jørgensen, T.L., T.H., O.P.; (DIAGEN) P. Schwartz, S.R.B.; (DGI) R. Saxena, D.A., L.G.; (Ely) C. Langenberg, N.J.W.; (Fenland) C. Langenberg, N.G.F., R.J.F.L., N.J.W.; (FHS) J.D., J.B.M.; (French) N.B.-N., P.F.; (FUSION) R.N.B., F.S.C., K.L.M., L.J.S., J. Tuomilehto, M.B., R.M.W.; (Hertfordshire) A.A.S., H.S., C.C.; (METSIM) J.K., M.La.; (MPP) P.N.; (Partners/Roche) J.B.M., D.M.N., G.H.W.; (RISC) M.W., L.P.; (Sorbs) A.T., M.S.; (ULSAM) E.I.; (Whitehall II) E. Brunner, A.H., M. Kivimaki, M. Kumari, M.M.

Sample collection and phenotyping: (Amish) A.R.S.; (BLSA) J.M.E.; (BotniaPPP) L.G., V.L., B.I., T. Tuomi; (CHS) B.M.P., D.S.S. N.L.S.; (CoLaus) P.V., G.W.; (Danish) T.W.B., K.B.J, A.S., T. Jørgensen, T.L., T.H., O.P.; (DIAGEN) J.G., P. Schwartz; (DGI) L.G., V.L., B.I., T. Tuomi; (Ely) N.J.W.; (Fenland) N.G.F., R.J.F.L., N.J.W.; (French) P.F., D.M., B.B., C.L.-M., G.C., F. Pattou; (FHS) J.B.M., C.S.F.; (FUSION) R.N.B., T.A.B., J. Tuomilehto, T.T.V.; (Hertfordshire) A.A.S., H.S., C.C.; (METSIM) J.K., M. Laakso; (Partners/Roche) J.B.M., D.M.N., G.H.W.; (Sorbs) P.K., A.T.; (Whitehall II) E. Brunner, M. Kumari, M.M.

Genotyping: (Amish) R.P.; (ARIC) E. Boerwinkle.; (BLSA) A. Singleton; (BotniaPPP) G.J.C.; (CHS) Y.-D.I.C., M.O.G., J.I.R.; (CoLaus) V. Mooser, D.M.W.; (Danish) T.H., T.S., C.H.A., N.G., O.P.; (DGI) D.A., V.L., R. Saxena; (DIAGEN) D.P., A.J.S.; (Ely) I.B., S.J. Bumpstead, F. Payne, N.J.W.; (Fenland) R.J.F.L., N.J.W.; (FHS) J.C.F., J.B.M.; (French) N.B.-N., J.D., R. Sladek, D.M., A.W.; (FUSION) L.L.B., M.R.E., P.S.C.; (FUSION stage 2) P.S.C., A.J.S.; (Hertfordshire) I.B., S.J. Bumpstead, F. Payne, N.J.W.; (METSIM) M.A.M., N.N.; (Partners/Roche) J.C.F., J.B.M.; (Sorbs) Y.B., P.K., K.K.; (ULSAM) A.-C.S.; (Whitehall II) M. Kumari, C. Langenberg, N.J.W.

Statistical analysis: (Meta-analyses) R. Saxena, J.D., D.R., W.H.L.K., A.U.J.; (Amish) J.O.; (ARIC) W.H.L.K., M.L., A.K., D.J.C.; (BLSA) T. Tanaka; (BotniaPPP) V.L.; (CHS) N.L.G., K.R.; (CoLaus) T. Johnson, K. Song; (Danish) T.S., C.H.A., T.W.B., N.G.; (DGI) R. Saxena; (Ely) C. Langenberg, S.J.S.; (Fenland) C. Langenberg, J.L., J.H.Z.; (French) N.-B.N, C. Lecoeur, C.C-P., A.B., C.D.; (FHS) J.D., A.K.M., D.R., P. Shrader; (FUSION) A.U.J., H.M.S.; (FUSION stage 2) A.U.J., H.M.S.; (Hertfordshire) C. Langenberg, S.J.S.; (Partners/Roche) P.Shr.; (RISC) C. Langenberg, S.J.S.; (Sorbs) I.P.; (ULSAM) E.I.; (Whitehall II) C. Langenberg. Expression analysis: (Malmo) J. Taneera, V.L., L.G; (French) N.B.-N., O.L.B., F. Patou, P.F.

Type 2 Diabetes association: (DGI) D.A., L.G., R. Saxena, B.F.V., K.A.; (deCODE) V.S., G.T., U.T., K. Stefansson; (EUROSPAN) Y.S.A., J.F.W., M.v.H., E.S., C.v.D.; (French) N.B.-N., J. Deplanque, C. Lecoeur, G.C., P.F.; (Addition-Ely) C. Langenberg, F. Payne, S.J. Bumpstead, I.B., N.J.W.; (Norfolk Diabetes Case-Control Study) C. Langenberg, F. Payne, S.J. Bumpstead, I.B., M.S., N.J.W.; (Cambridgeshire Case-Control Study) C. Langenberg, F. Payne, S.J. Bumpstead, I.B., N.J.W.; (KORA) H.G., W.R., T.I., H.E.W.; (MPP) P.N., V.L., L.G.; (NHS/HPFS) F.B.H. L.Q., M.C.C.; (UKT2D/58BC/OXGN) A.D., C.N.A.P., A.T.H., A.D.M.; T.M.F., M.I.M.; (WTCCC-UKT2D) M.N.W., E.Z.

COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT

The authors declare competing financial interests: details accompany the full-text HTML version of the paper at http://www.nature.com/naturegenetics/.

Reprints and permissions information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/.

⁷⁹Full membership list of the GIANT consortium is provided in the Supplementary Note.

^{© 2010} Nature America, Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence should be addressed to R.M.W. (rwatanab@usc.edu), N.J.W. (nick.wareham@mrc-epid.cam.ac.uk), L.G. (Leif.Groop@med.lu.se) or J.M. (jmeigs@partners.org). ⁹⁹These authors contributed equally to this work.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Writing group: R. Saxena, M.-F.H., C. Langenberg., T. Tanaka, J.S.P., P.V., V.L., N.B.-N., J.C.F., M.I.M., M.B., I.B., R. Sladek, P.F., J.B.M., L.G., N.J.W., R.M.W.

François Pattou^{28,29}, Jalal Taneera¹⁰, Valgerdur Steinthorsdottir³⁰, Denis Rybin³¹, Kristin Ardlie³², Michael Sampson³³, Lu Qi³⁴, Mandy van Hoek³⁵, Michael N Weedon³⁶, Yurii S Aulchenko³⁷, Benjamin F Voight^{1,2}, Harald Grallert³⁸, Beverley Balkau³⁹, Richard N Bergman⁴⁰, Suzette J Bielinski⁴¹, Amelie Bonnefond¹¹, Lori L Bonnycastle⁴², Knut Borch-Johnsen⁴³, Yvonne Böttcher⁴⁴, Eric Brunner⁴⁵, Thomas A Buchana^{40,46}, Suzannah J Bumpstead⁴⁷, Christine Cavalcanti-Proenca¹¹, Guillaume Charpentier⁴⁸, Yii-Der Ida Chen⁴⁹, Peter S Chines⁴², Francis S Collins⁴², Marilyn Cornelis³⁴, Gabriel J Crawford¹, Jerome Delplanque¹¹, Alex Doney⁵⁰, Josephine M Egan⁵¹, Michael R Erdos⁴², Mathieu Firmann⁹, Nita G Forouhi⁵, Caroline S Fox^{52,53}, Mark O Goodarzi⁴⁹, Jürgen Graessler⁵⁴, Aroon Hingorani⁴⁵, Bo Isomas^{55,56}, Torben Jørgensen^{57,58}, Mika Kivimaki⁴⁵, Peter Kovacs⁹, Knut Krohn⁵⁹, Meena Kumari⁴⁵, Torsten Lauritzen⁶⁰, Claire Lévy-Marchal^{61,62}, Vladimir Mayor⁹, Jarred B McAteer^{1,2,63}, David Meyre¹¹, Braxton D Mitchell²², Karen L Mohlke⁶⁴, Mario A Morken⁴², Narisu Narisu⁴², Colin N A Palmer⁶⁵, Ruth Pakyz²², Laura Pasce⁶⁶, Felicity Payne⁴⁷, Daniel Pearson⁴², Wolfgang Rathmann⁶⁷, Annelli Sandbaek⁶⁰, Avan Aihie Sayer⁶⁸, Laura J Scott¹³, Stephen J Sharp⁵, Fric Sijbrands⁶⁹, Andrew Singleton⁷⁰, David S Siscovick⁷¹, Nicholas L Smith^{72,73}, Thomas Sparsø²¹, Amy J Swift⁴², Holly Syddall⁶⁸, Gudmar Thorleifsson³⁰, Anke Tönjes^{44,74}, Tiinamaija Tuomi^{55,75}, Jaakko Tuomilehto^{75,76}, Timo T Valle⁷⁷, Gérard Waeber⁹, Andrew Walley⁷⁸, Dawn M Waterworth²⁷, Eleftheria Zeggini⁴⁷, Jing Hua Zhao⁵, GIANT consortium⁷⁹, Thomas Illig³⁸, H Erich Wichmann^{38,80,81}, James F Wilson⁸², Cornelia van Duijn⁶⁹, Frank B Hu^{34,83}, Andrew D Morris⁶⁵, Timothy M Frayling^{84,85}, Andrew T Hattersley^{84,85}, Unnur Thorsteinsdottir^{30,86}, Kari Stefansson^{30,86}, Peter Nilsson⁸⁷, Ann-Christine Syzänen⁸⁸, Alan

¹Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute of Harvard and Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA. ²Center for Human Genetic Research, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. ³General Medicine Division, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. ⁴Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. ⁵Medical Research Council Epidemiology Unit, Institute of Metabolic Science, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, UK. ⁶Medstar Research Institute, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. ⁷Clinical Research Branch, National Institute on Aging, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. 8 Division of Epidemiology and Community Health, School of Public Health, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA. ⁹Department of Internal Medicine, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, Lausanne, Switzerland. ¹⁰Department of Clinical Sciences, Diabetes and Endocrinology, Lund University, University Hospital Malmo, Malmo, Sweden. ¹¹Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique–Unité Mixte de Recherche 8090, Pasteur Institute, Lille 2-Droit et Santé University, Lille, France. ¹²Department of Biostatistics, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. ¹³Center for Statistical Genetics, Department of Biostatistics, University of Michigan School of Public Health, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA. ¹⁴Department of Epidemiology, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. ¹⁵Department of Medicine, School of Medicine and Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. ¹⁶The Welch Center for Prevention, Epidemiology and Clinical Research, School of Medicine and Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. ¹⁷Cardiovascular Health Research Unit and Department of Medicine, University of Washington,

Seattle, Washington, USA. ¹⁸Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ¹⁹Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK. ²⁰Department of Medical Genetics, University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. ²¹Hagedorn Research Institute, Gentofte, Denmark. ²²Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Nutrition, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. ²³Department of Medical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden, ²⁴Department of Public Health and Caring Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. ²⁵Collaborative Studies Coordinating Center, Department of Biostatistics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA. ²⁶Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA. ²⁷Genetics, Drug Discovery, GlaxoSmith Kline, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania, USA. ²⁸Institut National de la Santé et de la recherche médicale (INSERM), Universite de Lille-Nord de France, Lille, France.²⁹Centre Hospitalier Regional et Universitaire de Lille, Lille, France. ³⁰deCODE Genetics, Reykjavik, Iceland. ³¹Boston University Data Coordinating Center, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. ³²Broad Institute of Harvard and Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA. ³³Department of Endocrinology and Diabetes, Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital National Health Service Trust, Norwich, UK. ³⁴Departments of Nutrition and Epidemiology, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. ³⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ³⁶Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK. ³⁷Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. ³⁸Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum Muenchen, Neuherberg, Germany. ³⁹INSERM, Villejuif, University Paris-Sud, Orsay, France. ⁴⁰Department of Physiology and Biophysics, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA. ⁴¹Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Rochester, Minnesota, USA. ⁴²Genome Technology Branch, National Human Genome Research Institute, Bethesda, Maryland, USA. ⁴³Steno Diabetes Center, Gentofte, Copenhagen, Denmark, and Faculty of Health Science, University of Aarhus, Aarhus, Denmark. ⁴⁴Department of Medicine, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany. ⁴⁵Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, UK, ⁴⁶Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA. ⁴⁷Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridge, UK. ⁴⁸Endocrinology-Diabetology Unit, Corbeil-Essonnes Hospital, Essonnes, France. ⁴⁹Medical Genetics Institute, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California, USA. ⁵⁰Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, UK. ⁵¹Laboratory of Clinical Investigation, National Institute of Aging, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. ⁵²Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Hypertension, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. ⁵³The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's Framingham Heart Study, Framingham, Massachusetts, USA. ⁵⁴Department of Medicine III, Division Prevention and Care of Diabetes, University of Dresden, Dresden, Germany. ⁵⁵Folkhalsan Research Centre, Helsinki, Finland. ⁵⁶Malmska Municipal Health Care Center and Hospital. Jakobstad. Finland. ⁵⁷Research Centre for Prevention and Health, Glostrup University Hospital, Glostrup, Denmark. ⁵⁸Faculty of Health Science, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark. ⁵⁹Interdisciplinary Centre for Clinical Research, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany. ⁶⁰Department of General Practice, University of Aarhus, Aarhus, Denmark. ⁶¹INSERM, Robert Debre Hospital, Paris, France. ⁶²Paris Diderot University, Paris, France. ⁶³Diabetes Research Center, Diabetes Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA. ⁶⁴Department of Genetics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA. 65 Biomedical Research Institute, University of Dundee, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, UK. ⁶⁶Institute of Cellular Medicine, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Framlington Place, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. ⁶⁷Institute of Biometrics and Epidemiology, German Diabetes Centre, Duesseldorf, Germany. ⁶⁸MRC Epidemiology Resource Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton General Hospital, Southampton, UK.

⁶⁹Department of Internal Medicine, Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, ⁷⁰Laboratory of Neurogenetics, National Institute on Aging, Bethesda, Maryland, USA. ⁷¹Departments of Medicine and Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA. ⁷²Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA. ⁷³Epidemiologic Research and Information Center of the Department of Veterans Affairs Office of Research and Development, Seattle, Washington, USA. 74Coordination Centre for Clinical Trials. University of Leipzig. Leipzig. Germany. ⁷⁵Department of Medicine. Helsinki University Hospital, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland. ⁷⁶Diabetes Unit, Department of Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention. National Public Health Institute, Helsinki, Finland. ⁷⁷Department of Epidemiology and Health Promotion, National Public Health Institute, Helsinki, Finland. ⁷⁸Genomic Medicine, Imperial College London, Hammersmith Hospital, London, UK. ⁸⁰Institute of Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Munich, Germany. ⁸¹Klinikum Grosshadern, Munich, Germany. ⁸²Centre for Population Health Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Teviot Place, Edinburgh, UK. ⁸³Channing Laboratory, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School Boston, Massachusetts, USA. 84 Diabetes Genetics, Institute of Biomedical and Clinical Science, Peninsula Medical School, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK. ⁸⁵Genetics of Complex Traits, Institute of Biomedical and Clinical Science, Peninsula Medical School, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK, 86Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland. ⁸⁷Department of Clinical Sciences, Medicine, Lund University, University Hospital Malmo, Malmo, Sweden. ⁸⁸Department of Medical Sciences, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden. ⁸⁹Geriatric Research and Education Clinical Center, Veterans Administration Medical Center, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. ⁹⁰Department of Medicine, University of Kuopio and Kuopio University Hospital, Kuopio, Finland. ⁹¹Departments of Medicine, Epidemiology, and Health Services, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA. 92The Human Genetics Center and Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, Texas, USA. ⁹³Faculty of Health Science, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark. 94 Institute of Biomedicine, Faculty of Health Science, University of Copenhagen and Faculty of Health Science, University of Aarhus, Denmark. ⁹⁵Oxford National Institute of Health Research Biomedical Research Centre, Churchill Hospital, Oxford, UK. ⁹⁶Department of Human Genetics, Faculty of Medicine, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. ⁹⁷Genome Quebec Innovation Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada. ⁹⁸Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA.

Abstract

Glucose levels 2 h after an oral glucose challenge are a clinical measure of glucose tolerance used in the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes. We report a meta-analysis of nine genome-wide association studies (n = 15,234 nondiabetic individuals) and a follow-up of 29 independent loci (n = 6,958– 30,620). We identify variants at the *GIPR* locus associated with 2-h glucose level (rs10423928, β (s.e.m.) = 0.09 (0.01) mmol/l per A allele, $P = 2.0 \times 10^{-15}$). The *GIPR* A-allele carriers also showed decreased insulin secretion (n = 22,492; insulinogenic index, $P = 1.0 \times 10^{-17}$; ratio of insulin to glucose area under the curve, $P = 1.3 \times 10^{-16}$) and diminished incretin effect (n = 804; $P = 4.3 \times 10^{-4}$). We also identified variants at *ADCY5* (rs2877716, $P = 4.2 \times 10^{-16}$), *VPS13C* (rs17271305, $P = 4.1 \times 10^{-8}$), *GCKR* (rs1260326, $P = 7.1 \times 10^{-11}$) and *TCF7L2* (rs7903146, $P = 4.2 \times 10^{-10}$) associated with 2-h glucose. Of the three newly implicated loci (*GIPR*, *ADCY5* and *VPS13C*), only *ADCY5* was found to be associated with type 2 diabetes in collaborating studies (n = 35,869 cases, 89,798 controls, OR = 1.12, 95% CI 1.09–1.15, $P = 4.8 \times 10^{-18}$).

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is defined as a state of chronic hyperglycemia defined as elevated glucose levels measured either when fasting or 2 h after glucose challenge (2-h glucose)

during an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). GWAS have contributed to the identification of many established T2D-associated loci¹. More recently, collaborative efforts of the Meta-Analysis of Glucose and Insulin-related traits Consortium (MAGIC) and other investigators have led to the discovery of genetic variation associated with fasting glucose levels in nondiabetic individuals, with *MTNR1B* additionally conferring risk of $T2D^{2-5}$. Not all loci associated with fasting glucose showed association with $T2D^{3,4}$, suggesting that GWAS of quantitative traits related to diabetes can also identify physiological loci that provide mechanistic insights into normal trait variation. An accompanying study by MAGIC has identified 16 loci associated with fasting glucose or fasting insulin in a GWAS-based meta-analysis; 9 of these loci are newly identified, and 5 also show evidence for association with T2D⁶.

Although there are common mechanisms, such as insulin secretion, that regulate fasting and stimulated glucose levels, there are distinct mechanisms regulating glucose levels after an oral glucose challenge. For example, oral glucose intake engenders the incretin effect, in which intestinal cells release insulin secretagogues, mainly glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1) and gastric inhibitory polypeptide (GIP), leading to a higher insulin response compared to that from a matched intravenous glucose stimulation. Additionally, numerous epidemiological studies have shown that OGTT 2-h glucose levels predict cardiovascular disease morbidity and mortality⁷, even in the nondiabetic range of hyperglycemia8 and independently of fasting glucose levels9.

Two-hour glucose level is a heritable quantitative trait (heritability $(h^2) = 0.40)^{10}$ that has been associated with diabetes, and assessing the genetic contribution to variability in 2-h glucose provides an opportunity to identify genetic variation underlying this trait in nondiabetic individuals and to test the secondary hypothesis that these loci may also contribute to T2D susceptibility. Here we performed a meta-analysis of several 2-h glucose GWAS to expand our understanding of post–oral glucose challenge physiology in nondiabetic individuals.

A meta-analysis combining 9 discovery GWAS (n = 15,234) and replication stages with up to 29 SNPs in 17 studies comprising up to 30,620 individuals of European descent revealed 5 loci associated with 2-h glucose at genome-wide significance ($P = 5 \times 10^{-8}$; see Online Methods, Table 1, Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). Three loci were newly associated with 2-h glucose in an analysis adjusted for age, sex, BMI and study-specific covariates: GIPR (gastric inhibitory polypeptide receptor, rs10423928, ß (s.e.m.) = 0.09 (0.01) mmol/l per A allele, $P = 2.0 \times 10^{-15}$), VPS13C (vacuolar protein sorting 13 homolog C, rs17271305, β (s.e.m.) = 0.06 (0.01) mmol/l per G allele, $P = 4.1 \times$ 10^{-8}) and ADCY5 (adenylate cyclase, 5 rs2877716, β (s.e.m.) = 0.09 (0.01) mmol/l per C allele, $P = 4.2 \times 10^{-16}$). The ADCY5 locus was also identified by an accompanying study reporting meta-analysis in MAGIC for fasting glucose levels ($r^2 = 0.82$ to the most significant fasting glucose SNP rs11708067)⁶. The remaining loci identified here included the previously published fasting glucose-associated gene GCKR (glucokinase (hexokinase 4) regulator, missense SNP rs1260326, $P = 7.1 \times 10^{-11}$)¹¹ and the established T2Dassociated gene *TCF7L2* (transcription factor 7-like 2, rs12243326 with $r^2 = 0.79$ to most significant T2D SNP rs7903146, $P = 4.2 \times 10^{-10}$)¹².

To determine whether these associations reflected differences in fasting glucose levels or whether they primarily influenced the incremental response to a glucose challenge, we repeated our association analysis including fasting glucose as a covariate (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 2). Adjusting for fasting glucose resulted in increased effect size for the *GCKR*, *GIPR* and *VPS13C* loci and supported their specific role in post-challenge glucose regulation. In contrast, adjusting for fasting glucose slightly decreased the effect for

Saxena et al.

the *ADCY5* and *TCF7L2* loci, which suggested that the risk alleles in both genes increase glucose levels both in the fasting and post-challenge state.

In meta-analyses available from MAGIC⁶, fasting glycemic traits variants at the *GIPR*, *VPS13C* and *ADCY5* loci were not associated with fasting insulin or insulin resistance as measured by homeostasis model assessment¹³, which may reflect the inadequacy of the crude measures used here or may reflect a lack of power to detect small effects (Supplementary Table 3). Associations of risk alleles in *GCKR* and *TCF7L2* with fasting glycemic traits have been reported previously⁶. In a large Swedish meta-analysis (n = 27,628), the *GIPR* rs10423928 2-h glucose–raising allele was significantly associated with lower BMI ($P_{meta} = 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$, V.L. and L.G., unpublished data).

GIP is one of the two incretin hormones that stimulate insulin response after an oral glucose challenge. It has been shown that the incretin effect is impaired in individuals with T2D¹⁴; specifically, in individuals with T2D, stimulated GIP secretion appears normal and their insulinotropic response to GIP is reduced¹⁵. GIPR is therefore a biologically plausible candidate for mediating insulin secretion after oral glucose challenge. We tested associations of *GIPR* variants with indices of oral glucose–stimulated insulin secretion in up to 13 studies with samples measured at multiple times during the OGTT (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 4). The rs10423928 A allele associated with increased 2-h glucose was also associated with lower insulinogenic index (β (s.e.m.) = $-0.08 (0.01) \mu$ U/mmol, $P = 1.0 \times 10^{-17}$), which represents a reduction in the early phase of insulin secretion¹⁶. The rs10423928 A allele was also associated with a lower ratio of insulin to glucose area under the curve (AUC ins/gluc, β (s.e.m.) = -0.05 (0.01) pmol/mmol, $P = 1.3 \times 10^{-16}$), which is an integrated measure of insulin response over the 2-h OGTT¹⁶. Furthermore, the rs10423928 A allele was associated with lower 2-h insulin level (adjusted for 2-h glucose, β (s.e.m.) = -0.04 (0.01) pmol/1, $P = 2.0 \times 10^{-13}$).

Because GIP is involved in the insulin response specific to an oral glucose challenge, *GIPR* variation was not expected to influence the insulin response to an intravenous glucose load. We tested the insulin response in 1,509 nondiabetic participants from four studies who underwent an intravenous glucose tolerance test (IVGTT). No association was observed with measures of acute insulin response (AIR) from the IVGTT (P = 0.12; Supplementary Table 5), even though the study had >97% power to detect an effect explaining 1% trait variance ($\alpha = 0.05$). We also derived an estimate of the incretin effect by comparing the insulin response to oral versus intravenous glucose administered to the same 804 nondiabetic individuals from the Botnia¹⁷, Denmark and EUGENE2-Kuopio studies18. Individuals carrying the A risk allele of rs10423928 in *GIPR* showed a significantly lower incretin effect (β (s.e.m.) = -0.012 (0.004), $P = 4.3 \times 10^{-4}$; Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 5). Our results are consistent with animal studies, in which mice with targeted deletion of *Gipr* showed mild glucose intolerance and reduced insulin secretion in response to an oral glucose challenge but showed normal fasting glucose and normal insulin secretion in response to an intraperitoneal glucose challenge¹⁹.

The variant in *GIPR* most significantly associated with 2-h glucose (rs10423928) is an intronic SNP with no known function based on FastSNP (see URL section). Notably, rs10423928 is in strong linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 = 0.93$) with a missense mutation (at rs1800437, resulting in the substitution E354Q). Some groups have explored the E354Q substitution as a candidate for association with T2D. One study showed that people homozygous for the Gln354-encoding allele of this gene had lower fasting and post oralload C-peptide levels, suggesting a role for *GIPR* in insulin secretion²⁰; this is in line with our observations. In small T2D case-control studies, no association has been observed at *GIPR*^{20–22}. We performed a meta-analysis of 16 T2D association studies (n = 19,091

diabetic individuals (cases), 38,508 nondiabetic individuals) and found that the rs10423928 A allele was moderately associated with increased risk of T2D (OR = 1.07, 95% CI 1.03– 1.12; $P = 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$; Table 3 and Supplementary Table 6). This result, although suggestive of association, highlights the challenge of genetic approaches to complex diseases, whereby important genes involved in pathophysiology might be difficult to identify even in large case-control collections due to small individual odds ratios²³.

We assessed the mRNA expression patterns of *GIPR* and the nearest upstream (*EML2*) and downstream (*SNRPD2*) genes in a human tissue panel (Fig. 3). All three genes were expressed in the pancreas, but only *GIPR* had strong specific mRNA expression in the sorted pancreatic beta cells, supporting the implication of *GIPR* in insulin secretion. No significant difference in *GIPR*, *EML2* or *SNRPD2* mRNA expression in pancreatic islets was seen based on the rs10423928 genotype (for *GIPR* P = 0.76, n = 19; Supplementary Note).

As adenylate cyclases have been implicated in the cAMP pathway of GLP-1 and GIPinduced insulin release by beta cells^{24,25}, we also tested for association of the most significant ADCY5 variant with measures of insulin response and risk of T2D. The 2-h glucose-raising C allele of rs2877716 was associated with lower 2-h insulin ($P = 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$) but was not associated with AUC_{ins/gluc} (P = 0.16) or with the insulinogenic index (P =0.23; Table 2 and Supplementary Table 4). The lack of association with the two latter indices suggests that ACDY5 is unlikely to be directly involved in insulin secretion in response to an oral glucose challenge and may not operate in the same pathway as GIPR. In support of our observations, the mRNA expression pattern of ADCY5 reported in the recent MAGIC study on fasting glucose traits⁶ shows that ADCY5 is most highly expressed in heart and brain tissues, with weaker expression in the pancreas, islets and sorted beta cells. Finally, we found that the rs2877716 C allele was also associated with increased risk of T2D (OR = 1.12, 95% CI 1.09–1.15, $P = 4.8 \times 10^{-18}$) in a separate meta-analysis of 25 association studies (total n = 35,869 cases, 89,798 controls; Table 3 and Supplementary Table 6) and was associated with increased risk of developing future T2D in 16,061 individuals from the Malmo Preventive Project (OR = 1.19, 95% CI 1.10–1.29, $P = 3.13 \times$ 10^{-5} ; see Supplementary Note). Taken together, our results do not support a role for ADCY5 in early insulin secretion in response to an oral glucose load, but it remains to be determined how it (or another causal gene at the locus) contributes to risk for T2D.

We tested association of the *VPS13C* variant with insulin secretion indices because of its novelty and unknown function (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 4). The risk allele G of rs17271305 associated with higher 2-h glucose was also associated with lower 2-h insulin ($P = 7.5 \times 10^{-11}$). rs17271305 showed no association with AUC_{ins/gluc} (P = 0.86) but was nominally associated with insulinogenic index (P = 0.01). The *VPS13C* variant was not associated with T2D (OR = 0.97, 95% CI 0.94–1.00, P = 0.08) (Table 3 and Supplementary Table 6), suggesting that it may contribute to normal variation in 2-h glucose but not susceptibility to T2D. Investigation of the mRNA expression profiles of *VPS13C* revealed the presence of transcripts in several organs including brain, adipose tissue, liver, pancreas, and, most strongly, in sorted beta cells (Fig. 3). Analysis of the neighboring gene *FAM148A* indicated a pancreatic tissue-specific mRNA expression profile, mainly in beta cells (Fig. 3); however, its expression was not altered by *VPS13C* genotype in pancreatic islets (P = 0.9, n = 19; Supplementary Note).

VPS13C spans 208 kb on chromosome 15 and encodes a protein homolog of the yeast vacuolar protein sorting 13. This family of proteins is involved in trafficking of membrane proteins between the trans-Golgi network and the prevacuolar compartment²⁶. rs17271305, identified by the 2-h glucose meta-analysis, is 101 kb from the *FAM148B* association signal (rs11071657) identified by the MAGIC fasting glucose meta-analysis⁶, but could represent

an independent signal, as rs17271305 is weakly correlated with rs11071657 ($r^2 = 0.28$ in HapMap CEU, $P_{2-h \text{ glucose}} = 0.002$). Detailed fine-mapping and functional analyses will be needed to definitively establish the causal gene and variant(s) at this locus.

In conclusion, we report a GWAS for glucose levels 2 h after an oral glucose challenge, and we have investigated the role of newly discovered 2-h glucose variants in influencing normal physiology and potentially influencing risk of T2D. We identified five loci associated with 2-h glucose, in *GIPR*, *VPS13C*, *ADCY5*, *GCKR* and *TCF7L2*. As the physiological roles of *GCKR* and *TCF7L2* variants have been examined in detail previously^{17,27}, we focused on the three newly identified associated loci. *ADCY5* variants are associated with fasting⁶ and 2-h glucose levels and with an increased risk of T2D, highlighting the fact that investigation of diabetes-related quantitative traits can lead to identification of additional T2D-associated loci. *VPS13C* variants may contribute to normal variation in 2-h glucose, but their effect on T2D pathogenesis is unclear.

Our association results suggest a role for *GIPR* in the incretin effect and in early pathophysiologic pathways that could lead to impaired glucose tolerance and T2D in humans. Previously, it was hypothesized that patients with T2D might express a smaller amount of GIPR or defective GIPR²⁸. Meier *et al.* observed that individuals with T2D and a subgroup of the first-degree relatives of these individuals had a blunted insulin response to GIP, supporting the hypothesis that a defect of the GIPR could be part of the T2D pathophysiology²⁹. Future studies should examine how *GIPR* variants may modify response to treatments targeting the enteroinsular axis.

Methods

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper at http://www.nature.com/naturegenetics/.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the many colleagues who contributed to collection and phenotypic characterization of the clinical samples, as well as genotyping and analysis of the GWA data. We gratefully acknowledge those who agreed to participate in these studies. A full list of acknowledgments and funding support for each study is described in the Supplementary Note.

References

- Prokopenko I, McCarthy MI, Lindgren CM. Type 2 diabetes: new genes, new understanding. Trends Genet 2008;24:613–621. [PubMed: 18952314]
- Bouatia-Naji N, et al. A variant near MTNR1B is associated with increased fasting plasma glucose levels and type 2 diabetes risk. Nat. Genet 2009;41:89–94. [PubMed: 19060909]
- 3. Bouatia-Naji N, et al. A polymorphism within the G6PC2 gene is associated with fasting plasma glucose levels. Science 2008;320:1085–1088. [PubMed: 18451265]
- Chen WM, et al. Variations in the G6PC2/ABCB11 genomic region are associated with fasting glucose levels. J. Clin. Invest 2008;118:2620–2628. [PubMed: 18521185]
- Prokopenko I, et al. Variants in MTNR1B influence fasting glucose levels. Nat. Genet 2009;41:77– 81. [PubMed: 19060907]

- Dupuis J, et al. Novel genetic loci implicated in fasting glucose homeostasis and their impact on related metabolic traits. Nat. Genet. 2010 January 17; advance online publication, doi:10.1038/ng. 520.
- Ceriello A, et al. Postprandial glucose regulation and diabetic complications. Arch. Intern. Med 2004;164:2090–2095. [PubMed: 15505121]
- Qiao Q, Tuomilehto J, Borch-Johnsen K. Post-challenge hyperglycaemia is associated with premature death and macrovascular complications. Diabetologia 2003;46 Suppl 1:M17–M21. [PubMed: 12652354]
- Meigs JB, Nathan DM, D'Agostino RB Sr, Wilson PW. Fasting and postchallenge glycemia and cardiovascular disease risk: the Framingham Offspring Study. Diabetes Care 2002;25:1845–1850. [PubMed: 12351489]
- Schousboe K, et al. Twin study of genetic and environmental influences on glucose tolerance and indices of insulin sensitivity and secretion. Diabetologia 2003;46:1276–1283. [PubMed: 12898014]
- Orho-Melander M, et al. Common missense variant in the glucokinase regulatory protein gene is associated with increased plasma triglyceride and C-reactive protein but lower fasting glucose concentrations. Diabetes 2008;57:3112–3121. [PubMed: 18678614]
- 12. Grant SF, et al. Variant of transcription factor 7-like 2 (TCF7L2) gene confers risk of type 2 diabetes. Nat. Genet 2006;38:320–323. [PubMed: 16415884]
- Matthews DR, et al. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 1985;28:412–419. [PubMed: 3899825]
- 14. Gautier JF, Choukem SP, Girard J. Physiology of incretins (GIP and GLP-1) and abnormalities in type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Metab 2008;34 Suppl 2:S65–S72. [PubMed: 18640588]
- Nauck MA, et al. Incretin effects of increasing glucose loads in man calculated from venous insulin and C-peptide responses. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab 1986;63:492–498. [PubMed: 3522621]
- Ahren B, Pacini G. Importance of quantifying insulin secretion in relation to insulin sensitivity to accurately assess beta cell function in clinical studies. Eur. J. Endocrinol 2004;150:97–104. [PubMed: 14763905]
- 17. Lyssenko V, et al. Mechanisms by which common variants in the TCF7L2 gene increase risk of type 2 diabetes. J. Clin. Invest 2007;117:2155–2163. [PubMed: 17671651]
- Laakso M, et al. Insulin sensitivity, insulin release and glucagon-like peptide-1 levels in persons with impaired fasting glucose and/or impaired glucose tolerance in the EUGENE2 study. Diabetologia 2008;51:502–511. [PubMed: 18080106]
- Miyawaki K, et al. Glucose intolerance caused by a defect in the entero-insular axis: a study in gastric inhibitory polypeptide receptor knockout mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1999;96:14843–14847. [PubMed: 10611300]
- 20. Almind K, et al. Discovery of amino acid variants in the human glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) receptor: the impact on the pancreatic beta cell responses and functional expression studies in Chinese hamster fibroblast cells. Diabetologia 1998;41:1194–1198. [PubMed: 9794107]
- 21. Kubota A, et al. Identification of two missense mutations in the GIP receptor gene: a functional study and association analysis with NIDDM: no evidence of association with Japanese NIDDM subjects. Diabetes 1996;45:1701–1705. [PubMed: 8922354]
- 22. Nitz I, et al. Association analyses of GIP and GIPR polymorphisms with traits of the metabolic syndrome. Mol. Nutr. Food Res 2007;51:1046–1052. [PubMed: 17624916]
- Hardy J, Singleton A. Genomewide association studies and human disease. N. Engl. J. Med 2009;360:1759–1768. [PubMed: 19369657]
- 24. Drucker DJ. The role of gut hormones in glucose homeostasis. J. Clin. Invest 2007;117:24–32. [PubMed: 17200703]
- 25. Leech CA, Castonguay MA, Habener JF. Expression of adenylyl cyclase subtypes in pancreatic beta-cells. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun 1999;254:703–706. [PubMed: 9920805]

- 26. Velayos-Baeza A, Vettori A, Copley RR, Dobson-Stone C, Monaco AP. Analysis of the human VPS13 gene family. Genomics 2004;84:536–549. [PubMed: 15498460]
- 27. Sparso T, et al. The GCKR rs780094 polymorphism is associated with elevated fasting serum triacylglycerol, reduced fasting and OGTT-related insulinaemia, and reduced risk of type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2008;51:70–75. [PubMed: 18008060]
- Holst JJ, Gromada J, Nauck MA. The pathogenesis of NIDDM involves a defective expression of the GIP receptor. Diabetologia 1997;40:984–986. [PubMed: 9267997]
- 29. Meier JJ, et al. Reduced insulinotropic effect of gastric inhibitory polypeptide in first-degree relatives of patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 2001;50:2497–2504. [PubMed: 11679427]

Saxena et al.



Figure 1.

Regional plots of five genome-wide significant associations for 2 hour glucose based on 2 hour glucose discovery analysis adjusted for age, sex, BMI and study-specific covariates. (**a–e**) For each of the *GCKR* (**a**), *ADCY5* (**b**), *TCF7L2* (**c**), *VPS13C* (**d**) and *GIPR* (**e**) regions, directly genotyped and imputed SNPs are plotted with their meta-analysis *P* values (as $-\log_{10}$ values) as a function of genomic position (NCBI Build 36; hg 18). In each panel, the SNP taken forward for replication (large red diamond) and joint discovery and replication *P* value (blue diamond) are shown. Estimated recombination rates (HapMap) are plotted to reflect the local linkage disequilibrium structure around the associated SNPs and their correlated proxies ($0 < r^2 < 1$, represented on a white to red scale, based on pairwise r^2 values from HapMap CEU). Gene annotations were taken from the UCSC genome browser.

Saxena et al.



Figure 2.

Percent incretin effect in the Botnia, Denmark and EUGENE2-Kuopio studies of nondiabetic individuals (n = 804) by *GIPR* rs10423928 genotype. Mean and s.d. for each study are displayed by genotype (see Supplementary Table 5 for details). Incretin effect was adjusted for age, sex and BMI and study-specific covariates.

Saxena et al.



Figure 3.

mRNA expression in human tissues of the genes located in the *GIPR* (\mathbf{a}) and *VPS13C* (\mathbf{b}) regions. Expression data is relative expression levels measured by quantitative RT-PCR. All samples were run in triplicate and normalized to the GAPDH relative expression level. AU, arbitrary units.

~
~
_
T
- 1 1-1
_
-
~
~
<u> </u>
±
<u> </u>
0
\simeq
-
<
L L
_
1
<u> </u>
S
0
-
<u> </u>
0
+

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Table 1

Genome-wide significant loci for 2-h glucose during an OGTT from 26 studies in nondiabetic individuals

						Discove	ery	Replic	ation	Disco	very and replic	ation	Discovery	and replication	(FG adj)
SNP	Chr	Position (bp)	Nearest gene	Alleles (+/-)	$\mathop{\rm Freq}_{(+)}$	Effect (s.e.m.) mmol/l	P value	Effect (s.e.m.) mmol/l	P value	Effect (s.e.m.) mmol/l	P value	P value (no BMI)	Effect (s.e.m.) mmol/l	P value	P value (no BMI)
rs1260326	2	27584444	GCKR	T/C	0.40	0.09 (0.02)	$1.53 imes 10^{-6}$	0.06 (0.01)	$5.33 imes 10^{-6}$	0.07 (0.01)	7.05×10^{-11}	3.00×10^{-10}	0.10 (0.01)	9.23×10^{-21}	2.26×10^{-21}
rs2877716	ю	124577141	ADCY5	C/T	0.77	0.10 (0.02)	6.26×10^{-6}	(0.09)	$1.21 imes 10^{-11}$	(10.0)(0.01)	4.19×10^{-16}	$7.41 imes 10^{-16}$	0.07 (0.01)	1.68×10^{-11}	7.98×10^{-12}
rs12243326	Nat	114778805	TCF7L2	C/T	0.21	0.13 (0.02)	1.20×10^{-9}	0.05 (0.02)	$1.27 imes 10^{-3}$	0.08(0.01)	4.23×10^{-10}	$1.12 imes 10^{-7}$	0.07 (0.01)	$9.99 imes 10^{-9}$	$1.17 imes 10^{-10}$
rs17271305	Gen	60120272	VPS13C	G/A	0.42	0.09 (0.02)	1.04×10^{-6}	0.05 (0.02)	$1.58 imes 10^{-3}$	0.06(0.01)	4.11×10^{-8}	1.30×10^{-7}	0.07 (0.01)	4.33×10^{-11}	8.41×10^{-11}
rs10423928	et. A	50874144	GIPR	A/T	0.18	0.15 (0.03)	3.33×10^{-6}	(0.09)	2.30×10^{-11}	(10.0) (0.01)	1.98×10^{-15}	3.20×10^{-12}	0.11 (0.01)	2.56×10^{-20}	5.94×10^{-18}
	utho					n11,268-15,234		15,103-30,121		30,337–43,104			30,114-42,354		
Results from f DIAGEN, EL' sex and BMI. J presented. Rep <i>I</i> Allele freque	ຍີ່ ມີ ສາຫຼັດເຫຼັກ: ສາຫຼັດເຫຼັກ: ສາບັດເຫຼັກ: ສາບັດເຫຼັກ: ສາບັດເຫຼັກ: ສາບັດເຫຼັກ: ສາບັດເຫຼັກ: ສາບັດເຫຼັກ: ສາບັດເຫຼັກ: ສາບັດເຫຼົາມີ ສາບັດເຫຼົງ ສາບັດເຫຼົາມີ ສາບັດເຫຼັງ ສາບັດເຫຼົາມີ ສາບັດເຫຼັງ ສາບັດເຫຼົາມີ ສາບັດເຫຼົາມີ ສາບັດເຫຼົາມີ ສາບັດເຫຼົາມີ ສາບັດເຫຼ	:ts, inverse vau FamilyMembu based on hg 18, neta-analysis r ad on HapMar ad on HapMar	riance meta- rs, FrenchH NCBI buik esults and j phase II CI	analysis o Haguenau, d36. Comt oint discov EU sample	f 9 GWA FrenchOl HenchOl disc very and 1 2. FG adj,	(ARIC, BLSA, CH beseAdults, FUSIO overy and replicatio replication meta-and adjusted for fasting adjusted for fasting	ISstagel &2, Co Nstage2, Hertfc on <i>P</i> values for- alysis results inc ; glucose in add ; glucose in add	Laus, DGI, Fenla ndshire, Inter99, 1 2-h glucose adjus Elude proxy SNPs lition to age, sex, 1 ition to age, sex, 1	ind, FHS, FUSIC METSIM, NHA Ated for age and s with $r^2 > 0.8$ in BMI and study-s BMI and study-s	N, Sorbs) and 17 NES, RISC, Roch ex (no BMD), and HapMap CEU. ipecific covariates	follow-up studi ne, ULSAM, Wh I further adjusted s (center).	es (Amish, Botmi titehall II) with a I for fasting gluci I for fasting gluci	aPPP, CHSstageé djustment for age, ose are also		

_
~
_
_
-
_
U
-
Þ
-
~
C
-
\mathbf{O}
\simeq
\sim
~
ດາ
-
_
-
_
()
~
0
\sim
O
1

Saxena et al.

Table 2

Effect of ADCY5, VPS13C and GIPR variants on indices of insulin response during an OGTT

					Insulinog	enic index			AUC	7 ins/gluc			2-h insulin, adjus	ted for 2-h glu	cose
ANS	Chr	Nearest gene	Effect allele	u	Effect (s.e.m.) µU/mmol (BMI-adj)	P value (BMI-adj)	P value	2	Effect (s.e.m.) pmol/mmol (BMI-adj)	P value (BMI-adj)	P value	2	Effect (s.e.m.) pmol/l (BMI-adj)	P value (BMI-adj)	P value
rs2877716	3	ADCY5	C	19,461	-0.011 (0.009)	0.23	0.22	20,435	-0.010 (0.007)	0.16	0.18	30,987	-0.029 (0.006)	$1.43 imes 10^{-6}$	$3.09 imes 10^{-6}$
rs17271305	15	VPS13C	IJ	13,911	0.024~(0.010)	0.01	0.02	13,666	-0.001 (0.007)	0.86	0.76	23,842	-0.037 (0.006)	7.45×10^{-11}	2.58×10^{-10}
rs1042928	19	GIPR	А	22,529	-0.076 (0.009)	1.00×10^{-17}	2.44×10^{-20}	22,209	-0.051 (0.007)	9.50×10^{-17}	3.39×10^{-20}	32,204	-0.044 (0.006)	1.99×10^{-13}	$3.67 imes 10^{-16}$
AUCins/ <i>jau</i> e AUCins/ <i>jau</i> e	rea und	er the curve	for insuli	n divided	by area under the cur	rve for glucose.									

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Meta-analysis of T2D association studies for SNPs at previously unknown 2-h glucose-associated loci

SNP	Chr	Nearest gene	Effect allele	<i>n</i> studies	n cases	<i>n</i> controls	OR (95% CI)	P value	I ² (%)	OR (95% CI)	P value
rs2877716	3	ADCY5	c	25	35,869	89,798	1.12 (1.09–1.15)	$4.8 imes 10^{-18}$	35.2 (0-59.3)	1.12 (1.08–1.16)	$9.4 imes 10^{-11}$
rs17271305	15	VPS13C	ac	13	15,180	32,556	0.97 (0.94–1.00)	0.083	48.7 (0–72.8)	0.99(0.94 - 1.04)	0.62
rs10423928	19	GIPR	а	16	19,091	38,508	1.07 (1.03–1.12)	$1.8 imes 10^{-4}$	39.3 (0-60.3)	1.07 (1.02–1.12)	$9.6 imes 10^{-3}$

used in two studies.

Proxy rs11672660 with $r^2 = 0.95$ in HM CEU to rs10423928 used in three studies.